FILED
2021 Oct-21 PM 05:18
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. OF ALABAMA

EXHIBIT 7

Page 1	Page 2
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR	
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT FOR	1 BEN SMITH
WESTERN DIVISION	2 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE WITNESS
WESTERN DIVISION	3 4 Please read your deposition
CASE NUMBER	Troube round your deposition
7:19-cv-00403-RDP	5 over carefully before you sign it. You 6 should make all your changes on the
/.17-CV-00 4 03-RDF	7 attached errata sheet.
ADAM JONES and JOSHUA HASTINGS,	8 After making any changes
Plaintiff(s),	9 which you have noted on the attached
vs.	10 errata sheet, sign your name on the
BUZZFEED, INC., BUZZFEED NEWS, BEN SMITH,	Deponent's Certificate and date it. You
and KATIE J.M. BAKER,	12 are signing it subject to the changes you
Defendant(s).	have made on the errata sheet, which will
Defendant(s).	be attached to the deposition.
VIDEO AND ZOOM DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF:	15 Return the attached errata
BEN SMITH	16 sheet and Deponent's Certificate to
BEN SWITTI	17 Birmingham Reporting, 3710 4th Avenue
FEBRUARY 26, 2021	18 South, Birmingham, Alabama 35222.
9:35 a.m.	19 According to the Rules of
COURT REPORTER:	20 Civil Procedure, you will have thirty (30)
NANCY W. PANNELL, CCR	days from the date you receive this
The reading and signing of this deposition	deposition in which to read it, sign it,
has been waived	and return the errata sheet and Deponent's
	-
Page 3	Page 4
-	Page 4 1 ERRATA SHEET
1 Certificate to the above office. If you	_
1 Certificate to the above office. If you	1 ERRATA SHEET
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
Certificate to the above office. If you fail to do so, you automatically waive your right to make any corrections to your deposition.	1 ERRATA SHEET 2 PAGE LINE EXPLANATION 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

	Page 5			Page 6
1	DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE	1	STIPULATION	
2		2	IT IS STIPULATED AND) AGREED
3	I, BEN SMITH, the witness	3	by and between the parties through	their
4	herein, have read the transcript of my	4	respective counsel that the VIDEO	
5	testimony and the same is true and	5	DEPOSITION of BEN SMITH ma	
6	correct, to the best of my knowledge. Any	6	before Nancy W. Pannell, Certified	
7	corrections and/or additions, if any, are	7	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Pul	
8 9	listed separately.	8	State at Large, at the offices of	one,
10		9	Birmingham Reporting WorkSouth	Tuscaloosa
11		10	Office, 1490 Northbank Parkway, (
	BEN SMITH	11	Room 252, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, o	
12	BEI (SMITH			
13		12	26, 2021, commencing at approxim	iately 9:33
14		13	A.M.	
	DATE	14	IT IS FURTHER STIPUL	
15		15	AGREED that the signature to and	
16	Sworn to and subscribed	16	reading of the deposition by the wit	
17	before me, this the day of	17	IS NOT waived, the deposition to h	nave the
18	$\phantom{aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa$	18	same force and effect as if full	
19	and seal of office.	19	compliance had been had with all la	
20 21		20	rules of Court relating to the taking	of
22		21	depositions.	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC	22	IT IS FURTHER STIPUL	ATED AND
23	No Truct Tebble	23	AGREED that it shall not be necess	sary for
	Page 7			Page 8
1	any objections to be made by counsel to	1	INDEX	
_			$I N D E \Lambda$	
2		2	INDEX	
	any questions, except as to form or		EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE NO.
3 4	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for	2	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE NO.
3 4	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign	2 3 4		12
3 4 5	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the	2 3	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY	
3 4 5 6	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY	12
3 4 5 6 7	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the	2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE	12 191
3 4 5 6 7 8	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY	12 191
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS	12 191
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS:	12 191 PAGE NO.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article,	12 191
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages	12 191 PAGE NO. 73
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Bo	12 191 PAGE NO. 73
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Bo Smith, 6 pages	12 191 PAGE NO. 73 en 91
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundary Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie	12 191 PAGE NO. 73 en 91
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundary Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie Baker, 18 pages	12 191 PAGE NO. 73 en 91 140
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundary Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie Baker, 18 pages 114 Email BuzzFeed 3731	PAGE NO. 73 en 91 140 163
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundary Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie Baker, 18 pages 114 Email BuzzFeed 3731 115 BuzzFeed 3399, Email	PAGE NO. 73 en 91 140 163 166
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundard Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie Baker, 18 pages 114 Email BuzzFeed 3731 115 BuzzFeed 3399, Email 116 BuzzFeed News Standards	PAGE NO. 73 en 91 140 163 166
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundary Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie Baker, 18 pages 114 Email BuzzFeed 3731 115 BuzzFeed 3399, Email	PAGE NO. 73 en 91 140 163 166
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundard Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie Baker, 18 pages 114 Email BuzzFeed 3731 115 BuzzFeed 3399, Email 116 BuzzFeed News Standards	PAGE NO. 73 en 91 140 163 166
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundard Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie Baker, 18 pages 114 Email BuzzFeed 3731 115 BuzzFeed 3399, Email 116 BuzzFeed News Standards	PAGE NO. 73 en 91 140 163 166
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any questions, except as to form or leading questions, and that counsel for the parties may make objections and assign grounds at the time of trial or at the time said deposition is offered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY: MR. RITCHEY CERTIFICATE INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: 111 Michael Calderone article, 7 pages 112 Ronan Farrow article by Boundard Smith, 6 pages 113 BuzzFeed Article by Katie Baker, 18 pages 114 Email BuzzFeed 3731 115 BuzzFeed 3399, Email 116 BuzzFeed News Standards	PAGE NO. 73 en 91 140 163 166

	Page 9		Page 10
1	APPEARANCES	1	ALSO PRESENT:
2		2	Trevor Webster, Zoom Host
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S): @Birmingham	3	@Birmingham Reporting
4	Reporting WorkSouth Tuscaloosa office	4	@Biriningnam Reporting
5	MR. BOBBY H. COCKRELL, JR.		
		5	
6	MR. G. SCOTCH RITCHEY, JR.	6	
7	COCKRELL, COCKRELL, TOWNSEND &	7	
8	RITCHEY, LLP	8	
9	1409 UNIVERSITY BOULEVARD	9	
10	TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA 35401	10	
11		11	
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT(S): (Via Zoom)	12	
13	MS. KATHERINE M. BOLGER	13	
14	DAVIS, WRIGHT, TREMAINE, LLP	14	
15	1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS	15	
16	21ST FLOOR	16	
17	NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10020-1104	17	
18	,	18	
19	MR. JOHN G. "JT" THOMPSON (via Zoom)	19	
20	LIGHTFOOT FRANKLIN & WHITE	20	
21	400 NORTH 20TH STREET	21	
22	THE CLARK BUILDING	22	
23	BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA, 35203	23	
23	BIRIMINOHAM, ALADAMA, 33203	25	
	Page 11		Page 12
1	I, Nancy W. Pannell, a Certified	1	Today's date is February 26,
2	Shorthand Reporter of Birmingham, Alabama,	2	2021 and the time is 9:35 a.m. Would
3	and a Notary Public for the State of	3	counsel introduce yourself into the
4	Alabama at Large, acting as Commissioner,	4	record, after which time the court
5	certify that on this date, pursuant to the	5	reporter will swear in the witness.
6	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the	6	MR. RITCHEY: Scotch Ritchey
7	foregoing stipulation of counsel, there	7	for the plaintiffs.
8	came before me at the offices of	8	MR. COCKRELL: Bob Cockrell
9	Birmingham Reporting WorkSouth Tuscaloosa	9	for the plaintiffs.
10	Office, 1490 Northbank Parkway, Conference	10	MS. BOLGER: Kate Bolger for
11	Room 252, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, commencing	11	the defendants, and on the line is JT
12	at approximately 9:35 A.M. on FEBRUARY 26,	12	Thompson also for the defendants.
13	2021, BEN SMITH, witness in the above	13	BEN SMITH,
14	cause, for oral examination, whereupon the	14	being first duly sworn, was examined and
15	following proceedings were had:	15	testified as follows:
16	Tonowing proceedings were field.	16	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
17		17	-
18	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on	18	Will this be usual stipulations? MR. RITCHEY: Yes.
19	the record. This is the video deposition	19	MR. BOLGER: Actually can
20	of Ben Smith, Case Number	20	Ben read and sign please?
21	7:19-cv-00403-RDP in the United States	21	COURT REPORTER: Yes.
22	District Court for the Northern District	22	EXAMINATION
23	of Alabama, Western Division.	23	BY MR. RITCHEY:
		1	

Page 13 Page 14 1 Will you just introduce yourself 1 that, too. 2 on the record? 2 Since this is over Zoom, there may 3 3 Yeah, my name is Benjamin Smith. be a lag or a disconnect sometimes, so if A. 4 Do you mind if I call you Ben or 4 you would just give me a second to Benjamin? 5 5 complete my question and I'll give you 6 You call me Ben. 6 some time to give a complete answer. A. 7 7 Q. Okay. Well, Ben, I'm Scotch Also, if you'll just give audible 8 8 Ritchey. I'm representing the plaintiffs answers so the court reporter can get it 9 in this action. down, so yes and no's, no shaking heads 9 10 Just going to ask you a few 10 and anything like that. That's just so we questions, and I'm just going to go over can get a clean record. 11 11 12 just a few ground rules for a Zoom 12 And if I say is that a yes or is 13 deposition. 13 that a no, I'm not trying to get onto you, 14 It's a little different than what 14 just trying to get that clean record. 15 we're used to. We usually take these in 15 If you answer, then we'll assume person, but we're having to do it you heard and understood the question; is 16 16 17 virtually now, but if you need a break 17 that fair? just, let me know, we'll be happy to 18 Yes. 18 A. 19 accommodate that. 19 Q. Are there any reasons why you 20 If you don't hear a question, just 20 cannot answer truthfully and fully today? 21 ask me to repeat and I'll be happy to do 21 A. 22 so. If you don't understand it, just ask 22 Q. Is there anything that would 23 me to clarify and I'll be happy to do 23 interfere with you answering questions Page 15 Page 16 1 wasn't named as a party in that lawsuit. today? 1 2 2 A. Sorry, correction I was not. 3 3 I should defer to Kate on the O. Have you ever given a deposition 4 before? 4 details of that lawsuit, as you see. 5 5 A. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you know who 6 How many times? 6 the -- was BuzzFeed a defendant in that Q. 7 7 I can think of once. lawsuit, if you know? A. 8 Okay. Tell me when that was. 8 You know, I don't have it in front O. 9 I don't know the exact date. 9 of me. If you would like, I could call it A. 10 10 up and read it, but I think I probably O. Do you know the approximate year 11 shouldn't just rely on my memory for that. 11 or so? 12 A. I believe it was in 2018 or 2019, 12 Yeah, that's fine. We can try to 13 13 but Kate Bolger would know for sure. find it another way, but do you remember Okay. What did that -- was it a 14 14 who the Russian businessman was? 15 case you were being deposed on? 15 Yeah, his name is -- his last name 16 16 Yes. is Gubarev, G-u-b like boy-a-r like A. 17 17 Robert-E like Edward-V like Victor. Q. What was that case about? 18 A. It was a defamation lawsuit by a 18 O. What's his -- do you know his 19 19 first name? Russian businessman against BuzzFeed. 20 20 Were you named as a party in that Aleksej. I'm not sure how it's 21 21 spelled. I'm also not sure if he is a lawsuit? 22 22 A. Russian national. 23 MS. BOLGER: Actually, Ben 23 Okay. Did the defamation suit

Degree 17 1 concern an article that was published by 2 BuzzFeed? 3 A. I don't remember exactly whether 4 the claim was about an article or about a 5 document that we published. 4 Q. Okay. What do you remember about 5 dossier by BuzzFeed and the article that accompanied it. 5 Could you be more specific? 6 Q. I'm guessing what did he claim 10 defamed him? 11 defamed him? 12 A. He - I don't want to put words in his mouth. You know, I really would be 13 mis mouth. You know, I really would be 14 more comfortable - would you like me to 15 pull the thing up? I can just pull it up 16 if you want. 7 Q. That's fine, if you have it right 18 in front of you. 19 A. Sure. 20 Q. And what are you pulling up just so I know? 21 Do you know where that case was filed? 22 A. Thi just Googling Gubarev versus BuzzFeed. Page 19 1 Do you know where that case was filed? 2 A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, in have you spoken to the accompanied it. A. Wes. 1 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, in have you spoken twith anyone about this current lawsuit? A. My family. A. My family. A. My milly. A. My e-let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being 14 to A. My family. A. My family. A. My e-let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being 23 specific about this case? A. Did you tell them anything 22 conversations with them. 12 Do you to the manything 22 conversations and not recently to my memory.			1	
2 BuzzFeed? 3 A. I don't remember exactly whether 4 the claim was about an article or about a 5 document that we published. 6 Q. Okay. What do you remember about 7 the case? What was the basis for it? 8 A. I remember a lot about the case. 9 Could you be more specific? 10 Q. I'm guessing what did he claim 11 defamed him? 12 A. He — I don't want to put words in 13 his mouth. You know, I really would be 14 more comfortable — would you like me to 15 pull the thing up? I can just pull it up 16 if you want. 17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right 18 in front of you. 19 A. Sure. 20 Q. And what are you pulling up just 21 so I know? 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. Page 19 1 Do you know where that case was 2 filled? 3 A. In Florida. 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 4 A. Not that I recall. 6 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken to? 12 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes. 14 A. Not that I recall. 15 Q. Nay out alked to anyone else 16 other than your attorneys, 17 A. Not that I recall. 18 Q. Okay. 19 A. Not that I recall. 19 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken to? 11 A. Not out a tree in summary of the deposition of this case or your 11 deposition or this case? 12 Q. Have you spoken to them at any 12 other than your attorneys, 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My - let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this moming that I was being 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 11 night about being deposed. 22 D. Did you tell them anything 22 conversations and not recently to my		Page 17		Page 18
A. I don't remember exactly whether the claim was about an article or about a document that we published. Q. Okay. What do you remember about the case? What was the basis for it? A. I remember a lot about the case. Could you be more specific? Q. I'm guessing what did he claim defamed him? A. HeI don't want to put words in shis mouth. You know, I really would be more comfortable would you like me to pull the thing up? I can just pull it up if you want. Q. That's fine, if you have it right in front of you. A. Sure. Do you know where that case was ShuzzFeed. Page 19 Do you know where that case was filed? A. A gain, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, A. My Apainly. A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family? A. My - let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything about the publication of the Steele daout the article and the dossier. MR. RITCHEY: Okay. THE WITNESS: I just don't want to frelance in general terms MS. BOLGER: And Bern's struggling with telling you whether it was the article or just the dossier. It's a laws about the article and the dossier. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Right. And the publication of that; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yesh, I think so. I just don't want to make claims about what exactly the litigation and sort of the legal terms was about when I'm talking to a lawyer. Q. That's fine, I understand. Page 20 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other publication of the legal terms was about talking to Kaite Baker or Alex Carroll, or Sharminal Venkatasubhan? A. I don't remember specific conversations. A. I don't remember apothing about talking to Kaite Baker or Alex Carroll, or Sharmin	1	concern an article that was published by	1	MS. BOLGER: I mean, Scotch,
the claim was about an article or about a document that we published. Q. Okay. What do you remember about the case? What was the basis for it? A. I remember a lot about the case. Poculd you be more specific? A. I remember a lot about the case. Could you be more specific? A. He —I don't want to put words in this mouth. You know, I really would be more comfortable — would you like me to pull the thing up? I can just pull it up if you want. D. That's fine, if you have it right in front of you. A. Sure. D. Q. And what are you pulling up just so I know? D. A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus BuzzFeed. Page 19 Do you know where that case was filed? A. In Florida. A. In Florida. A. A. In Florida. A. A. In Florida. A. A. A. In Florida. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. In Florida. A. In Florida. A. A	2	BuzzFeed?	2	I can answer this and move it along if you
document that we published. Q. Okay. What do you remember about the case? What was the basis for it? the case? What was the basis for it? A. I remember a lot about the case. Gould you be more specific? G. I'm guessing what did he claim defamed him? A. He — I don't want to put words in labout thing up? I can just pull it up fill you want. Do you know where that case was filled? A. Sure. Do you know where that case was filled? A. Im Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Okay. Do you know where that case was filled? A. A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to us poken to? A. Yes. A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to set technical language. Q. Okay. Okay. Okay. A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to set technical language. Q. Okay. Okay. A. Yes. A. There have been rulings, but I have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. My family. A. My family. A. My family. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Campbell — excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carpol, or Sharmila Venkatsusuban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you veal tell them anything wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Do Did you tell them anything Do onversations and not recently to my	3	A. I don't remember exactly whether	3	would like without having Ben it was
6 Q. Okay. What do you remember about the case? What was the basis for it? 7 the case? What was the basis for it? 8 A. I remember a lot about the case. 9 Could you be more specific? 9 Use I'm guessing what did he claim 11 defamed him? 12 A. He I don't want to put words in his mouth. You know, I really would be more comfortable would you like me to pull the thing up? I can just pull it up if you want. 15 pull the thing up? I can just pull it up if you want. 16 if you want. 17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right in front of you. 18 A. Sure. 20 Q. And what are you pulling up just so I know? 21 as I know? 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. Page 19 Page 20 Page 20 Page 20 Page 20 Page 20 Page 20 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition. Page 19 wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Als it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Ok ther than your attorneys, and who specifically within your family? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about the lim? A. My family. Page 20 Did you tell them anything A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker or Alex conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Did you tell them anything Q. Conversations and not recently to my	4	the claim was about an article or about a	4	about the publication of the Steele
7 the case? What was the basis for it? 8 A. I remember a lot about the case. 9 Could you be more specific? 9 Could you be more specific? 10 Q. I'm guessing what did he claim 11 defamed him? 11 A. He I don't want to put words in 12 his mouth. You know, I really would be 13 lawyerly distinction, but the point is it 14 more comfortable would you like me to 15 pull the thing up? I can just pull it up 16 if you want. 17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right 18 in front of you. 19 A. Sure. 19 A. Sure. 10 Q. And what are you pulling up just 20 Q. And what are you pulling up just 21 so I know? 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. Page 19 Do you know where that case was 24 filed? 25 A. In Florida. 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 5 A. Again, I think I don't know the 6 technical terms for it. 7 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 8 A. There have been rulings, but I 9 wouldn't want to use technical language. 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken to? 12 A. My family. 13 A. My family. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My - let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 1 night about being deposed. 20 Did you tell them anything 21 might about being deposed. 22 Did you tell them anything 24 conversations with them. 25 Day to the claims 26 only a deposed on the remember anything about them anything 27 conversations wind them. 28 Day the this morning that I was being 29 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 19 night about being deposed. 20 Did you tell them anything 21 might about being deposed. 22 Did you tell them anything 23 deposition and not recently to my	5	document that we published.	5	dossier by BuzzFeed and the article that
8 A. I remember a lot about the case. 9 Could you be more specifie? 9 Want to freelance in general terms — 10 Q. I'm guessing what did he claim 11 defamed him? 12 A. He — I don't want to put words in 13 his mouth. You know, I really would be 14 more comfortable — would you like me to 15 pull the thing up? I can just pull it up 16 if you want. 17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right 18 in front of you. 19 A. Sure. 19 A. Sure. 20 Q. And what are you pulling up just 21 so I know? 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. Page 19 1 Do you know where that case was 25 filed? 3 A. In Florida. 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 5 A. Again, I think I don't know the 6 technical terms for it. 7 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 8 A. There have been rulings, but I 9 wouldn't want to use technical language. 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 21 current lawsuit? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My — let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 10 night about being deposed. 21 Did you tell them anything 22 coversations and not recently to my	6	Q. Okay. What do you remember about	6	accompanied it.
9 Could you be more specific? 10 Q. I'm guessing what did he claim 11 defamed him? 12 A. He I don't want to put words in 13 his mouth. You know, I really would be 14 more comfortable would you like me to 15 pull the thing up? I can just pull it up 16 if you want. 17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right 18 in front of you. 19 A. Sure. 19 A. Sure. 19 A. Sure. 20 Q. And what are you pulling up just 21 so I know? 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. Page 19 1 Do you know where that case was 2 filed? 2 Q. Is that case still pending? 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 5 A. Again, I think I don't know the 6 technical terms for it. 7 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 8 A. There have been rulings, but I 9 wouldn't want to use technical language. 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 2 current lawsuit? 18 A. Yes. 19 A. You want to make claims about this case or your deposition? 4 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 2 current lawsuit? 1 A. Yes. 1 G. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 1 have you spoken to? 1 A. My family. 1 Q. And who specifically within your 1 family? 1 A. My family. 2 D. Did you tell them anything 2 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 1 night about being deposed. 2 Did you tell them anything 2 conversations and not recently to my	7	the case? What was the basis for it?	7	MR. RITCHEY: Okay.
10 Q. İm guessing what did he claim 10 defamed him? 11 defamed him? 11 11 defamed him? 12 A. He – I don't want to put words in 12 in more comfortable –- would you like me to 13 lawyerly distinction, but the point is it was about the article and the dossier. Fs a lawyerly distinction, but the point is it was about the article and the dossier. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Right. And the publication of that; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Sure. 19 A. Sure. 19 A. Sure. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Think Googling Gubarev versus 22 aso 1 know? 21 so 1 know? 21 so 1 know? 22 aso 1 know? 23 BuzzFeed. 23 BuzzFeed. 24 Q. That's fine, I understand. Page 19 Fage 20 That's fine, I understand. Page 20 That's fine, I understand. A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys, and the publication of that; is that right? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. A. I don't remember anything about talking to Katic Baker or Alex Campbell – excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any spe	8	A. I remember a lot about the case.	8	THE WITNESS: I just don't
defamed him? 12	9	Could you be more specific?	9	want to freelance in general terms
A. He—I don't want to put words in his mouth. You know, I really would be more comfortable — would you like me to pull the thing up? I can just pull it up 15 pull the thing up? I can just pull it up 16 if you want. 16	10	Q. I'm guessing what did he claim	10	MS. BOLGER: And Ben's
his mouth. You know, I really would be more comfortable would you like me to pull the thing up? I can just pull it up if if you want. 7 Q. That's fine, if you have it right in front of you. 8 A. Sure. 9 Q. And what are you pulling up just 20 want to make claims about what exactly the litigation and sort of the legal terms was about when I'm talking to a lawyer. 9 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 22 about when I'm talking to a lawyer. 9 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 22 about when I'm talking to a lawyer. 9 A. In Florida. 9 A. In Florida. 10 Q. Is that case still pending? 11 A. Not that I recall. 12 Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? 13 A. There have been rulings, but I 20 Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys, 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys, 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 17 A. Yes. 18 Jawyerly distinction, but the point is it was about the article and the dossier. Q. (Bay. Ritchey) Right. And the publication of that; is that right? A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. That's fine, if you have it right about this ca. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 A. Not that I recall. 18 A. Not that I recall. 29 Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? 40 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 51 A. Not that I recall. 62 Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys, 10 Q. Day our emember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Campbell ex	11	defamed him?	11	struggling with telling you whether it was
more comfortable would you like me to pull the thing up? I can just pull it up if you want. Q. That's fine, if you have it right in front of you. A. Sure. Q. And what are you pulling up just Q. And what are you pulling up just Q. An I'm just Googling Gubarev versus BuzzFeed. Page 19 Do you know where that case was filed? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at language. A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything A. My family. A. My family. A. My family. A. My family. A. My family. A. My family. A. My family. A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	12	A. He I don't want to put words in	12	the article or just the dossier. It's a
15 pull the thing up? I can just pull it up 16 if you want. 17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right 18 in front of you. 19 A. Sure. 20 Q. And what are you pulling up just 21 so I know? 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. 24 Do you know where that case was 25 filed? 26 Q. Is that case still pending? 27 A. A. Not that I recall. 28 filed? 29 Q. Is that case still pending? 29 A. A. Again, I think I don't know the 20 G. Okay. 30 A. In Florida. 40 Q. Is that case still pending? 41 A. Not that I recall. 42 G. Okay. 43 A. Again, I think I don't know the 45 G. Okay. 46 G. Okay. 47 A. Not that I recall. 48 A. There have been rulings, but I 49 wouldn't want to use technical language. 40 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 10 conversations. 41 A. Yes. 42 Q. Who have you spoken to? 43 A. Yes. 44 Q. Who have you spoken to? 45 A. My family. 46 Q. Who have you spoken to? 47 A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 48 A. My let's see, well, I told my 49 wife this morning that I was being 40 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 1 night about being deposed. 40 Q. Did you tell them anything 41 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 42 A. My let's see, well, I told my 44 Carroll or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 45 A. My let's see, well, I told my 46 Who there being deposed. 47 Q. Did you tell them anything 48 A. I think I've discussed with Katie 49 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of those conversations and not recently to my	13	his mouth. You know, I really would be	13	lawyerly distinction, but the point is it
16 if you want. 17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right 18 in front of you. 18 Q. Okay. 19 A. Sure. 19 A. Yesh, I think so. I just don't 20 Q. And what are you pulling up just 21 so I know? 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. 24 Do you know where that case was 25 filed? 26 In Florida. 27 Q. Have you spoken to them at any 28 other point about this case or your 29 deposition? 20 A. Not that I recall. 21 A. Not that I recall. 22 Q. Have you spoken to them at any 23 other point about this case or your 24 deposition? 25 A. Again, I think I don't know the 26 technical terms for it. 28 A. There have been rulings, but I 29 wouldn't want to use technical language. 30 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 31 have you spoken with anyone about this 32 current lawsuit? 33 A. I don't remember specific 34 Q. Who have you spoken to? 35 A. My family. 36 A. Yes. 37 A. I don't remember anything 38 A. I don't recall any specific 49 Conversations. 40 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything 40 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 41 A. My let's see, well, I told my 41 wife this morning that I was being 42 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 44 night about being deposed. 45 Page 19 46 A. Yes. 46 Page 20 47 A. Not that I recall. 49 Q. Have you spoken to them at any 40 other point about this case or your 40 deposition? 40 A. Not that I recall. 40 Q. Have you talked to anyone else 40 other than your attorneys about this 41 deposition or this case? 42 A. I don't remember specific 43 A. Yes. 44 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything 45 about talking to Katie Baker or Alex 46 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 47 A. I don't recall any specific 48 A. My let's see, well, I told my 49 wife this morning that I was being 40 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 40 po you recall any general 41 conversations with them? 42 po you tell them anything 43 publication or that it was being 44 publication or that it is that it right? 45 publication or that it readl. 46 Q. Do you reall any general 47 conversations with them? 48 public	14	more comfortable would you like me to	14	was about the article and the dossier.
17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right in front of you. 18 in front of you. 19 A. Sure. Q. And what are you pulling up just 20 want to make claims about what exactly the litigation and sort of the legal terms was about when I'm talking to a lawyer. 21 BuzzFeed. 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. 24 Page 19 Page 20 1 Do you know where that case was 25 filed? 26 Is that case still pending? 27 A. Not that I recall. 28 filed? 29 Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? 40 Q. Is that case still pending? 41 deposition? 42 Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys, about this deposition or this case? 43 A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. 44 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, about this case? 45 A. Yes. 46 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about this undown the sabout this case? 47 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, about this deposition or this case? 48 A. There have been rulings, but I don't remember specific conversations. 49 wouldn't want to use technical language. 40 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, about this deposition or this case? 41 Q. Who have you spoken to? 42 Q. Who have you spoken to? 43 A. Yes. 44 Q. Who have you spoken to? 45 A. My family. 46 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 47 A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 48 A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. 49 Q. Did you tell them anything deposed. 40 Q. Did you tell them anything deposed. 41 Q. Did you tell them anything deposed. 42 Q. Did you tell them anything deposed. 43 A. I don't recall any general conversations and not recently to my	15	pull the thing up? I can just pull it up	15	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Right. And the
17 Q. That's fine, if you have it right in front of you. 18 in front of you. 19 A. Sure. Q. And what are you pulling up just 20 want to make claims about what exactly the litigation and sort of the legal terms was about when I'm talking to a lawyer. 21 BuzzFeed. 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. 24 Page 19 Page 20 1 Do you know where that case was 25 filed? 26 Is that case still pending? 27 A. Not that I recall. 28 filed? 29 Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? 40 Q. Is that case still pending? 41 deposition? 42 Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys, about this deposition or this case? 43 A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. 44 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, about this case? 45 A. Yes. 46 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about this undown the sabout this case? 47 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, about this deposition or this case? 48 A. There have been rulings, but I don't remember specific conversations. 49 wouldn't want to use technical language. 40 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, about this deposition or this case? 41 Q. Who have you spoken to? 42 Q. Who have you spoken to? 43 A. Yes. 44 Q. Who have you spoken to? 45 A. My family. 46 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 47 A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 48 A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. 49 Q. Did you tell them anything deposed. 40 Q. Did you tell them anything deposed. 41 Q. Did you tell them anything deposed. 42 Q. Did you tell them anything deposed. 43 A. I don't recall any general conversations and not recently to my	16		16	
A. Sure. Q. And what are you pulling up just so I know? A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus BuzzFeed. Page 19 Do you know where that case was filed? A. Not that I recall. In Florida. A. Not that I recall. In Florida. A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. A. I don't remember specific conversations. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys about this case? A. I don't remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell — excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receal any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receal any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receal any specific conversations with them. Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	17	Q. That's fine, if you have it right	17	A. Yes.
A. Sure. Q. And what are you pulling up just so I know? A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus BuzzFeed. Page 19 Do you know where that case was filed? A. Not that I recall. In Florida. A. Not that I recall. In Florida. A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell — excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't receall any specific conversations with them. Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	18	in front of you.	18	Q. Okay.
21 So I know? 22 A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus 23 BuzzFeed. 24 Page 19 25 Page 19 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Pave you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Pave you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Pave you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Pave you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 23 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29 Page 20 29 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 20 Page 20 21 Page 20 22 Page 20 24 Page 20 25 Page 20 26 Page 20 27 Page 20 28 Page 20 29	19		19	A. Yeah, I think so. I just don't
A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus BuzzFeed. Page 19 Do you know where that case was filed? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? O. Okay. Other than your attorneys, thave you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. A. My - let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything	20	Q. And what are you pulling up just	20	want to make claims about what exactly the
Page 19 Do you know where that case was filed? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. A. My family. A. My family. A. My family. A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about tell them anything A. Didn't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	21	so I know?	21	litigation and sort of the legal terms was
Page 19 Page 20 1 Do you know where that case was filed? 3 A. In Florida. 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 5 A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. 7 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 8 A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. 9 A. I don't remember specific conversations. 11 have you spoken to? 12 about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 13 A. My family. 14 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 15 A. My - let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being up the first many or the details of those conversations and not recently to my	22	A. I'm just Googling Gubarev versus	22	about when I'm talking to a lawyer.
1 Do you know where that case was 2 filed? 3 A. In Florida. 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 5 A. Again, I think I don't know the 6 technical terms for it. 7 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 8 A. There have been rulings, but I 9 wouldn't want to use technical language. 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 12 current lawsuit? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 Q. Did you tell them anything 21 conversations and not recently to my 22 conversations and not recently to my	23		23	Q. That's fine, I understand.
1 Do you know where that case was 2 filed? 3 A. In Florida. 4 Q. Is that case still pending? 5 A. Again, I think I don't know the 6 technical terms for it. 7 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 8 A. There have been rulings, but I 9 wouldn't want to use technical language. 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 12 current lawsuit? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 Q. Did you tell them anything 21 conversations and not recently to my 22 conversations and not recently to my				
filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I deposition or this case? Wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, thave you spoken with anyone about this conversations. A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My - let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything 2 Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. 1 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my		Page 19		Page 20
filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I deposition or this case? Wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this conversations. A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything 2 Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. 1 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 1 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 2 Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them. 3 A. I think I've discussed with Katie deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything			1	1490 10
A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, Language ourrent lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Cand Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any specific conversations with them. A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything	1	Do you know where that case was	1	
4 Q. Is that case still pending? 5 A. Again, I think I don't know the 6 technical terms for it. 6 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 7 Q. Okay. Has it been rulings, but I 9 wouldn't want to use technical language. 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 12 current lawsuit? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 Q. Did you tell them anything 21 conversations 22 Q. Did you tell them anything 23 deposition? 4 A. Not that I recall. 6 Q. Have you talked to anyone else 7 other than your attorneys about this 8 deposition or this case? 9 A. I don't remember specific 20 conversations. 11 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything 12 about talking to Katie Baker or Alex 13 Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa 14 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 15 A. I don't recall any specific 20 conversations with them. 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 21 night about being deposed. 22 Q. Did you tell them anything 23 deposition or this case? 24 A. I don't remember specific 25 conversations. 26 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything 27 conversations with them. 28 deposition or this case? 29 A. I don't remember anything 20 deposition or this case? 20 deposition or this case? 21 remember anyou talked to anyone else 22 conversations		•		A. Not that I recall.
5 A. Again, I think I don't know the 6 technical terms for it. 6 Q. Have you talked to anyone else 7 Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? 8 A. There have been rulings, but I 9 wouldn't want to use technical language. 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 12 current lawsuit? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 Q. Did you tell them anything 21 conversations. 22 Q. Did you tell them anything 25 A. Not that I recall. 26 Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? 27 other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? 28 A. I don't remember specific conversations. 29 A. I don't recall any summer anything 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 20 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2	filed?	2	A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any
technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. La dou't remember specific conversations. La dou't remember anything current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. B. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. B. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. B. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. B. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. B. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. B. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. B. A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. B. B	2 3	filed? A. In Florida.	2 3	A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your
7Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed?7other than your attorneys about this8A. There have been rulings, but I8deposition or this case?9wouldn't want to use technical language.9A. I don't remember specific10Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys,10conversations.11have you spoken with anyone about this11Q. Okay. Do you remember anything12current lawsuit?12about talking to Katie Baker or Alex13A. Yes.13Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa14Q. Who have you spoken to?14Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban?15A. My family.15A. I don't recall any specific16Q. And who specifically within your16conversations with them.17family?17Q. Do you recall any general18A. My let's see, well, I told my18conversations with them?19wife this morning that I was being19A. I think I've discussed with Katie20deposed, and I talked to my two sons last20Baker that we were being sued, but I don't21night about being deposed.21remember any of the details of those22Q. Did you tell them anything22conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending?	2 3 4	A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition?
8 A. There have been rulings, but I 9 wouldn't want to use technical language. 10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 12 current lawsuit? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 21 deposition or this case? 9 A. I don't remember specific 22 conversations. 10 conversations. 11 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything 21 about talking to Katie Baker or Alex 22 Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa 23 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 24 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 25 A. I don't recall any specific 26 conversations with them. 27 Q. Do you recall any general 28 conversations with them? 29 A. I think I've discussed with Katie 20 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those 21 remember any of the details of those 22 Q. Did you tell them anything 22 conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the	2 3 4 5	A. Not that I recall.Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition?A. Not that I recall.
wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last q. Did you tell them anything A. I don't remember specific conversations. 10 A. I don't remember specific conversations. 11 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything 12 about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 15 A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 16 Conversations with them. 17 Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else
10 Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, 11 have you spoken with anyone about this 12 current lawsuit? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 21 night about being deposed. 22 Q. Did you tell them anything 20 conversations. 20 Okay. Do you remember anything 21 conversations. 21 Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa 22 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 23 A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 24 Conversations with them. 25 A. I think I've discussed with Katie 26 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this
have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. My family. Canversations with them. A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case?
12 current lawsuit? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Who have you spoken to? 15 A. My family. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 21 about talking to Katie Baker or Alex 22 Q. Did you tell them anything 21 about talking to Katie Baker or Alex 23 campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa 24 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? 25 A. I don't recall any specific 26 conversations with them. 27 Q. Do you recall any general 28 conversations with them? 29 A. I think I've discussed with Katie 20 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't 21 remember any of the details of those 22 conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific
A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Who have you spoken to? 14 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations.
Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My - let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Who have you spoken to? 14 Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 16 conversations with them. 17 Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? 18 conversations with them? 19 A. I think I've discussed with Katie 20 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those 21 remember any of the details of those 22 Conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything
A. My family. 15 A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. 16 Q. And who specifically within your 16 conversations with them. 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 18 conversations with them? 19 wife this morning that I was being 19 A. I think I've discussed with Katie deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 20 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those 21 conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex
16 Q. And who specifically within your 17 family? 18 A. My let's see, well, I told my 19 wife this morning that I was being 20 deposed, and I talked to my two sons last 21 night about being deposed. 22 Q. Did you tell them anything 20 conversations with them. 21 think I've discussed with Katie 22 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't 23 remember any of the details of those 24 conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa
family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban?
A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything 18 conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific
wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them.
deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. 20 Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any general
night about being deposed. 21 remember any of the details of those 22 Q. Did you tell them anything 21 remember any of the details of those 22 conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them?
22 Q. Did you tell them anything 22 conversations and not recently to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't
· '	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	filed? A. In Florida. Q. Is that case still pending? A. Again, I think I don't know the technical terms for it. Q. Okay. Has it been dismissed? A. There have been rulings, but I wouldn't want to use technical language. Q. Okay. Other than your attorneys, have you spoken with anyone about this current lawsuit? A. Yes. Q. Who have you spoken to? A. My family. Q. And who specifically within your family? A. My let's see, well, I told my wife this morning that I was being deposed, and I talked to my two sons last night about being deposed. Q. Did you tell them anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you spoken to them at any other point about this case or your deposition? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you talked to anyone else other than your attorneys about this deposition or this case? A. I don't remember specific conversations. Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about talking to Katie Baker or Alex Campbell excuse me, Tina Susman, Marisa Carroll, or Sharmila Venkatasubban? A. I don't recall any specific conversations with them. Q. Do you recall any general conversations with them? A. I think I've discussed with Katie Baker that we were being sued, but I don't remember any of the details of those conversations and not recently to my

Page 21 Page 22 Do you remember when y'all had 1 1 Have you reviewed anything in 2 those discussions? 2 preparation for this deposition? 3 Let's see, I left BuzzFeed in 3 Yes. A. 4 4 What have you reviewed? February of 2020, so it would have been O. 5 5 Let's see, I read your complaint. before that, and I also don't know if it A. 6 6 I read the article in question. was one discussion or multiple 7 7 discussions. I read an email -- you know, I 8 And all you discussed was being 8 don't want to say the specifics because I Q. 9 sued and nothing else? 9 can't kind of quote the text and the 10 Well, can you be more specific? 10 dates, but I read at least two emails that We talked about lots of things in our 11 11 were internal BuzzFeed emails from some 12 lives. 12 point in the last few years or between, 13 Q. Well, in regards to this article 13 you know, 2016 and 2020. or in regard to this lawsuit. 14 14 I'm trying to think if there's 15 As I recall -- the one thing I 15 anything else I reviewed. Hang on a 16 recall telling her, which was what I tell 16 second. I can't think of anything else, 17 reporters in general, was that these 17 but there probably are other things. processes are slow and they go on for a 18 18 What do you remember of those two 19 long time and she should just realize 19 emails you reviewed? 20 that. 20 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 21 form. Misstates the answer. O. Did she tell you anything? 21 22 Not that I recall. No, not that I A. 22 Ben, you can answer if you 23 recall. 23 like. Page 24 Page 23 1 You know, I can call them up in my A. 1 know. 2 2 email if you want me to look at them. I O. Were they sent or received --3 don't want to speculate on, you know, 3 I don't know for sure. A. 4 something I just read. 4 Q. Were these emails sent or received 5 5 (By Mr. Ritchey) That's fine. I'm before the article was published? 6 just asking do you remember anything right 6 I believe at least one was sent A. 7 now about what those emails stated? 7 before and I'm not sure of the context for 8 8 the other that I can think of, but I think I don't want to try to quote from 9 memory. I can call them up if you would 9 there were several emails actually and 10 10 they were chains of emails, so, again, I like. 11 11 don't really want to -- I'm not totally O. I'm not trying to make you quote. 12 Just in general what do you remember about 12 sure and I don't want to just sort of 13 13 those emails? guess from memory. If you like, I can go 14 You know, that they were emails 14 look at them. 15 that either I sent or received that had 15 Do you currently live in New York? Q. something to do with this case is what I 16 16 A. 17 17 Q. How long have you lived there? remember. 18 O. Do you remember if they were sent 18 A. I've lived here since 2001. 19 or received before or after this lawsuit 19 Have you ever been to Alabama? Q. 20 was filed? 20 A. I believe they were sent -- you 21 When did you -- or I guess when 21 Q. know -- no, I don't know. I don't know 22 did you go to Alabama? 22 23 the date of when you filed so I don't 23 I don't remember the precise --

Page 25 Page 26 1 I've been there -- I've been there more 1 Q. Okay. But currently --2 than once and I don't remember the precise 2 I could track that. I don't A. 3 dates. 3 remember for sure. 4 Do you remember what years? 4 O. No, that's fine. I'm just trying I've been to -- you know, I've 5 5 to get a little jury information, but A. 6 been to Birmingham I would say within the currently she doesn't live in Alabama? 6 7 7 last ten years, and I know I drove around No, she doesn't. 8 8 with my sister, must have been more than Is that the only time you've been Q. ten years ago. I remember visiting 9 9 to Alabama? 10 Aliceville and Tuscaloosa. 10 As I said, I think I've been there What was the nature of that trip? 11 at least twice and probably more, but I 11 Q. 12 don't remember the details. 12 A. Tourism. 13 Q. Just --13 Q. Did you have an email address 14 while you were at BuzzFeed? 14 Sort of a road trip. A. 15 Yes. 15 Did you visit anywhere specific in A. 16 Tuscaloosa? 16 Q. Do you remember what that was? We walked around the campus a 17 17 A. A. Did you use any other email 18 18 little bit. Q. 19 address for BuzzFeed purposes? 19 And this was with your sister? Q. 20 20 A. Yeah, my little sister. A. 21 Do you have any social media Does she live in Alabama? O. 21 O. 22 accounts? 22 No. Does she -- I believe she did 23 A. Yes. 23 live there at some point. Page 28 Page 27 1 Q. Which ones do you have? Q. Okay. 2 2 I have a Twitter account. I have And Slack. And there are probably 3 3 some others -- I'm sure there are others a Facebook account. I have an Instagram 4 4 that I haven't listed. account. 5 5 Do you want the ones I have now or Q. What's your Twitter handler? 6 the ones I had at a particular time? 6 It is now and previous to A. my current job it was @BuzzFeedBen. And 7 7 The ones you've had since we'll Q. 8 say 2016. 8 prior to that was it BenPolitico. 9 9 Okay. I mean, unfortunately, I am Did you make new Twitter accounts 10 in this business, so this list could go on 10 for each of those handlers or just change for a little bit. 11 11 the handler name? 12 Q. Okay. 12 A. Just changed the handle. 13 13 I have a LinkedIn account. I have O. Facebook is that just under your A. a Clubhouse account. I have an account on 14 14 name, Ben Smith? 15 Telegram. I have an account on Signal--15 It's a very common name. I think 16 it's I -- don't know what it's under 16 that's not social media, I'm sorry. 17 I have an account on Snapchat. I 17 technically, but it is under my name. And 18 have an account on Periscope. I have an 18 if you search -- I don't know how it 19 19 account on Path. I have an account on works. Actually it can be hard to find 20 GroupMe. TikTok, I don't know if that 20 Ben Smith. 21 counts as social media. WeChat and 21 Q. I bet. 22 MS. BOLGER: I'm married to 22 Nextdoor. That's what I'm looking at on 23 23 a guy named John Brown. I'm very close to my phone right now.

Page 29 Page 30 would have been under my Twitter name. 1 this. 1 2 (By Mr. Ritchey) I haven't had 2 Okay. Are you currently married? Q. Q. 3 3 that issue yet. Yes. A. 4 There's a star of the Bachelor 4 Who are you married to? O. 5 5 Her name is Liena Zagare. I can right now named Ben Smith which is a huge A. 6 6 spell that if you'd like. L-like problem for my life. 7 7 Do you ever get tweeted at when Larry-i-e like Edward-n like Nancy-a like 8 8 they're trying to get him? apple. 9 9 Unfortunately not. The photograph Last name Z like zebra-a like apple-g like girl-a like apple-r Robert-e 10 kind of does the trick. 10 like Edward. What's your Instagram handle? 11 11 Let me check here. I think it's 12 Has she ever lived in Alabama? 12 Q. 13 BuzzFeedBen -- hold on. Hang on just one 13 A. 14 Does she have any relatives that 14 second. It's BuzzFeedBen, all written Q. 15 live in Alabama? 15 together. 16 Q. And then is LinkedIn under your 16 A. Not that I know. 17 Do you have any adult children or 17 name? O. adult relatives that live in Alabama? 18 18 A. Yep. 19 19 Q. What about Periscope? A. 20 Have you ever served in the armed 20 I don't think Periscope is live O. A. 21 and exist anymore. It would be linked to forces ---21 22 Actually I would say I don't know 22 my Twitter account, but I think they've 23 -- I don't know all of my adult relatives. 23 turned it off. Twitter bought it, but it Page 31 Page 32 1 The ones you do know. was party -- again, I'm not sure -- I'm Q. 2 2 I have a complicated family, but sorry, I don't actually know what party to 3 not that I know of. It's possible, but I 3 means. Does that mean named --4 don't know. 4 Were you named -- yeah, were you 5 5 O. My grandfather was one of 13 so we actually named in the lawsuit? 6 have about 400 relatives that show up at 6 You know, there were a number of A. 7 7 family gatherings, so I know how that lawsuits I was involved in and I believe I 8 8 was named in some of them, but they were goes. 9 9 Have you ever served in the armed in kind of the ordinary course of running 10 10 a newsroom. I would have to check which forces? 11 11 A. No. ones I was party to. 12 Q. Have you ever been charged or 12 But just off the top of your head 13 do you remember any specifically? 13 arrested with a crime? I guess I -- you know, I remember 14 14 15 Sorry, the dog just pushed the 15 the lawsuits, but I don't remember who the 16 16 door open. named defendants were in BuzzFeed, yeah. 17 Q. Are you good? 17 And we've talked about all the 18 A. Yeah. 18 depositions or testimony --19 Have you ever been a party to a 19 And I should say I also -- I've 20 lawsuit other than this one? 20 been party to -- I'm trying to think. 21 I believe I've been party to other 21 A. Yes. 22 lawsuits that were at other moments in my 22 Q. And what lawsuit? 23 In my job as editor of BuzzFeed I 23 career, but I'm not absolutely sure, but I A.

Page 33 Page 34 1 could look it up for you if you'd like. 1 political blog. 2 You just don't remember them right 2 Okay. Do you remember any others? Q. Q. 3 now? 3 A. No. 4 There was a lawsuit, I just can't 4 Have you been married previously? Q. 5 5 remember again if I was the named A. 6 6 plaintiff or if my company was named and Q. Okay. All right. 7 whether it went -- whether it got beyond a 7 I kind of want to just get your 8 8 legal threat, but there was a blog I was employment background now. I know it's 9 involved in in a lawsuit, you know, gosh, 9 probably been a long career, but if you 10 must have been 2006. 10 would just tell me a little bit about any 11 kind of journalism or editing employment O. What blog was that? 11 12 It was called Room Eight, 12 jobs you've had prior to BuzzFeed. A. 13 e-i-g-h-t. 13 In which -- reverse or how would 14 Do you remember what the 14 you like me to go? Start at the beginning Q. 15 allegations were? 15 or at the end? 16 And I should say it's possible 16 Q. Yeah, let's start at the that I was named in other lawsuits. I 17 17 beginning. 18 don't think so, but it is part of 18 I was a -- they had a fellowship 19 journalism sometimes it happens, and so I 19 program, it was call the Pulliam 20 may be forgetting some. 20 Fellowship at the Indianapolis Star where 21 It would be 2000 and -- actually, 21 I covered police and crime in 1999. 22 it was a 2006 case was regarding whether 22 After that I worked for a 23 23 commenters on a blog remain anonymous in a newspaper called the Baltic Times in Riga, Page 35 Page 36 1 Lativa. I don't recall. A. 2 2 I was then what they call a Did you have a title position 3 stringer, kind of a freelancer for the 3 while you were at Indianapolis Star? 4 Wall Street Journal -- sorry, for the Wall 4 Yeah, I think they were called 5 5 Street Journal Europe, it was their Pulliam fellows, P-u-l-l-i-a-m, it was the 6 6 family that developed the paper. European edition. 7 7 I was the city hall reporter for a All right. And then you moved to 8 newspaper called the New York Sun from 8 the Baltic Times. Was there any reason 9 2000 and -- it launched in 2002 so it 9 for that change? 10 would have been 2002. 10 I mean my fellowship had run out A. 11 and I was applying for jobs. 11 I was then a reporter for a 12 newspaper called the New York Observer and 12 Q. Do you remember --13 13 then for the New York Daily News and then Which reminds me, and I guess I A. 14 for Politico from 2000 -- I guess it would 14 should probably revise my previous answer, 15 have been the News was 2006, Politico was 15 which I was also in Alabama when I applied 16 for a job at the Anniston Star in 1999. '07 to '11, and became the editor-in-chief 16 17 of BuzzFeed in January of 2012. 17 Have you ever worked for the Q. 18 O. Okay. How long were you at the 18 Anniston Star? 19 19 Indianapolis Star? Just a one day tryout. 20 Just a few months. 20 Did they ever offer you a Q. 21 Did you write about any sexual 21 position? 22 assault or rape cases while you were 22 A. 23 there? 23 Q. I'm assuming you didn't take it?

	Page 37		Page 38
1	A. Yeah, correct.	1	A. Less than a year.
2	Q. Any reason for that?	2	Q. What type of stories did you cover
3	A. The managing editor of the paper	3	at the Baltic Times?
4	at the end when he offered me the job	4	A. All kinds of stories.
5	told me when I told him I was either	5	Q. Did any involve sexual assaults or
6	going to do that or the two things I was	6	rapes?
7	excited about were Anniston and this weird	7	A. That was a long time ago. Not
8	job in eastern Europe, and he told me that	8	that I recall.
9	he had had a similar choice when he was a	9	Q. All right. Then you I guess came
10	young reporter and had chosen to come to	10	back to the New York Sun in 2002; right?
11	the Star and had always regretted it.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. I think you made the right choice.	12	Q. How long were you there?
13	A. So I don't know. I always	13	A. I came back in 2001, the paper
14	wondered. Sort of a strange thing to say.	14	launched in 2002, and I was there for
15	Q. All right. And so that so got	15	about two years.
16	well, he offered the Anniston Star	16	Q. Did you have a title position?
17	position, but you took the Baltic Times	17	A. City hall reporter, something like
18	position; is that right?	18	that. I don't remember the exact title
19	A. Uh-huh (affirmative) yes.	19	but I could check if you want.
20	Q. Okay. And when did you start	20	Q. That's enough for us. Did you
21	working for Baltic Times?	21	ever cover any sexual assaults or rapes
22	A. Fall of 1999.	22	while you were there?
23	Q. Just one year?	23	A. I primarily covered politics and
	Page 39		Page 40
1	politicians. I'm trying to think about	1	New York Sun from about 2002 to 2004; is
2	whether there were	2	that about right?
3	MS. BOLGER: I thought it	3	A. Yeah, through maybe late '03.
4	was mutually exclusive.	4	Q. Okay. And then you moved to New
5	A. That yeah, I'm trying I'm	5	York Observer?
6	just trying to think about the allegations	6	A. Yes.
7	against various politicians and when they	7	Q. Would that have been late 2003,
8	were while I was covering for the Sun.	8	that move?
9	Not that I recall for the Sun.	9	A. I could check. Somewhere in that
10	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. Was there	10	ballpark.
11	any reason you left the Baltic Times for	11	Q. Okay. Was there any reason for
12	the New York Sun?	12	the move?
13	A. I left it because I got a job	13	A. It was a more widely read
14	freelancing for the Wall Street Journal	14	publication.
15	Europe which was a better job, paid better	15	Q. How long were you with the New
16	and better publication.	16	York Observer?
17	Q. So you freelanced for the Wall	17	A. About two years.
18	Street Journal in Europe before moving to	18	Q. So about 2005?
19	New York Sun?	19	A. Yeah, ended '05.
20	A. Right.	20	Q. Did you have a position or a title
21	Q. How long was that freelance?	21	while there?
22	A. About two years.	22	A. I was city hall reporter.
	Q. So about, let's see, you were with	23	Q. Was that mainly politics as well?
23	O. So about, let's see, you were with	40	O. Was that mainly builties as well:

Page 41 Page 42 1 1 A. Yes. A. Yes, politics and government. 2 Q. Do you remember any sexual assault 2 Q. Do you remember any sexual assault 3 3 or rape cases that you covered? or rape case you covered while there? 4 You know, I worked so many stories 4 You know, I would have to go back 5 5 and refresh my memory. I don't remember a there and I would need to go back and 6 6 look. I don't remember specifically. specific one. 7 7 Okay. All right. Q. Was there any reason for leaving 8 8 the New York Observer for the Daily News? And then you moved to the New York Daily News after that? 9 9 It was more a widely read 10 A. Yeah. 10 publication and the job paid more. 11 All right. And then you went from 11 That's about 2006? O. the Daily News to Politico? 12 12 A. Uh-huh (affirmative). 13 Q. How long were you there? 13 A. Yeah. Any reason for that change? 14 14 A. One year. O. 15 15 Did you have a titled position It was an opportunity to write O. 16 while you were there? 16 about national rather than local politics. 17 Did you have a title position 17 City hall reporter, blogger, Q. 18 something like that. I can't remember 18 there? 19 19 A. I think it was something like exactly. 20 I had a column there. Actually 20 senior political reporter, but I would columnist was probably the title. 21 have to check. 21 22 22 Was that again more politic Did you cover any sexual assault 23 or rape cases while you were there? 23 related? Page 43 Page 44 1 You know, I don't remember the A. 1 remember the details, and that there were 2 2 exact either the charges or the exact allegations that the Republican leadership 3 3 timing of things like that. had covered up or had not acted 4 Maybe there weren't -- you know, I 4 appropriately on the initial allegations. 5 5 don't want to -- I don't remember the And I believe there were others 6 specific allegations in, for instance, the 6 but I don't remember the specifics. 7 7 Foley case, but there were a number of --That's the only one you 8 there were regular scandals around sexual 8 specifically remember? 9 misconduct of various kinds, in Congress 9 Hold on. I can think about it for 10 in particular. 10 a while if you want. Yeah, it's the only What do you remember about the 11 11 Q. one I specifically remember. 12 Foley case? 12 All right. From Politico you got 13 13 the editor-in-chief job at BuzzFeed; is I remember that he was a Florida 14 congressman accused of inappropriate 14 that right? 15 conduct toward pages and that there were 15 A. Yes. 16 -- gosh, you know, and this may have been 16 And you said that started in Q. 17 when I was at the Daily News rather than 17 January of 2012? 18 at the -- this was, in fact, when -- yeah, 18 Α. Yes. this must have been in '06, not '07 so I 19 19 O. And what was the reason for the 20 20 must have been at the Daily News, not at move? 21 Politico. 21 A. It was an opportunity to do 22 22 He was accused of inappropriate something new. 23 conduct toward pages, which I don't 23 Is there a reason why you moved Q.

Page 45 Page 46 1 1 away from a more political-based media could talk for a long time. 2 outlet? 2 I mean did he tell you why they 3 3 You know, I thought -- we covered were starting a BuzzFeed News site? A. 4 politics very, very aggressively at 4 Well, they weren't starting a 5 BuzzFeed, so I didn't really see it as 5 BuzzFeed News site. They were --6 What were they doing? 6 that. 7 7 Q. So did BuzzFeed have BuzzFeed News MS. BOLGER: Let me object. 8 8 I just don't actually understand the before you were hired on as 9 editor-in-chief? 9 question --10 No, I was hired to start doing 10 These are very broad questions --11 MS. BOLGER: Hold on, hold 11 news there. Do you remember who you were hired 12 on, Ben. 12 Q. 13 by? 13 This is crazy broad 14 Do you mean like who my boss was 14 questions that have nothing to do with A. 15 15 or sent me the offer letter? this litigation. 16 I guess did you interview with 16 So obviously Ben can answer, 17 17 anyone? but this is a waste of everybody's time, 18 18 With Jonah Peretti, the founder. but, Ben, you can answer if you can. A. 19 Did he tell you anything about the 19 A. Could you just repeat the Q. job? 20 20 question? 21 Lots. 21 (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you remember A. Q. 22 22 What do you remember? if he told you any reason why BuzzFeed was O. 23 Could you be more specific? I 23 getting into more breaking news? A. Page 48 Page 47 1 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 1 Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. 2 2 form. He didn't say --Did he ever tell you he wanted to 3 We didn't really -- we didn't 3 capitalize on the landscape change you 4 really talk about breaking news. 4 mentioned? 5 5 I don't recall him ever using the MS. BOLGER: Yeah, he didn't A. 6 say they were talking about breaking news. 6 word capitalize. 7 7 (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. What about Did he use another word to --Q. 8 just news in general? 8 A. No, I don't -- you know, he wrote 9 9 Well, I think, as I recall, he and was quoted very, very widely on this 10 believed that the social media landscape 10 stuff, and I don't remember exactly what had changed in way that the content that 11 he thought and said when. 11 12 people were sharing had broadened to 12 A lot of it was in the public 13 include news. 13 domain, so I could go -- if you like, I 14 Did he want to capitalize on that 14 could refresh my memory on it. 15 landscape change? 15 Just what you know right now is Q. 16 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 16 fine. 17 form. You're asking what Jonah Peretti 17 A. Yeah, I don't want to -- I don't 18 thought in 2012 and you're asking that 18 want to remember exactly what he was 19 question of Ben Smith. 19 thinking when. I just don't. 20 20 Okay. As an editor-in-chief of Ben, if you know what was in Jonah's head, go ahead and tell him. BuzzFeed News what's your duties and 21 21 22 Yeah, I don't know what was in 22 responsibilities or what were your duties 23 Jonah's head. 23 and responsibilities?

Page 49 Page 50 1 Well, they changed a lot over the 1 question calls you to talk about any 2 course of eight years. 2 article ever written other than this one, 3 Okay. 3 don't answer it; that would be privileged. Q. 4 Is there a period in which you 4 But if you feel that you can 5 would like me to answer that specifically? 5 answer that very broad question otherwise, Yeah, what about we'll just say go ahead. 6 6 7 2015 to maybe 2018. Is that a good 7 Given that, I don't think I can A. 8 8 period? answer that question. 9 A. Yeah, yeah. I mean, I managed a 9 (By Mr. Ritchey) I mean, was there 10 team of editors and reporters and at times 10 a standard that you implemented so that people producing video and audio content, articles would be accurate? 11 11 covering -- you know, covering a pretty 12 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 12 13 wide range of stories around the world and 13 form. 14 trying to get those -- you know, to tell 14 But if you can answer, do. 15 15 the stories that interested people, to be I mean, there's a long kind of 16 accurate, to be fast, to be fair. What 16 tradition in journalism of professionalism 17 and a focus on accuracy and fairness, and 17 pretty much every news organization does. 18 How did you maintain accuracy in 18 we -- and it's, you know, we both had 19 published articles? 19 standards and sort of internal -- you 20 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 20 know, we're professional journalists, and 21 form of the question. 21 I also hire very professional 22 Way too broad, first of all, 22 professionals as editors. 23 but also, Ben, to the extent that that 2.3 You know, we took it very Page 51 Page 52 1 seriously. It's hard for me to answer facts and be fair and be accurate, but we 2 that question without talking about 2 also did have a special team that worked 3 specific stories though. 3 on larger investigations. 4 (By Mr. Ritchey) Did BuzzFeed News 4 So you keep mentioning being fair 5 5 generally just write investigative and accurate. What does that take in 6 reports? 6 journalism? 7 7 A. No. MS. BOLGER: Object to the 8 What other type of stories or 8 form. 9 articles were written? 9 If you understand it, you 10 We covered breaking news, you 10 can answer it. 11 know, sort of the news of day. We covered 11 Yeah, could you be more specific? 12 technology on the internet. We covered 12 (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah, I mean, you 13 13 keep saying you try to be fair and politics. We covered sports for a time. We accurate. What does that entail with 14 14 15 covered fashion for a time. We covered --15 regards to writing a story or we covered news in a number of other 16 investigating a story? 16 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 17 countries in the United States. 17 18 We covered -- I mean, I can kind 18 form --19 of go on. Is there -- we covered -- and 19 A. I think those are very clear, 20 there were -- and a lot of journalism is 20 simple words and then what you -- each 21 investigative in the sense that you're, 21 story is really specific and detailed and 22 you know, trying to -- all journalism in 22 the questions about how, you know, whether 23 some ways is you're trying to get to the 23 you're relying on public documents or

	Page 53		Page 54
1	something a public figure said or a	1	with anyone?
2	private individual, it can vary a great	2	A. Yes.
3	deal on how you kind of implement your	3	Q. Who did you share that with?
4	values.	4	A. There were probably I mean at
5	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) While you were	5	various levels at various levels
6	editor-in-chief at BuzzFeed News did you	6	reporters and editors at any point could
7	generally review articles before they were	7	decide that a story was, you know, that a
8	published?	8	story they were pursuing shouldn't be
9	A. I don't think there was a when	9	published for any number of reasons.
10	you say I think I'm I reviewed a lot	10	Q. But you had the final say over
11	of articles, but I also had a team of	11	both reporters and editors?
12	really experienced and trusted editors who	12	A. Yes, on a day-to-day basis. You
13	worked on a lot of articles, and so I did	13	know, ultimately my boss Jonah Peretti ran
14	not review everything before it was	14	the company and had the final say.
15	published.	15	Q. Did you report to Jonah Peretti?
16	Q. Did you have a final say as to	16	A. Yes.
17	whether a study or a story would be	17	Q. Did you ever engage in fact
18	published on BuzzFeed News?	18	checking stories or articles prior to
19	A. Yes.	19	publication?
20	Q. Could you choose not to publish	20	A. What do you mean by fact checking?
21	certain stories on BuzzFeed News?	21	Q. To ensure the information or facts
22	A. Yes.	22	included in the article were accurate.
23	Q. And did you share that authority	23	
23	Q. And the you share that authority	23	A. In every story we worked to ensure
	Page 55		Page 56
1	that the facts were accurate.	1	content and so I think I you know,
2	Q. Did you ever suggest stories to	2	certainly outside no, we certainly
3	reporters?	3	didn't allow advertisers or anyone else to
4	A. Yes.	4	influence the content of stories on
5	Q. Did you suggest in regards to	5	BuzzFeed News.
6	this particular article we're here on	6	Q. And I think this these are two
7	today, did you suggest that story to Katie	7	separate things. Is BuzzFeed.com and
8	Baker?	8	BuzzFeed News two separate
9	MS. BOLGER: Object to the	9	A. They were not initially separate
10	form.	10	and then they were very and then the
11	Why don't you tell Ben what	11	website was divided in various ways, and
12	story you're talking about?	12	then at some point it became a separate
13	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm sorry for	13	domain.
14	assuming, but it's the Katie Baker story	14	Q. Okay. But were you the
15	that was published about the Rondini rape	15	editor-in-chief for BuzzFeed.com as a
16	allegations, I believe it was published	16	whole or just the news section of it?
17	June 22nd, 2017.	17	A. At different times the place
18	A. No.	18	grew, and so at different times I was over
19	Q. Have any companies ever paid for	19	different bits of it, but included it,
20	stories to be published under BuzzFeed	20	yeah.
21	News?	21	Q. Well, let's go through that. I
22	A. Well, all publications run	22	guess when you started what were you the
23	advertising which is, you know, paid	23	editor-in-chief over
İ	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Page 57 Page 58 1 A. Of BuzzFeed.com and there was no 1 URL of its own, BuzzFeedNews.com. 2 distinction. 2 You were the editor-in-chief of 3 3 Okay. And then did that change BuzzFeed News for the investigating, Q. 4 during your tenure? 4 writing, editing processes of the article that we're here for? 5 Yes. 5 A. Do you remember when? 6 6 Q. A. Yes. 7 7 We -- I don't. It's all on the O. Did you ever give reporters a A. 8 8 click, view, impression or shares quota? internet, but I don't remember the dates. 9 MS. BOLGER: Sorry, I 9 And then what -- can you just tell 10 me what that change was? 10 actually didn't hear or understand that Yeah, we started doing video as 11 question. 11 12 well as web content and so a different Scotch, would you do it 12 13 executive was in charge of the video. 13 again? MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind 14 And then at some point we swapped 14 15 repeating that, Nancy? 15 it so that I was in charge of news video 16 and web content and he was in charge of 16 (Whereupon, requested portion was non-news video and web content. 17 read back by court reporter.) 17 18 MR. RITCHEY: Quota. 18 And then at some point along the 19 COURT REPORTER: Quota, 19 way we introduced a brand that we sort of 20 20 started just referring to the news content sorry. 21 21 as BuzzFeed News, so it had been news all A. Not that I recall. 22 (By Mr. Ritchey) I believe you 22 along and then -- and then -- and then at 23 said you worked for BuzzFeed until 23 some later point we broke that out onto a Page 59 Page 60 1 February of 2020; is that right? to start a new job. 1 2 Yeah, I can't remember if my last 2 O. (By Mr. Ritchey) Really. 3 day was the last day of February or the 3 Very weird. A. 4 first day of March. It was one of those 4 Q. Are you still with the New York 5 5 two. Times? 6 6 Q. Okay. Was there any reason for Α. Yes. 7 7 leaving? Q. Are you still media columnist? 8 Yeah, I was -- I had been managing 8 A. 9 9 for eight years and was eager to get back Have you covered any rapes or to writing and had been offered a really 10 10 sexual allegations while being the media attractive job doing that. columnist at New York Times? 11 11 12 Q. So did you like writing better 12 I've covered allegations of sexual A. 13 than managing? 13 assault and rape. 14 No, I love managing. I just get 14 Do you remember which ones you Q. 15 tired -- you kind of burn out on it. 15 covered? And what position or job did you 16 16 I covered the -- I'm not sure if A. 17 take after leaving BuzzFeed? 17 it's an exhaustive list, but I wrote about 18 A. The media columnist job at the New 18 some of the allegations against Harvey 19 York Times. 19 Weinstein and I wrote about allegations 20 And you started that about 20 against a union leader in Pittsburgh. 21 February or March of 2020? 21 Do you have a supervisor at New Q. 22 March of 2020. 22 York Times? MS. BOLGER: Hell of a time 23 23 Yes. A.

		1	
	Page 61		Page 62
1	Q. Who is that?	1	A. 1999.
2	A. Her name is Carolyn Ryan.	2	Q. What was the degree in?
3	Q. Did you attend high school?	3	A. Linguistics.
4	A. Yeah.	4	Q. Did you ever write for the school
5	Q. Where did you attend?	5	newspaper while you were at Yale?
6	A. School called Trinity in New York	6	A. Yes.
7	City.	7	Q. What was that newspaper called?
8	Q. And when did you attend?	8	A. The two that I wrote for were
9	A. I graduated in	9	called the Yale Herald and the New
	Yeah.	10	Journal. There are other school
11	Q. I think so. I'm not good at math.	11	newspapers as well.
12	Did you attend college?	12	Q. That was the New Journal?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. Yeah.
14	Q. Where did you attend?	14	Q. Were you the editor-in-chief of
15	A. Yale University.	15	any of those papers?
16	Q. When did you start there?	16	A. No.
17	A. 1995.	17	Q. Did you cover any sexual assault
18	Q. Did you major or minor in anything	18	or rape allegations at either?
19	while you were at Yale?	19	A. I don't remember.
20	A. I majored in linguistics.	20	Q. Did you have any other schooling
21	Q. Did you graduate from Yale?	21	after graduating from Yale?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. No. The fellowship in
23	Q. When did you graduate?	23	Indianapolis had an educational component,
	Page 63		Page 64
1	but other than that no.	1	school?
2	Q. Was that fellowship paid?	2	A. No.
3	A. Yeah.	3	Q. Any media law courses in school?
4	Q. Have you taken any journalism	4	A. No.
5	courses?	l –	
6		5	Q. Any interviewing technique courses
	A. No.	6	Q. Any interviewing technique courses in school?
7	A. No.Q. No media ethics or journalism		
7 8		6	in school?
8 9	Q. No media ethics or journalism	6 7	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after
8	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics?	6 7 8	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes
8 9	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the	6 7 8 9	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after
8 9 10 11 12	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form.	6 7 8 9 10	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down
8 9 10 11	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school	6 7 8 9 10 11	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men MS. BOLGER: the newsroom? What do you mean? Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. Right now	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you know, in the newsroom you have all sorts
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men MS. BOLGER: the newsroom? What do you mean? Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. Right now just courses in school.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you know, in the newsroom you have all sorts of training.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men MS. BOLGER: the newsroom? What do you mean? Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. Right now	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you know, in the newsroom you have all sorts of training. Q. More on-the-job training? A. Yeah, around all those things MS. BOLGER: Object to the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men MS. BOLGER: the newsroom? What do you mean? Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. Right now just courses in school.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you know, in the newsroom you have all sorts of training. Q. More on-the-job training? A. Yeah, around all those things
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men MS. BOLGER: the newsroom? What do you mean? Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. Right now just courses in school. A. Not in school.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you know, in the newsroom you have all sorts of training. Q. More on-the-job training? A. Yeah, around all those things MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. I don't think that's what he said. But you can answer, Ben.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men MS. BOLGER: the newsroom? What do you mean? Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. Right now just courses in school. A. Not in school. Q. Any news writing courses in school? A. No.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you know, in the newsroom you have all sorts of training. Q. More on-the-job training? A. Yeah, around all those things MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. I don't think that's what he said. But you can answer, Ben. A. Yeah, around all of those things.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. No media ethics or journalism ethics? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. You mean courses in school or courses in THE WITNESS: You mean courses at school or do you men MS. BOLGER: the newsroom? What do you mean? Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. Right now just courses in school. A. Not in school. Q. Any news writing courses in school?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in school? A. No. Q. Have you taken any kind of classes or schooling regarding those topics after school? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you want me to go down the list again or can you tell me A. No, I mean, I think I was you know, in the newsroom you have all sorts of training. Q. More on-the-job training? A. Yeah, around all those things MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. I don't think that's what he said. But you can answer, Ben.

Page 65 Page 66 1 classes or attend any seminars or any 1 Did you ever conduct any research 2 other schooling concerning those topics? 2 on Alabama law concerning rape or sexual 3 You mean outside newsrooms? 3 assaults? A. 4 Q. Right. 4 A. 5 5 A. I think only as sort of a speaker Have you ever taken a criminal law Q. 6 in the classes, not as a student. 6 course concerning Alabama law? 7 Q. What did you speak about? 7 A. 8 I've spoken to many journalism 8 A. Q. Have you ever consulted with an classes about many different things. attorney that has not been retained by you 9 9 Do they include those topics we 10 10 concerning rape or sexual assault under just discussed? 11 Alabama law? 11 12 Some of them. Yeah, some of them, A. 12 I think I probably am not supposed although I don't really have a 13 13 to share the contents of my conversations 14 comprehensive list. They weren't, you 14 with my attorney in this case, correct. 15 know, kind of my classes. 15 Right. Not with your attorney in 16 Have you ever had any law 16 this case. 17 enforcement training? 17 MS. BOLGER: Sorry, I 18 A. No. 18 thought you said not with an attorney. 19 Q. Do you know what the elements of 19 (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm trying to get 20 rape are under Alabama law? 20 anyone that you hadn't retained. 21 You know, I read your complaint 21 A. No. 22 but that's all I know it from and I 22 Have you ever had to issue a O. 23 wouldn't have it from my time right now. 23 retraction for any of your articles? Page 68 Page 67 What kind of issue? 1 1 extent it's not public. If it's public, A. 2 2 MS. BOLGER: You hit. vou can answer it. 3 3 Scotch, I didn't hear you. Can you do If it relates to newsroom 4 that again? 4 information, do not answer that question 5 5 MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind unless it's the article at issue here. 6 repeating that, Nancy? 6 We retracted some articles that 7 (Whereupon, requested portion was 7 were plagiarized. They weren't entirely 8 read back by court reporter.) 8 plagiarized, but there was plagiarism in 9 Q. Have you ever had to issue a 9 them. retraction? 10 10 (By Mr. Ritchey) Who wrote those O. 11 Had to issue a retraction. 11 Α. articles? 12 I've had to correct articles. 12 A guy named Benny Johnson. That 13 13 Q. Okay. was when I was at BuzzFeed. You know 14 I'm not sure what -- issuing a what, actually we didn't retract them. We 14 15 retraction isn't really necessarily --15 corrected them, I'm sorry. 16 Have you ever retracted an Nothing comes to mind that we Q. 16 17 retracted but it's not really, you know, article? 17 18 That I wrote or that I published? A. 18 you try to get it right and fix it. It's 19 Either. O. 19 not always clear what's a retraction and 20 Yes. 20 A. what's a correction. 21 What article was that? 21 Okay. Have you had to retract any O. 22 MS. BOLGER: I'm going to 22 other article besides the ones Benny 23 instruct Ben not to -- answer to the 23 Johnson wrote?

Page 69 Page 70 Again, I don't think we did, in 1 1 get something wrong, you correct it. 2 fact, retract those. I think we corrected 2 Have you ever had to issue a 3 3 them. public apology for an article you wrote? 4 Have you had to correct any other 4 MS. BOLGER: I'm going to 5 object to the form. I don't know what 5 article, either written by you or as an 6 6 editor? that is. 7 7 A. Yes, you always correct whenever But, Ben, if that's 8 there's an error as quick as you can; you 8 meaningful to you, go ahead. want to get it right. 9 9 I mean everything is public that Do you remember how many you've 10 you do in reporting, so there's no private 10 11 had to correct? 11 -- I mean, you know, but there's one 12 article that comes to mind for me that 12 Of my own articles or articles we 13 published at BuzzFeed? 13 that kind of describes. 14 We'll start with of your own. 14 (By Mr. Ritchey) What is that Q. Q. 15 15 No. article? A. 16 What about at BuzzFeed? I reported incorrectly that John O. 16 17 No, I don't remember. I don't 17 Edwards was planning to drop out of the 2007 presidential campaign based on a 18 think I ever knew how many. 18 Okay. Do you remember any other source who had -- yeah, and that was 19 19 20 ones besides the ones concerning Benny 20 inaccurate, so I apologized and explained 21 Johnson? 21 why I had gotten it wrong. 22 22 Do you remember what publication Not specifically right now, but A. 23 it's a routine -- you routinely when you 23 that was for? Page 71 Page 72 1 Politico. 1 A. good. 2 2 MR. RITCHEY: It's been VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 3 3 about an hour and I'm going to sort of record at 10:38. 4 switch topics right now, so if y'all want 4 (Recess was taken.) 5 5 to take a break, we can take about five or VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the 6 ten minutes. 6 record at 10:44. 7 7 MS. BOLGER: Ben, do you (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm going to 8 want to break or do you want to keep 8 share my screen and this is a story 9 9 entitled BuzzFeed Defends Publishing going? 10 THE WITNESS: I would rather 10 Unverified Allegations About Donald 11 just keep going if we can. I'm on a 11 Trump's Russia Ties, written by Michael 12 deadline. 12 Calderone. MR. RITCHEY: That's fine; I 13 I can't see it. There it is. 13 MS. BOLGER: Scotch, we 14 understand. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Column's due 15 can't see what you're looking at, what at the end of the day of today and I've 16 publication this is or anything. 16 THE WITNESS: You're very 17 had all week so, of course, today's busy. 17 Actually, let me just get 18 18 zoomed in. some water. I'll be back in one second. 19 19 MR. RITCHEY: Give me one 20 MS. BOLGER: Why don't we 20 second and let me see if I can get a take a break. We'll just go off for five 21 better shot. The pdf function didn't work 21 22 very well. 22 minutes. MR. RITCHEY: That sounds 23 23 MS. BOLGER: Are you marking

	Page 73		Page 74
1	this?	1	Q. What I'll do is I'll scroll and if
2	MR. RITCHEY: Yes, I'll mark	2	you want to read it or review it, skim it,
3	this.	3	whatever you need to do, let me know
4	COURT REPORTER: It will be	4	A. I can only see the right margin of
5	111.	5	the story. All I see is a little corner
6	MR. RITCHEY: This will be	6	of the blue photo. I don't think making
7	111.	7	my screen bigger is going to help though.
8	(Whereupon, a document was marked	8	Q. You can't see the whole pdf?
9	as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 111 and	9	A. No, I'm just looking at the right
10	is attached to the original	10	side of the pdf. If you center it a
11	transcript.)	11	little bit, it might
12	Q. All right. Is that better?	12	MS. BOLGER: Funny, I can
13	MS. BOLGER: I still didn't	13	actually see the whole thing. I wonder if
14	know what publication this is.	14	it's
15	MR. RITCHEY: It's the Huff	15	A. I've got a few options here. Fit
16	Post.	16	to window oh, I got it. Yeah, I see.
17	Q. Have you read this article?	17	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) And I can scroll
18	MS. BOLGER: Why don't you	18	whenever you're ready.
19	let him take a look at it	19	A. Can you scroll up? Can you scroll
20	A. I can only see the corner of it.	20	down now? Keep scrolling please. Could
21	Q. Do you want to review it?	21	you keep scrolling please? Could you keep
22	A. I can't I just see a few words	22	scrolling please? Okay.
23	there on my screen.	23	I think I understand the gist of
	,		T diffic I directional the gist of
	Daga 75		
	Page 75		Page 76
1	the story.	1	Page 76 quoted I guess passage in an internal
1 2	_	1 2	-
	the story.		quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
2 3 4	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just	2	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our
2	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah.	2 3	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our
2 3 4	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be	2 3 4	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our
2 3 4 5	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share	2 3 4 5	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously has nothing to do with the story at issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two presidents, the president and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously has nothing to do with the story at issue in this litigation and the First Amendment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two presidents, the president and the president-elect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously has nothing to do with the story at issue in this litigation and the First Amendment law always ask you to look at the article	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two presidents, the president and the president-elect. I was making the case that it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously has nothing to do with the story at issue in this litigation and the First Amendment law always ask you to look at the article that's actually at issue rather than other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two presidents, the president and the president-elect. I was making the case that it was appropriate to say here's an unverified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously has nothing to do with the story at issue in this litigation and the First Amendment law always ask you to look at the article that's actually at issue rather than other work done by a publishing company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two presidents, the president and the president-elect. I was making the case that it was appropriate to say here's an unverified document but because it's been briefed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously has nothing to do with the story at issue in this litigation and the First Amendment law always ask you to look at the article that's actually at issue rather than other work done by a publishing company. I'm going to let Ben answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two presidents, the president and the president-elect. I was making the case that it was appropriate to say here's an unverified document but because it's been briefed to these high government officials and it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously has nothing to do with the story at issue in this litigation and the First Amendment law always ask you to look at the article that's actually at issue rather than other work done by a publishing company. I'm going to let Ben answer but I'm going to object for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two presidents, the president and the president-elect. I was making the case that it was appropriate to say here's an unverified document but because it's been briefed to these high government officials and it's in circulation among all of these powerful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the story. Q. Okay. I'm really going to just ask about looks like you're quoted here on page 3, and I've got it highlighted. A. Yeah. Q. It says our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers. What did you mean or first of all, did you say that? A. I believe it's quoting from a memo I wrote. I don't have it in front of me but I believe that's correct. MS. BOLGER: Hold on. Scotch, I object. This story obviously has nothing to do with the story at issue in this litigation and the First Amendment law always ask you to look at the article that's actually at issue rather than other work done by a publishing company. I'm going to let Ben answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	quoted I guess passage in an internal memo? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. And what did you mean by our presumption is to be transparent in our journalism and to share what we have with our readers? MS. BOLGER: Same objection. You can answer, Ben. A. Well, I mean every story is different and it's a little hard to but in this case what I was talking about was the question of whether there was a document circulating the highest level of government that had been briefed to two presidents, the president and the president-elect. I was making the case that it was appropriate to say here's an unverified document but because it's been briefed to these high government officials and it's

Page 77 Page 78 1 1 (By Mr. Ritchey) What do you readers to see it and to sort of consider in general when withholding 2 understand that context even though it's 2 3 3 -- so that's what I was talking about information from readers? 4 4 MS. BOLGER: Object to the there. 5 5 Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe in form of the question. 6 sharing everything with readers? 6 You can answer generally, if 7 7 MS. BOLGER: Object to the you can, but, again, any specifics have to 8 8 relate to this article. form. You mean in the universe? (By Mr. Ritchey) In regards to a 9 9 THE WITNESS: To the article 10 story. Do you believe in sharing 10 in front of me or to the Alabama article? everything that's been investigated or 11 MS. BOLGER: Sorry, the 11 uncovered during the writing or 12 12 Alabama article. investigative processes of articles? 13 13 You know, again, these stories are MS. BOLGER: Objection. very specific and very different from each 14 14 15 15 other and they can be about the President Don't talk about any particular article 16 other than this one, but you can talk 16 of the United States or they can be about, 17 you know, the New York City subway system 17 generally. I mean every story is different 18 or they can be about a lot of different 18 19 19 and specific. In some sense there's, you things, and in each case the stories are 20 know, an infinite amount of information on 20 really different. 21 every article so you're making choices 21 But in general there's basically 22 22 about what you include and what you don't an infinite amount of material and talk to 23 23 lots of people and you are making choices include. Page 80 Page 79 1 always about what from that to include and Let's see, I mean I guess I A. 2 2 understand the word accurate to mean true. exclude, but there's no -- it's hard to 3 speak in general because each story is so 3 And I guess I just understand the word 4 specific. 4 fair to mean fair. 5 5 I don't have -- I mean, it's a (By Mr. Ritchey) Should 6 exculpatory material be shared with 6 pretty clear English word. Could you ask 7 7 a more specific question? readers? 8 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 8 Well, you just kept using these 9 9 terms, and I just want to understand what form. 10 10 your understanding of them, you know, Same instruction, Ben. You know, stories are so specific 11 definition and your meaning of these terms 11 12 and you try to be as fair and as accurate 12 13 as you can and include everything --13 MS. BOLGER: Object to the include what's relevant and include what's form. He's answered the question. The 14 14 15 15 words mean what the words mean. true. 16 16 You can answer again if you Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) What's your 17 definition of fair and accurate? 17 would like, Ben. 18 I mean, I think those -- I hope I 18 I'm sorry, would you like me to 19 understand those words as other people do. 19 try again? 20 I don't have a dictionary definition 20 (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. MS. BOLGER: You can if you 21 beyond the way they're understood --21 22 What's your understanding of their 22 like. You've answered it already, but you 23 meaning? 23 can answer again.

Page 81 Page 82 1 (By Mr. Ritchey) You say accurate Okay. I guess I mean to me the 1 O. 2 means true and there can be -word accurate is basically equivalent to 2 3 3 You could have a story in which -the word true, and so that's what that 4 4 are you -- you're asking whether there's a 5 And I guess I think I've always 5 story in which details could be -- I can 6 6 used the word fair in a way that I think think of an example of a story in which 7 7 is pretty commonly used and that's -- I've there were details that were true but the 8 never had any trouble with people 8 gist of the story was misleading. 9 9 Do you remember what the name of understanding it. Q. 10 (By Mr. Ritchey) Can an article be 10 that story was? 11 accurate but misleading? Should I be talking about the 11 MS. BOLGER: Object to the article that's not mentioned in this case? 12 12 13 form. 13 MS. BOLGER: No. we're not 14 I don't understand what 14 talking about articles other than this one 15 these questions are or what they relate to 15 in which you've been involved in the news and I don't understand these questions. 16 gathering. If you want to talk about --16 Then no, I certainly don't think 17 Ben, if you think you can 17 that applies to this article. 18 answer this strange hypothetical, go 18 (By Mr. Ritchey) I mean is there 19 ahead. 19 20 You know, I think I would want to 20 an article that has been published that A. 21 talk about the specific case. I mean, I'm 21 you think is misleading? 22 having trouble sort of with the 22 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 23 hypothetical. 23 form. Page 84 Page 83 1 He's not here to talk about 1 about certain individual's tax returns 2 2 other articles and he won't talk about that generated an article about -- that 3 other articles published by BuzzFeed. I 3 suggested that files were quote/unquote 4 will not instruct him not to answer 4 missing. 5 5 questions that relate to any article ever And while the details in the story 6 published by BuzzFeed other than the one 6 were accurate. I believed that the broad 7 7 at issue here. -- that the claim that files were missing 8 If you think something 8 was not accurate; the guy just didn't have 9 written in 19, you know, in 1885 by Herman 9 access to them. Melville is misleading, knock yourself 10 10 (By Mr. Ritchey) Was that Ronan Q. out, but nothing about BuzzFeed. 11 11 Farrow? 12 Okay. The article I had in mind 12 A. Yes. 13 was not about BuzzFeed, so I should 13 COURT REPORTER: What was 14 14 answer? his name? 15 MS. BOLGER: Yes. 15 MR. RITCHEY: Ronan Farrow. 16 16 Yeah, there was an article in the F-a-r-r-o-w. 17 New Yorker magazine about, for example, an 17 A. Yes. 18 article that is accurate and misleading. 18 O. In general, should contradictions 19 I have used it in that context before 19 be shared with readers? 20 about a -- gosh, what was his job? 20 Hang on just one second. I just 21 He was an employee of the Internal 21 realized I've got to postpone a call. 22 Revenue Service who -- well, it was about 22 Hang on. Sorry. 23 -- who leaked some documents about --23 MS. BOLGER: Object to the

	5 05		D 06
	Page 85		Page 86
1	form.	1	MS. BOLGER: Object to the
2	(Off the record.)	2	form. And also, Ben, same instruction.
3	A. Sorry about that. I'm back.	3	A. I mean there are hundreds of
4	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay.	4	different kinds of stories.
5	Do you want to read back that last	5	(Off the record.)
6	question?	6	A. You know, I guess there are so
7	(Whereupon, requested portion was	7	many different types or stories and
8	read back by court reporter.)	8	different situations that come up with
9	MS. BOLGER: Object to the	9	stories and every single one is kind of
10	form because I don't understand the	10	unique so it's kind of hard to speak in
11	question.	11	general.
12	Ben, if you do	12	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would excluding
13	A. I'm afraid I don't really	13	contradictions from the story make that
14	understand the question.	14	story inaccurate?
15	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) If a reporter	15	MS. BOLGER: Object to the
16	uncovers a contradiction of one source,	16	form.
17	should that be shared with readers?	17	A. I think should I answer or no?
18	A. These stories are so specific and	18	MS. BOLGER: You can answer.
19	kind of detail oriented, it's really hard	19	A. There are many, many different
20	to it's hard to answer that question in	20	types of stories in which and these
21	general.	21	just aren't abstract questions I mean when
22	Q. In what circumstances would	22	you come across them.
23	contradictions not be shared with readers?	23	(Off-the-record discussion.)
23	contradictions not be shared with readers?	23	(Off-the-record discussion.)
	Page 87		
	rage or		Page 88
1	_	1	
1 2	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should	1 2	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers?
	_		material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers?
2	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers?	2	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a
2 3 4	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the	2 3 4	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or
2 3 4 5	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction.	2 3 4 5	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but
2 3 4 5 6	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many	2 3 4 5 6	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't
2 3 4 5	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different	2 3 4 5 6 7	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many, many different stories, many kinds of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form A. Could you give me a more specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many, many different stories, many kinds of stories and in each story you're deciding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form A. Could you give me a more specific example	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many, many different stories, many kinds of stories and in each story you're deciding what you do put in the story and those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form A. Could you give me a more specific example MS. BOLGER: object to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many, many different stories, many kinds of stories and in each story you're deciding what you do put in the story and those decisions always depend based on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form A. Could you give me a more specific example MS. BOLGER: object to the form and misstates testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many, many different stories, many kinds of stories and in each story you're deciding what you do put in the story and those decisions always depend based on the actual details and what the story is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form A. Could you give me a more specific example MS. BOLGER: object to the form and misstates testimony. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm just saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many, many different stories, many kinds of stories and in each story you're deciding what you do put in the story and those decisions always depend based on the actual details and what the story is about. They're not abstract questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form A. Could you give me a more specific example MS. BOLGER: object to the form and misstates testimony. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm just saying if a source if a reporter uncovers a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many, many different stories, many kinds of stories and in each story you're deciding what you do put in the story and those decisions always depend based on the actual details and what the story is about. They're not abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) What factors into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Should inconsistencies in general be shared with readers? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. Same instruction. A. You know, there are just so many different stories and so many different types of stories and you make these decisions in the context of each story and they're not really abstract questions. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) So it would be okay for a reporter or even an editor to withhold inconsistencies in source's stories? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form A. Could you give me a more specific example MS. BOLGER: object to the form and misstates testimony. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm just saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	material or statements, should that be withheld from the readers? A. If you want to give me like a really specific kind of hypothetical or case, I guess I could try and decide, but these just aren't we kind of don't encounter these as abstract questions and it's really hard to answer that way. Q. Would there be times where withholding inconsistencies would be appropriate? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, these stories you just doing the job you do there are many, many different stories, many kinds of stories and in each story you're deciding what you do put in the story and those decisions always depend based on the actual details and what the story is about. They're not abstract questions.

Page 89 Page 90 1 The details of the story factor 1 bunch of decisions about what goes in the 2 into the decisions. 2 story, but it's -- so it's -- there's no 3 What do you mean by that? 3 real kind of general sort of -- yeah, it's Q. 4 Well, stories, you know, can be 4 -- that's not really something you answer 5 composed of -- they can be composed of 5 in the abstract. 6 public records. They can be composed of 6 (By Mr. Ritchey) No formula? Q. 7 interviews with people. 7 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 8 They can be composed of things 8 form. 9 people have said in public, things people 9 A. I'm not sure I would use that 10 have said on the record, things people 10 word. I'm not sure what it means. 11 have said off the record. 11 (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. There's no 12 You can talk to anywhere between 12 set of guidelines or strict standards that 13 one and a thousand people. You can --13 you could follow? 14 there's just, you know, you can be MS. BOLGER: Object to the 14 15 planning -- you can be writing something 15 form. Object to the form. that's 10,000 words long or you can be 16 16 You can answer, Ben. 17 writing something that's a hundred words 17 A. I mean I think many organizations, long, and those are maybe six or seven or 18 including BuzzFeed, do have standards and 18 19 a thousand different ways the story can be 19 guidelines, but each story is very 20 different. 20 specific and how you apply them really 21 And in each of those cases you 21 depends a lot on the details of the story. 22 have to make decisions about, you know, 22 (By Mr. Ritchey) I think we 23 what -- in each of those cases you make a 23 mentioned something about Ronan Farrow Page 91 Page 92 1 earlier. Did you ever write a story I don't right now, but if you ask 1 A. 2 2 me specific questions, I may want to concerning him? 3 Yes. 3 review some of the text. Α. 4 MS. BOLGER: I'm sorry, I 4 Okay. I'm just going to go 5 5 didn't hear that. Could you just keep through it just a little bit, and if you 6 your voice up, Scotch? I'm sorry, it's 6 need some context, let me know and I'll be 7 7 technology. happy to scroll up or scroll down and let 8 MR. RITCHEY: Do you want me 8 you have some time to review. 9 to repeat that? 9 Thank you. A. 10 MS. BOLGER: No, I think 10 And you did, in fact, write this Q. only because I figured out by Ben's 11 article: correct? 11 12 answer, yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 13 (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. All right. Go to the first one, if it doesn't Q. I am showing you an article written by you 14 14 freeze up on me. 15 published on May 17th, 2020, entitled Is 15 All right. I'm going to start on Ronan Farrow Too Good To Be True, and 16 the paragraph, it says the records were 16 simply put on restricted access. 17 we'll mark this as Exhibit 112. 17 18 (Whereupon, a document was marked 18 Do you see that paragraph? I know what you're talking about 19 as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 112 and 19 20 is attached to the original 20 but I don't see the paragraph. transcript.) 21 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 21 Do you need time to review this 22 22 form. article or tell me what you need --23 23 (By Mr. Ritchey) I'll try to Q.

	Page 93		Page 94
1	scroll it up all the way	1	article, but I'm trying to figure out how
2	A. I can see your cursor so if you	2	he minimized that.
3	want to put your cursor over anything I	3	A. Well, the headline called them
4	should look at. Yeah, I see it.	4	missing, and the story the story kind
5	Q. Okay. And in this paragraph you	5	of followed the lead of the headline.
6	say Farrow briefly allows for it in his	6	Q. Is the headline important for a
7	story but minimizes it.	7	story?
8	And I think you're referring to	8	A. Yeah.
9	the records were restricted he was trying	9	Q. How so?
10	to access; is that correct?	10	MS. BOLGER: Object to the
11	A. Yes.	11	form.
12	Q. What did you mean when you said	12	If you can answer that
13	briefly allows for it in his story, but	13	question, go ahead, but again, don't talk
14	minimizes?	14	about any specific stories other than this
15	A. Well, there's a quote in the story	15	one.
16	that says that that was one possibility.	16	A. It tells the reader what the story
17	Q. How did he minimize that part?	17	is about usually. That's not the only
18	A. The headline uses the word	18	thing it can do, but one of the things.
19	missing. That was the main one, but just	19	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) What other things
20	the whole story minimized it, but they	20	can it do?
21	called them missing in the headline.	21	A. What else can a headline do? I
22	Q. Okay. So he mentioned that the	22	guess people sometimes use headlines to
23	records could have been restricted in his	23	get people interested without telling them
25	records could have been restricted in his	23	get people interested without terning them
	Page 95		Page 96
1	what it's about.	1	form.
2	Q. Did you view Farrow's story as	2	A. Really everything in the story.
3	being problematic when he minimized that	3	The headline and all the other words in
4	the files could be restricted access?	4	the story, or most of them.
5	MS. BOLGER: Object to the	5	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) All right. I'm
6	form.	6	going to direct you to a paragraph that
7	You can answer if you	7	begins because if you scratch at
8	understand.	8	Mr. Farrow's reporting
9	A. I mean I wrote about it and I	9	A. Yeah.
10	guess I'm in some ways more comfortable	10	Q do you see that one?
11	just with what I wrote than kind of trying	11	A. Yeah.
12	to restate it here, but I thought the	12	Q. In this paragraph you say he
13	story was yeah, I thought the sort of	13	delivers narratives that are irresistibly
14	central thrust of the story was wrong.	14	cinematic with unmistakable heroes and
15	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) What do you mean	15	villains and often omits complicating
16	by the central thrust?	16	facts and inconvenient details that make
1 7	A. Well, it was meant to be a story	17	them less dramatic.
17	•	18	What were you referring to in this
18	about missing documents and there weren't	1 -0	, -
	about missing documents and there weren't missing documents.	19	instance?
18			·
18 19	missing documents.	19	instance?
18 19 20	missing documents. Q. What led you to believe that that	19 20	instance? MS. BOLGER: Object to the
18 19 20 21	missing documents. Q. What led you to believe that that story was meant to be about missing	19 20 21	instance? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form.

Page 97 Page 98 1 MS. BOLGER: If you can. If 1 in journalism? 2 you understand how to answer, yes. 2 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 3 I think I was specifically here 3 form. 4 referring to a number of things in this 4 No. I think often there are clear 5 5 story. Michael Avenatti's role in the heroes and villains and often there aren't 6 6 story we discussed before is a good and these things really depend very much 7 7 example actually. story by story. 8 (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm sorry, what 8 (By Mr. Ritchey) Why did you think Q. 9 that or did you think this was a problem was that? 9 10 A. The role of the lawyer named 10 in Farrow's article? 11 Michael Avenatti at the center of the 11 Because he often omits story we discussed before which was 12 12 complicating facts and inconvenient 13 omitted from the story as written and 13 details that may make them less dramatic emerged later in court documents. 14 14 and at times doesn't follow the typical 15 Okay. What did you mean by 15 journalistic imperatives of corroboration 16 irresistibly cinematic? 16 and rigorous disclosure or suggests 17 I guess I meant that they felt 17 conspiracies that are tantalizing but like they were from a movie. 18 18 can't prove -- sorry just to read from it, 19 How so? Q. 19 but I just wanted to make sure I was kind 20 Because they had clear heroes and A. 20 of sticking to what I did write. 21 villains, I think is what I'm trying to 21 That's fine. You said he omits 22 say there. 22 complicating facts. What complicating 23 Q. Is there a problem with doing that 2.3 facts did he omit? Page 99 Page 100 1 In the story we just discussed he the details of my reporting about what A. 2 2 omitted the role of this lawyer named Ronan exactly knew when. 3 3 If he did know those details, Michael Avenatti who had -- whose role 4 made the story -- the sort of story of the 4 should he have put them in his article? 5 5 main source's motivations much more MS. BOLGER: Object to the 6 complicated. 6 form of the question. 7 I think what I was writing here 7 I think he portrayed him as this 8 sort of very straightforward whistleblower 8 was that if he had known what happened, he 9 9 who had seen -- who had kind of seen these wouldn't have written the article. 10 10 missing documents and reacted by blowing (By Mr. Ritchey) So if he knew 11 the whistle to Ronan Farrow, and it turned 11 what really happened, he shouldn't have 12 out that he had seen the tweet by Michael 12 written it at all? 13 13 MS. BOLGER: Object to the Avenatti and then gone and looked for the documents, which really did change the way 14 14 form. That's not what he said. 15 you saw him. He later testified in court 15 Ben, you can answer the 16 16 about it. question --17 Do you know if Farrow knew that 17 Well, I guess I would say that if Q. 18 when he wrote his article? 18 he had known here that there had been no 19 19 crime committed, that there had been no A. No. 20 If he did know all of that 20 missing documents, that there was nothing Q. information --21 being kept secret, that nobody got hurt, 21 22 22 I should say I don't know now. that he shouldn't have written the 23 This was a year ago, and I don't remember 23 article, yeah.

Page 101 Page 102 1 1 (By Mr. Ritchey) And you use this situation, but had not corroborated it and 2 term rigorous disclosure. What did you 2 it was -- it later emerged that it was a 3 mean when you wrote that? 3 problem for the story and it was something 4 MS. BOLGER: I'm sorry, 4 that -- yeah, and that's what I was 5 we're not there. Is that -- okay, sorry. 5 writing about. Well, in this -- I mean, again, 6 Okay. So I'm trying to wrap my 6 7 7 you know, these things always apply in the head around what you just said, and tell 8 8 me if I'm wrong and correct me if so. specific cases. But you're saying that he's found 9 I think in this story what I was 9 10 specifically -- let's see what I was 10 another source that didn't corroborate one specifically talking about with rigorous 11 11 of his other sources; am I saying that disclosure in this story. Sorry, just 12 right? 12 13 give me a second. 13 A. Well, you know, there's a specific 14 Farrow had talked to -- it was in 14 feature of reporting on sexual assault 15 a different case, in a sexual assault 15 where it's really important to understand 16 case, Farrow had talked to a friend of the 16 what the alleged victim was saying right 17 around the time of the alleged assault. 17 victim, and this is sort of who he was 18 hoping would corroborate her story, which 18 And it was in the course of 19 is to say would confirm that she had told 19 reporting on that that he I think wasn't 2.0 the similar story right around the time of 20 able to find anyone who said that right 21 the incident rather than later, and this 21 around the time of the assault that she 22 source had not corroborated. 22 had told the story that she later told to 23 It had been sort of a confusing 23 police and to him. Page 103 Page 104 1 And so I'm not sure it was the form. 2 2 police or the prosecutors, but -- and so, Scotch, this article has 3 3 nothing to do with this lawsuit. It's a yeah, that was the situation that I was 4 writing about. 4 totally different article. We're talking 5 5 Should he have disclosed that about totally different reporting. We're 6 6 going through the same thing over and over information in his article? MS. BOLGER: Object to the 7 7 and over again. 8 form of the question, but you can answer. 8 Ben, if you can answer 9 9 You know, I don't -- it was a year questions about some article you wrote 10 ago and I don't remember the exact details 10 about some other guy's reporting, please of what I thought he should have 11 do so but this is getting really tedious. 11 12 disclosed, but I thought at the time that 12 Answer it again, Ben. 13 13 A. there was a concern about the Sorry, could you say the question 14 corroboration of her story in the sense of 14 again? 15 when -- what she had said at the time to 15 MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind people that he could have pushed on 16 repeating that? 16 17 17 harder. (Whereupon, requested portion was 18 O. (By Mr. Ritchey) You said he 18 read back by court reporter.) doesn't always follow typical journalistic 19 Yeah, you know, the story is 19 20 imperatives of rigorous disclosure. 20 really about the details of his reporting 21 I mean are you saying he needed to 21 a handful of stories, and so I mean that's 22 disclose what he knew? 22 what -- that's what I'm referring to MS. BOLGER: Object to the 23 23 there, yeah.

Page 105 Page 106 1 All right. Now, I'm going to 1 form. 2 direct you to a paragraph that starts out 2 I mean, you're always trying to A. 3 Mr. Farrow, 32, is not a fabulist. Do you 3 produce accurate articles for sure. 4 see that right there? 4 That's why I wrote this piece. 5 And you go on to say his reporting 5 (By Mr. Ritchey) You wanted to 6 can be misleading but he doesn't make 6 call him out for being misleading; is that 7 7 things up. Can a story be accurate and a correct --8 misleading at the same time? 8 I mean --9 MS. BOLGER: So this is 9 MS. BOLGER: Object to the actually the fourth time you've asked that 10 10 form -- object to the form of the 11 exact question. 11 question. 12 Ben, you can answer it 12 You can answer, Ben. again, but this is the last time he gets 13 13 Α Yeah, I mean it's a column that 14 asked the question. Go ahead, Ben. 14 kind of says what it says. I mean, I 15 15 could -- I don't mean -- it doesn't -- I Yeah, as I said before, and I was 16 really -- the best specific example I have mean, I could read it to you, but it just 16 17 is of this story that really was rooted in 17 sort of says what it says. Yeah, I was 18 the suggestion that there were missing 18 obviously critical of his work, that's why documents, but, in fact, there were no 19 19 I wrote it. 20 missing documents. 20 (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. You have O. 21 (By Mr. Ritchey) Is there a 21 this term here it's called resistance 22 problem with being misleading in articles? 22 journalism. What is that? 23 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 23 MS. BOLGER: Where is that? Page 107 Page 108 1 MR. RITCHEY: It's in the Trump and about certain other public 2 2 same paragraph. figures who the publication's audience 3 MS. BOLGER: Okay, I got 3 dislikes, that it can be a real problem. 4 you. Sorry. 4 (By Mr. Ritchey) All right. I'm 5 5 What I was talking there was about going to scroll down a little bit. 6 a kind of journalism specifically about 6 I am looking at the paragraph that 7 Donald Trump and other kind of notorious 7 starts, it is impossible however to go 8 public figures, Harvey Weinstein was the 8 back and answer. 9 other in this context, where they're so 9 Do you see where I am? 10 widely known, and where, you know, by the 10 Do you want me to give you some 11 audiences of some publications so widely 11 time to eat? 12 hated, that journalists feel like they can 12 No, I can multitask. Sorry, I'll 13 13 stop. Sorry, I just don't want my dog to -- they feel like they don't have to try to be as fair and as accurate as they eat it when I put it down. 14 14 15 would in other circumstances. 15 Do you see where I am? Q. It's something that I hope I've 16 Yeah. 16 A. 17 never done and am very critical of. 17 Okay. In here you say if he had 18 (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. And you 18 -- pretty much saying he should have taken 19 care to show what he knew and what he think resistance journalism is an issue? 19 20 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 20 didn't; is that correct? form. If you understand --21 That is what it says, yeah. 21 A. As I wrote, I think it's --22 And you thought he should have 22 particularly in writing about President 23 23 told the readers what he knew and what he

Page 109 Page 110 1 didn't know; is that right? 1 context, you know, what makes a story 2 2 dramatic, whether it's the kinds of A. Yes. 3 3 And you use the term dramatic in characters or the narrative. Q. 4 the sentence after that. 4 And I think there are different 5 Can you just define that for me? 5 instances in here where I'm talking about 6 I mean, I think in this context, I 6 the specifics and that's what it's 7 mean sort of a -- kind of as I said 7 referring to. 8 before, story with clear heroes and 8 What did you mean by dramatic in Q. 9 this instance in this story? villains. 9 10 You know what, actually that's not 10 Just in this sentence --11 -- I'm sorry, I should correct that 11 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 12 because that's not really what I'm talking 12 form. He just answered it, but you can 13 about here. 13 answer again --Just a simpler story basically. 14 14 Yeah, in this sentence I'm 15 No, that's not quite what I'm talking 15 referring to a number of different about here either, I'm sorry. 16 16 specifics instances. 17 I'm just trying to understand the 17 One, the sort of, you know, the 18 context. Can you scroll up a little bit? dramatic mystery of these missing files 18 19 Q. Yeah. 19 which turned out to be not much of a 20 I'm sorry, I guess really -- well A. 20 mystery and -- but was, you know, had this 21 -- I'm talking about all the sources --21 quality of making you really kind of 22 you know what, the right answer is it can 22 creating suspense and making you wonder 23 mean different things in different 23 where these things went, but it just Page 111 Page 112 1 1 wondering whether women or friends she had turned out that wasn't really what 2 2 happened. talked to soon after the sexual assault, 3 3 this was the one that wasn't reported to And in another case this young 4 woman's story, which was very 4 police and wasn't -- she didn't tell her 5 5 straightforward and where it would later friends about it or family. 6 emerge that she had told -- that she had 6 But was looking for people she had 7 7 after the fact changed her story and that spoken to and to see if she had told that 8 was not -- well, it's complicated. 8 story. And what he could have said was I 9 9 I mean, it's detailed here in couldn't find anyone she spoke to, but 10 10 instead he said basically well, this was detail, but I don't totally remember and 11 11 such a horrible instance that she couldn't don't want to recount but that's the gist. 12 (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm going to 12 talk to anyone and tried to make the fact 13 13 direct you towards the bottom of this that he couldn't find information part of 14 the case about how bad it was and to sort 14 page, and it starts out it appears 15 Mr. Farrow is making a narrative virtue of 15 of heighten the drama, you know, by using 16 the fact that he couldn't find the 16 reporting liability. 17 17 Do you see where I am? information as sort of itself as a point 18 A. Yeah. 18 of how bad it was. 19 19 What is a narrative virtue of (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. What did 20 20 you see problematic with that? reporting liability? 21 Saying that -- he's saying -- he 21 MS. BOLGER: Object to the A. talked -- he was looking -- he called 22 22 form. 23 23 people looking for people who would --I think he just answered,

	Page 113		Page 114
1	but you can answer again.	1	remember the specific details, but he was
2	A. Yeah, I thought it would have been	2	using descriptions of people's physical
3	more straightforward here to say I went	3	posture and facial expressions to and
4	looking for this thing and couldn't find	4	he was extrapolating kind of their motives
5	it, rather than the fact that I couldn't	5	and what they were thinking from that,
6	find it proves the thing I thought before.	6	which you know yeah, that's it.
7	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) All right. I'm	7	Q. And did you think that was
8	going to scroll down here, and looking at	8	problematic?
9	the paragraph that starts, nor does Farrow	9	A. Well, I was quoting a woman named
10	provide any proof, and then you see	10	Anne Diebel who was criticizing that.
11	executives I'm sorry, I'm actually	11	Q. I'm sorry, where is that?
12	going to look at the one below that.	12	A. That passage is there's sort of
13	It starts, but the reporting in	13	a passage where I'm quoting a writer, a
14	the book does not bear that out.	14	private critic, and private detective
15	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	15	named Anne Diebel who's criticizing him,
16	Q. Do you see that paragraph?	16	and I was and this is in the context of
17	A. Yeah.	17	explaining that she thinks.
18	Q. And towards the end of that	18	Q. Okay. Did you think he was using
19	paragraph, you say he's using novelistic	19	a novelistic technique?
20	technique to make his case?	20	A. Yeah, and the objection wasn't so
21	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	21	much that he was using a novelistic
22	Q. What does that mean?	22	technique, but that he was specifically
23	A. That he was I don't actually	23	describing these facial expressions and
			•
	Page 115		D 11.0
	1490 110		Page 116
1	physical gestures.	1	really just wasn't evidence of that. That
1 2	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's	1 2	
	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't		really just wasn't evidence of that. That
2	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's	2	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention.
2	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't	2 3	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little
2 3 4	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one.	2 3 4	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right.
2 3 4 5	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included	2 3 4 5	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph
2 3 4 5 6	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then?	2 3 4 5 6	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those
2 3 4 5 6 7	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points. Q. What do you agree with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. Okay. You said that the essence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points. Q. What do you agree with? A. The fundamental point she was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good? A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points. Q. What do you agree with? A. The fundamental point she was making was that Farrow had said, had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. Okay. You said that the essence of these responses the first legalistic in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points. Q. What do you agree with? A. The fundamental point she was making was that Farrow had said, had really indicated that it's the quote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. Okay. You said that the essence of these responses the first legalistic in a misleading way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points. Q. What do you agree with? A. The fundamental point she was making was that Farrow had said, had really indicated that it's the quote right above, but he indicated that NBC was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. Okay. You said that the essence of these responses the first legalistic in a misleading way. What did you mean by that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points. Q. What do you agree with? A. The fundamental point she was making was that Farrow had said, had really indicated that it's the quote right above, but he indicated that NBC was essentially being blackmailed by Harvey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. Okay. You said that the essence of these responses the first legalistic in a misleading way. What did you mean by that? MS. BOLGER: Object to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points. Q. What do you agree with? A. The fundamental point she was making was that Farrow had said, had really indicated that it's the quote right above, but he indicated that NBC was essentially being blackmailed by Harvey Weinstein.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. Okay. You said that the essence of these responses the first legalistic in a misleading way. What did you mean by that? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. There's a whole sentence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	physical gestures. You know, this was Anne's criticism of him. I think I'm I don't have a real strong opinion on this one. Q. Is there a reason why you included it in your story then? A. Yeah, she was it's a story about him and she had written she was an important voice on it. Q. Do you agree with her criticism? A. I agree I agree with some of it. I thought she made some really good points. Q. What do you agree with? A. The fundamental point she was making was that Farrow had said, had really indicated that it's the quote right above, but he indicated that NBC was essentially being blackmailed by Harvey Weinstein. The allegation here is that Harvey	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	really just wasn't evidence of that. That was her core contention. Q. I'm going to scroll down a little to the next page. All right. And I am looking at the paragraph that starts with the essence of those responses. Do you see where I am? A. Yeah. Just give me a second here. MS. BOLGER: Let Ben understand what you're talking about A. No, I understand. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Are you good? A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q. Okay. You said that the essence of these responses the first legalistic in a misleading way. What did you mean by that? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form.

Page 117 Page 118 1 Yeah, hold on. 1 Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) And why so? 2 Could you scroll up a little bit? 2 Well, I mean, as I said, every --A. 3 3 I was saying that he had spun these -- he you know, kind of every piece of 4 had in public spun this conspiracy about 4 journalism is different, but in this case 5 Hillary Clinton trying to kill his story. 5 it was a long book about, you know, that 6 6 And then when he got called on it was partly about these stories and partly 7 7 he said he had never really said it, which about his life so it was normal for him to 8 8 I considered legalistic. include his feelings. 9 9 And in the second case it was he Were you critical that he included 10 10 was sort of -- he was kind of saying well, his feelings in this story? Not in this place, no, that's not 11 this is how -- she was saying he recounts 11 12 his own experiences which is sort of to 12 what I'm saying here. In the article as a whole were you 13 say well, I don't know -- like, these were 13 my feelings and that he was kind of 14 critical for him including his own 14 15 accusing Hillary Clinton of doing this 15 experiences? stuff based on the fact that that was his 16 16 You know, if there's a spot in the 17 sort of feeling as opposed to fact. 17 article where you think I am, feel free to 18 (By Mr. Ritchey) Should his 18 point me to it. 19 feeling have been included in his article? 19 I mean I think here the issue was 20 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 20 that he was trying to kind of say that his feeling was proof of something. 21 21 form. 22 22 And is there a problem with doing A. It depends a lot on -- I'll say 23 yeah, yeah. Just -- yeah, sure. 23 that? Page 119 Page 120 1 MS. BOLGER: Object to the was pulling strings on behalf of Harvey 1 2 2 form. Weinstein, but he didn't have any evidence 3 3 You know, in this specific case he for it. 4 didn't really have evidence so that was 4 O. (By Mr. Ritchey) On this last page 5 5 it talks about you having stock options in the problem. 6 (By Mr. Ritchey) And then in this 6 BuzzFeed? O. 7 7 last sentence it starts, instead A. Yes. 8 Mr. Farrow told us what he wanted to 8 Do you see where I'm talking Q. 9 believe about the way power works and now 9 about? 10 it seems he and his publicity team are not 10 MS. BOLGER: I don't. 11 even pretending to know if it's true. 11 MR. RITCHEY: It's starts in 12 What were you trying to convey in 12 this paragraph says I'm writing for the 13 13 that sentence? Times. 14 That he believed --14 MS. BOLGER: I got you. 15 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 15 Thanks. 16 16 (By Mr. Ritchey) It's under that form. O. 17 THE WITNESS: Should I 17 disclosure. 18 answer? 18 What kind of stock options did you 19 MS. BOLGER: Yeah, you can 19 have? 20 20 MS. BOLGER: Object to the answer. I'm saying there that he believed 21 form. I'm not sure how that's possibly --21 that Hillary Clinton -- that he believed 22 Yeah, I don't know technically how 22 based on his beliefs that Hillary Clinton 23 23 you would -- I'm not an expert. I think

Page 121 Page 122 -- they're not publicly traded. 1 of them as being called stock options. 1 A. 2 (By Mr. Ritchey) Okay. You wrote 2 Q. Did you ever buy stock in you had stock options. What were your --3 3 BuzzFeed? 4 what was your understanding of those 4 Yeah, I can't remember the year, 5 5 but at one point I exercised other options words? 6 A. An option to purchase stock in the 6 at BuzzFeed, yeah, and then sold it. 7 7 company, yeah. Q. When did you sell it? 8 8 A. I would have to check. It was Did you ever exercise that option? Q. before 2017, or before 2018 let's say. A 9 9 At an earlier point I did, yeah. A. 10 Not those options, yeah. Sorry, it's not 10 while ago. -- I don't know the jargon of this that 11 You say you agreed to divest those 11 12 options by the end of the year in this 12 well. article. 13 Q. I'm sorry, I'm just not following. 13 14 What does that mean? 14 If you could just explain. 15 Yeah, it's a way that employees at It meant that I would -- I had 15 Α. 16 certain kinds of companies are compensated 16 discussed with the Times selling them or, 17 which is that you can -- you're given an 17 yeah, getting rid of them in some way by 18 the end of the year. 18 option to buy stock in the company. 19 Okay. 19 It expires after a certain period Q. 20 MR. RITCHEY: We lost Kate. 20 of time and in private companies sometimes THE WITNESS: I'm on my own 21 you don't buy them because you can't sell 21 22 22 them because -here --23 MS. BOLGER: I'm here, I'm 23 Did you ever buy --Page 123 Page 124 1 1 They're tied to the value of the here, I'm here. I just had an internet A. 2 2 company and whether anybody wants to buy glitch, so I killed my video, but I'm 3 3 here. it. 4 MR. RITCHEY: I just wanted 4 Q. So as the value of the company 5 5 to make sure we didn't lose you. goes up, your value in stock options goes 6 MS. BOLGER: I wouldn't 6 up? 7 7 leave Ben. Although, I'm sure sometimes A. Yeah, basically, I think. 8 he would like me to. 8 All right. And then in this next 9 (By Mr. Ritchey) Did you have any 9 paragraph starts out, and I found more 10 stock in BuzzFeed prior to when the 10 recently. article was published? 11 A. Yeah. 11 12 A. This article that I'm looking at? 12 Q. You go on to say when I dug into 13 I'm sorry, the article written by 13 the Cohen story that for all of Q. 14 Mr. Farrow's attractions to screen-play 14 Katie Baker involved in this lawsuit. narratives, he missed one that was made 15 I mean, I had options. I don't 15 think I really held stock in BuzzFeed at for this moment. 16 16 17 any point, but options are a form of --17 What did you mean by his 18 they're a valuable thing. 18 attraction to screen-play narratives? 19 I think I meant what I meant when 19 How is their value determined if 20 20 I was talking about the cinematic stuff you know? I'm not enough of an expert to 21 before. Basically stories that lent 21 A. explain it honestly. 22 22 themselves to telling on television or in How would they --23 23 movies.

	Page 125		Page 126
1	Q. All right. And then I'm going to	1	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did you hire
2	start, you know, kind of referring to the	2	Katie Baker?
3	article more specifically now, but I still	3	A. I'm not sure if I was, you
4	have a little couple of general	4	know, ultimately responsible for all the
5	questions	5	hiring in the newsroom.
6	A. I'm not I'm not trying to rush	6	Q. Did you ever meet Katie Baker
7	you at all, but just for my information	7	before she was hired?
8	how much longer do you think we've got?	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. I wish I could give you a good	9	Q. Do you know about how many times?
10	answer. I've got, you know, a little bit	10	A. No, I don't remember.
11	to go so I can't give you a definite time	11	Q. Was there any reason for hiring
12		12	her?
13	A. I'm going to push my 1:30 a little	13	MS. BOLGER: Object to the
14	later. And actually, can I just have two	14	form of the question.
15	minutes to eat my sandwich?	15	You can answer if you
16	MR. RITCHEY: Yeah, we'll	16	understand it.
17	just take a quick break. I'm going to	17	A. I mean, you hire people because
18	start changing topics anyway.	18	you think they're good reporters.
19	VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the	19	Specifically another journalist named
20	record at 11:41.	20	Rosie Gray had introduced us or had
21	(Recess was taken.)	21	recommended her.
22	VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the	22	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did you think
23	record at 11:46 a.m.	23	Katie Baker was a good reporter?
	Page 127		Page 128
1	A. I don't recall what I thought at	1	I'm sure she, you know, people
1 2	A. I don't recall what I thought at the time. I assume I did because I hired	1 2	write all sorts of things and there aren't
	the time. I assume I did because I hired her.		write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on,
2 3 4	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific	2 3 4	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an
2	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring?	2 3	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece.
2 3 4 5 6	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had	2 3 4 5 6	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie
2 3 4 5 6 7	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her.	2 3 4 5 6 7	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you understand what it means.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that did but I just don't have a comprehensive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you understand what it means. A. You know, there's not there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that did but I just don't have a comprehensive list in my head.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you understand what it means. A. You know, there's not there's not a these stories are all specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that did but I just don't have a comprehensive list in my head. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Was she known for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you understand what it means. A. You know, there's not there's not a these stories are all specific and don't fall into kind of narrow buckets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that did but I just don't have a comprehensive list in my head. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Was she known for investigative reporting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you understand what it means. A. You know, there's not there's not a these stories are all specific and don't fall into kind of narrow buckets so I'm trying you know what, I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that did but I just don't have a comprehensive list in my head. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Was she known for investigative reporting? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you understand what it means. A. You know, there's not there's not a these stories are all specific and don't fall into kind of narrow buckets so I'm trying you know what, I believe she wrote an opinion column for the New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that did but I just don't have a comprehensive list in my head. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Was she known for investigative reporting? A. Yes. MS. BOLGER: Object to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you understand what it means. A. You know, there's not there's not a these stories are all specific and don't fall into kind of narrow buckets so I'm trying you know what, I believe she wrote an opinion column for the New York Times once that she certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that did but I just don't have a comprehensive list in my head. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Was she known for investigative reporting? A. Yes. MS. BOLGER: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the time. I assume I did because I hired her. Q. Do you remember anything specific that led to her hiring? A. I remember that Rosie Gray had recommended her. Q. Who is Rosie Gray? A. She's a reporter who's at BuzzFeed still or back at BuzzFeed. Q. Do you know of any stories Katie wrote that were not investigative reports? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer, Ben, if you understand what it means. A. You know, there's not there's not a these stories are all specific and don't fall into kind of narrow buckets so I'm trying you know what, I believe she wrote an opinion column for the New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	write all sorts of things and there aren't kind of neat boxes that articles go on, but that's a good example of one, an opinion piece. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did many of Katie Baker's or do you know of how many, if at all, of her stories involve some sort of investigation? A. I couldn't give you a percentage. Q. Did many of them involve investigating? MS. BOLGER: Object to the form. A. You know, I can think of some that did but I just don't have a comprehensive list in my head. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Was she known for investigative reporting? A. Yes. MS. BOLGER: Object to the

Page 129 Page 130 1 (By Mr. Ritchey) Did she have any 1 that her stories were not getting enough 2 type of beat while working for BuzzFeed? 2 views, shares, impressions or clicks? 3 She was on our investigations team 3 No. You know, you know, I don't 4 most recently and before that was on our 4 think so. But, again, I had lots of 5 national desk, and I don't remember the 5 conversations with lots of people over 6 specifics of what her sort of -- and I'm 6 eight years so I could be failing to 7 trying to remember where she was before 7 remember. 8 that. Yeah, I don't -- those were the two 8 Have you ever told anyone or any Q. 9 I remember. 9 reporter at BuzzFeed that their stories 10 (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you know if 10 were not getting enough views, shares, she had any specific beat she wrote about? 11 11 impressions, or clicks? MS. BOLGER: Objection. 12 12 I wouldn't have ever said it quite That's literally the same question. He 13 13 that way, but certainly, you know, I would 14 just answered it. 14 be concerned about stories that nobody was 15 If you want to answer it 15 reading, sure. 16 again, you can. 16 Q. How would you say it? 17 MR. RITCHEY: I don't think 17 It would really depend on the he answered it, and I'm just trying to get 18 specifics, but, I mean, I might say, you 18 19 an answer. 19 know, looks like people aren't that 20 I just don't remember what A. 20 interested in this team or whatever. 21 specifically her assignment was. 21 I mean, let's try to write about 22 That's fine. 22 something people are more interested in Have you ever told Katie Baker 23 23 but, you know, it's always specific. Page 132 Page 131 1 1 different ways of measuring whether people I wasn't going around saying these 2 things in the abstract. 2 are interested in reading it. 3 Q. Okay. Was it important for 3 When did you first hear that Katie 4 stories to have, you know, a large amount 4 Baker was investigating or writing about 5 5 of views, shares, impressions, or clicks? the Rondini rape allegations? 6 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 6 I don't remember. A. 7 7 Q. Can you ballpark it for me? form. 8 Those are four different things or 8 A. 9 maybe -- yeah, I don't even know what 9 Did you know about it before the 10 clicks are really -- you know, actually I 10 article was published? 11 11 I believe you showed me the email do. A. 12 Yeah, those are different things. 12 in which I commented on the headline 13 You know, I would say, yeah, it's really 13 before it was published, so I must have, -- I mean, we all want our stories to be yeah, based on that, but I don't really 14 14 15 read by people and so I think we always 15 have any independent memory of it. 16 Sorry, correction, you didn't show 16 were trying to think about, you know, we 17 think is a really important story or we 17 me the email. My counsel showed me the 18 think this is a really entertaining story 18 email before this. 19 19 or we think, you know, we think people Okav. 20 would like to know this, that's why you're 20 MS. BOLGER: Don't tell him 21 doing the story, so, yeah, you absolutely 21 too much more about what we talked about 22 always want people to read it. 22 -- or anything more we talked --And those are kind of in some ways 23 23 And then she told me to say -- no. A.

	Page 133		Page 134
1	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm just going to	1	show me some documents.
2	try to run through just a few things just	2	Q. We may get to that in a little
3	to see if we can refresh your recollection	3	bit. Do you know if she pitched that
4	so just let me know if you don't remember	4	story to anyone else at BuzzFeed?
5	or whatever.	5	A. I don't know. I mean, I assume
6	But did Katie Baker ever pitch the	6	yeah, we had a pitching process
7	story to you?	7	MS. BOLGER: Don't assume.
8	A. I was shown an email, but I just	8	Don't assume.
9	don't remember when it was from. I don't	9	A so I oh, okay. No.
10	remember.	10	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Can you tell me
11	Q. Do you remember what that email	11	the extent of your involvement in the
12	said?	12	article?
13	A. You know, I read it either this	13	A. You know, I really don't recall
14	week and I ought to remember but I do not.	14	it.
15	Q. Do you remember who was on that	15	Q. Did you help write the article?
16	email?	16	A. Not that I recall.
17	A. Me and Katie Baker. But, again,	17	Q. Did you help edit the article?
18	now I'm doubting whether that email was	18	A. Not that I recall.
19	before or after publication, so I'm not	19	Q. Did you ever review the article
20	being very useful here.	20	before publication?
21	Q. Okay.	21	A. Based on the email I was shown
22	A. But I assume you have it and I'm	22	this week, I believe I did.
23	happy to refresh my memory if you want to	23	Q. Did anyone ever consult you for
	Page 135		Page 136
1	any editing purposes	1	Q asking you okay.
2	A. Did we lose, Scotch? There you	2	Were you ever kept in the loop
3	are.	3	concerning the progress of the article?
4	COURT REPORTER: Looks like	4	
-			MS. BOLGER: Object to the
5	maybe Kate froze.	5	MS. BOLGER: Object to the form.
	maybe Kate froze. MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I		
5	•	5	form.
5 6	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever	5 6	form. A. I don't remember.
5 6 7	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related	5 6 7	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah,
5 6 7 8	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article?	5 6 7 8	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story.
5 6 7 8 9	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it	5 6 7 8 9 10	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to
5 6 7 8 9	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the	5 6 7 8 9	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on	5 6 7 8 9 10	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the different stories and the different
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this. Q. Do you remember	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this. Q. Do you remember MS. BOLGER: Don't assume.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the different stories and the different editors. Q. Could you view drafts of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this. Q. Do you remember	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the different stories and the different editors. Q. Could you view drafts of the article?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this. Q. Do you remember MS. BOLGER: Don't assume.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the different stories and the different editors. Q. Could you view drafts of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this. Q. Do you remember MS. BOLGER: Don't assume. Neither Scotch nor I want you to assume.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the different stories and the different editors. Q. Could you view drafts of the article?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this. Q. Do you remember MS. BOLGER: Don't assume. Neither Scotch nor I want you to assume. A. Then I don't remember.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the different stories and the different editors. Q. Could you view drafts of the article? A. I don't remember. Q. Did you ever have do you have access to the Google document that related
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this. Q. Do you remember MS. BOLGER: Don't assume. Neither Scotch nor I want you to assume. A. Then I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) But you don't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the different stories and the different editors. Q. Could you view drafts of the article? A. I don't remember. Q. Did you ever have do you have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BOLGER: I'm here. I just was standing still like a statute. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did anyone ever consult you for editing purposes related to the article? A. Based on that email I saw it, it sounds like they were asking me about the headline? Or was that an email? Based on some documents I saw in preparation for this. Q. Do you remember MS. BOLGER: Don't assume. Neither Scotch nor I want you to assume. A. Then I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) But you don't remember anyone specifically coming to you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	form. A. I don't remember. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Would that be something you normally would be? A. It varied a lot based on yeah, it varied a lot story to story. Q. Would you get updates from time to time about the stories that were being written in BuzzFeed News? A. Just totally varied based on the different stories and the different editors. Q. Could you view drafts of the article? A. I don't remember. Q. Did you ever have do you have access to the Google document that related

	Page 137		Page 138
1		1	
1	Q. And did you?	1	somebody could have shared it with me. I
2	A. I don't remember.	2	didn't have access I didn't have like
3	Q. Is that something normally you	3	privileges to go into things that people
4	would have access to?	4	didn't share.
5	A. Just depended a lot article to	5	Q. Do you ever remember viewing any
6	article what people shared with me.	6	suggestions or edits that were made in the
7	Q. Did you suggest any changes on the	7	Google document?
8	article?	8	A. I don't remember.
9	A. I was shown an email that	9	Q. Do you consider the article an
10	suggested that showed I suggested a	10	investigative report?
11	change to a different headline.	11	A. Yeah.
12	Q. And the way I understand how	12	Q. And in your own terms what is an
13	Google documents works it seems to be that	13	investigative report?
14	there's one document that multiple people	14	A. Well, I wouldn't say it's a
15	can either edit or suggest changes to; is	15	clearly defined category and I've said,
16	that your understanding as well?	16	you know, good journalism at some level is
17	A. Yes.	17	investigative.
18	Q. Could you see that or did you have	18	It's finding out facts that were
19	access to that document?	19	not previous, you know, large or small
20	A. I don't remember.	20	that weren't previously known or reported.
21	Q. If you wanted to see that	21	Q. In your own words what's the
22	document, could you get access to it?	22	article about?
23	A. I mean I could have asked or	23	MS. BOLGER: Objection. The
	Page 139		Page 140
1	article speaks for itself.	1	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry,
2	Ben, you can answer.	2	I don't know off the top of my head
3	A. Yeah, could I take a look at it?	3	either.
4	I just want to refresh my memory. Would	4	MS. BOLGER: We actually
5	you mind showing it to me?	5	marked it as part of the complaint as
6	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Yeah. Give me	6	Exhibit 10. Do you want to just do that?
7	one second.	7	MR. RITCHEY: Why don't we
8	All right. I am showing the	8	just go ahead and re-mark it?
9	article that's the subject of this lawsuit	9	MS. BOLGER: Okay.
10	and do you think this has been marked	10	COURT REPORTER: It will be
11	before?	11	113.
12	MS. BOLGER: You're more	12	MR. RITCHEY: This will be
13	accurately showing him a printout of the	13	Exhibit 113.
14	article, but yes.	14	(Whereupon, a document was marked
15	MR. RITCHEY: Printout of	15	as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 113 and
16	the article.	16	is attached to the original
	Kate, do you want to re-mark	17	transcript.)
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18	Q. Ben, let me know where you want to
	this?		
18	this? MS. BOLGER: Didn't we mark	19	go and I'll be happy to take you there.
18 19	MS. BOLGER: Didn't we mark	19 20	go and I'll be happy to take you there. A. I'm looking at it.
18 19 20	MS. BOLGER: Didn't we mark it?	20	A. I'm looking at it.
18 19 20 21	MS. BOLGER: Didn't we mark it? MR. RITCHEY: I think we	20 21	A. I'm looking at it. And, sorry, the question I
18 19 20	MS. BOLGER: Didn't we mark it?	20	A. I'm looking at it.

	Page 141		Page 142
1	_	1	_
1	Q. Correct.	1	A. So I'm confused. You're asking me
2	A. It's a story about a college	2	to just literally read what the article
3	student who accused a powerful guy of rape	3	says?
4	and really the sequence of events that	4	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) No, I'm asking
5	happened after that leading up to her	5	just your reaction to what law enforcement
6	suicide.	6	did according to the article or didn't do
7	Q. And how is law enforcement	7	according to the article?
8	portrayed in this article?	8	A. I mean, I guess I just don't have
9	MS. BOLGER: Object to the	9	the article committed to memory. I can
10	form.	10	start at the top and go through it. I
11	A. What do you mean?	11	mean, it says they spoke to the victim.
12	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) What's your	12	MS. BOLGER: Scotch, surely
13	perception of the law enforcement involved	13	you don't want him to read the whole
14	in these investigations and allegations	14	article while we sit here.
15	that's written about in the article?	15	A. It says they concluded that no
16	MS. BOLGER: Object to the	16	rape occurred and that they started
17	form. It's not	17	building a case against Megan.
18	A. I mean, I don't have any	18	MS. BOLGER: I don't see
19	MS. BOLGER: about what	19	where you are because I can only see one
20	Ben thinks the article says. The article	20	screen.
21	says what the article says.	21	THE WITNESS: Sorry, I
22	Ben, you can testify what	22	opened another window
23	your reaction is.	23	MS. BOLGER: Scotch, you've
	Page 143		Page 144
			149C 144
1	got to scroll.	1	that's
1 2	got to scroll. Ben, don't do that. Sorry,	1 2	
	-		that's
2	Ben, don't do that. Sorry,	2	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I
2 3	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what	2 3	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a
2 3 4	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing.	2 3 4	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement
2 3 4 5	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry.	2 3 4 5	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article.
2 3 4 5 6	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind	2 3 4 5 6	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe
2 3 4 5 6 7	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I	2 3 4 5 6 7	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second. Scotch, why don't you ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right. Q. Do you know if any part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second. Scotch, why don't you ask the pending question again and then Ben	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right. Q. Do you know if any part of the article was false?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second. Scotch, why don't you ask the pending question again and then Ben answer the question rather than scrolling.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right. Q. Do you know if any part of the article was false? A. Again, I don't have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second. Scotch, why don't you ask the pending question again and then Ben answer the question rather than scrolling. MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right. Q. Do you know if any part of the article was false? A. Again, I don't have any independent sort of access to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second. Scotch, why don't you ask the pending question again and then Ben answer the question rather than scrolling. MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind repeating?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right. Q. Do you know if any part of the article was false? A. Again, I don't have any independent sort of access to the information in Tuscaloosa, but based on,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second. Scotch, why don't you ask the pending question again and then Ben answer the question rather than scrolling. MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind repeating? (Whereupon, requested portion was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right. Q. Do you know if any part of the article was false? A. Again, I don't have any independent sort of access to the information in Tuscaloosa, but based on, you know, both Katie Baker and the very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second. Scotch, why don't you ask the pending question again and then Ben answer the question rather than scrolling. MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind repeating? (Whereupon, requested portion was read back by court reporter.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right. Q. Do you know if any part of the article was false? A. Again, I don't have any independent sort of access to the information in Tuscaloosa, but based on, you know, both Katie Baker and the very experienced editors she worked with and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ben, don't do that. Sorry, I'm telling you what to do based on what Scotch is doing. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind just scrolling you know, I don't I feel like MS. BOLGER: Let him COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't MS. BOLGER: All right, Ben, stop for a second. Scotch, why don't you ask the pending question again and then Ben answer the question rather than scrolling. MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind repeating? (Whereupon, requested portion was read back by court reporter.) MS. BOLGER: I object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's A. Yeah, I don't think I really I mean, I think I describe, you know, a number of different things law enforcement did and they're in the article. Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you believe the article is fully accurate and fair? A. You know, I wasn't involved in the reporting and I don't have any kind of independent access to the details, but I have just total confidence in Katie Baker and her editors to get this kind of thing right. Q. Do you know if any part of the article was false? A. Again, I don't have any independent sort of access to the information in Tuscaloosa, but based on, you know, both Katie Baker and the very experienced editors she worked with and their kind of careers of really great

	Page 145		Page 146
1	Q. Do you know of any part of the	1	editor-in-chief I could certainly get
2	article that is misleading?	2	involved in stories and get into the
3	A. So I don't again have any you	3	details.
4	know, I don't have any independent	4	Q. Do you know if Katie Baker left
5	knowledge of the situation and the people	5	out any material that she gathered in the
6	involved.	6	article?
7	And so what I can go on is how	7	A. You know, I just really wasn't
8	well I know the journalists involved, and	8	involved in the reporting, writing,
9	so based on that I really have a lot of	9	editing of the article so I just don't
10	confidence and total confidence that they	10	know.
11	got it right.	11	Q. Did you decide to not be involved
12	Q. Did you review any material that	12	based on the experience of Katie Baker and
13	was gathered during the investigative	13	the editors on the article?
14	process of this article?	14	A. No. You know, on any given day,
15	A. Not that I recall.	15	week or month we were doing a lot of
16	Q. Could you have reviewed this	16	different things, and I think there were
17	material?	17	different reasons organizationally why
18	A. Which material?	18	different people would be involved in
19	Q. Any material that Katie Baker or	19	different stories. I don't think there
20	anyone else gathered during the	20	was any yeah.
21	investigation of these Rondini	21	Q. I believe you said you actually
22	allegations?	22	have read the article; is that right?
23	A. Yeah, I could as the	23	A. Yes.
	Page 147		Page 148
1	Q. Did you view the clips in the	1	anything you discussed with your
2	article?	2	attorneys.
3	A. No. The video clips you mean?	3	A. What all I remember are
4	Q. That's right.	4	conversations involving this case with my
5	A. No.	5	attorneys.
6	Q. You never played them?	6	Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss with
7	A. I don't remember playing them. I	7	anyone about adding information to the
8	haven't this week, and I don't recall if I	8	article?
9	did, you know, years ago.	9	A. I don't remember that.
10	Q. Prior to the publication of the	10	MS. BOLGER: Object to the
11	article, did you have a conversation with	11	form.
12	anyone other than your attorneys that	12	A. You mean after it was published?
13	concerned the article or the drafting	13	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) At any point.
14	process of the article?	14	A. I don't remember doing that, no.
15	A. I was shown some emails this week	15	Q. Did you ever think the article
16	that showed that I did, but other than	16	should be corrected?
	that I just don't know and have any memory	17	A. No.
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 10	O D'1 4'14 4'1
17 18	of it.	18	Q. Did you ever think the article
17 18 19	of it. Q. You don't remember any specific	19	should be retracted?
17 18 19 20	of it. Q. You don't remember any specific conversations?	19 20	should be retracted? A. No.
17 18 19 20 21	of it. Q. You don't remember any specific conversations? A. No.	19 20 21	should be retracted? A. No. Q. Why not?
17 18 19 20	of it. Q. You don't remember any specific conversations?	19 20	should be retracted? A. No.

Page 149 Page 150 1 Answer what you know, but if 1 Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) You said the 2 what you know is based on a conversation 2 worldwide --3 with an attorney, and I have no idea 3 I'm sorry the World Wildlife A. 4 whether it is or isn't, don't answer it. 4 Federation, the one with the panda logo. 5 5 MS. BOLGER: Not the But if you have independent knowledge, 6 feel free to give it. 6 wrestlers. 7 7 So I don't really have any MR. RITCHEY: I'm sorry? 8 knowledge beyond our discussions in this 8 MS. BOLGER: Not the wrestlers, the old WWF. I have a 9 case and my read of your complaint of the 9 sort of independent details of the story. 10 10 brother. All I know is that it was done by, 11 11 (By Mr. Ritchey) Did that major 12 you know, really experienced, capable 12 investigation involve any allegations of journalists and I'm really confident it's 13 13 sexual assault or rape? 14 accurate. 14 You know, it was about the 15 15 (By Mr. Ritchey) What is your mistreatment of people by kind of O. knowledge about the experience of Katie 16 anti-poaching police and I believe it 16 17 Baker? 17 included sexual mistreatment, but I don't 18 -- no, actually I don't remember is the 18 A. I know that she's -- I mean, I 19 19 right answer to that question. know that I worked with her on major 20 investigations, and particularly the one 20 Do you know how long Katie Baker 21 that sticks in my mind is the World 21 has been a journalist? 22 22 Wildlife Federation and that she's a A. No. 23 really good reporter. 23 Q. Do you know Katie Baker's Page 151 Page 152 1 experience prior to joining BuzzFeed? 1 Because I don't think -- or are you asking 2 2 Not in detail. if I think they should publish it 3 Do you know who made the decision 3 tomorrow? What do you mean? Q. 4 not to retract the article? 4 Well, it's still published online. 5 Should it still --5 I don't believe there was ever any 6 consideration of retracting the article. 6 Oh, I see. Should it stay on the A. 7 7 I don't recall a decision of any sort. internet? 8 Were you involved in any 8 Yes. O. 9 9 discussion not involving your attorneys A. 10 concerning retracting the article? 10 And what's your reasoning behind Q. 11 that? 11 A. 12 Q. Do you still stand by the article? 12 A. Well, I think the story of this 13 young woman who reported a -- who reported 13 A. And do you still support its 14 her allegations of rape against a powerful 14 15 publication? 15 man and the sort of sequence of events MS. BOLGER: Object to the 16 between that that ended in her suicide is 16 17 17 an important story and I think the form. 18 Α. I don't know -- I'm not sure what 18 journalists who worked on it were very 19 experienced and capable journalists and 19 you mean by that. 20 (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you still 20 that's what we do. 21 think it should be published? 21 Why is it an important story? O. Do you think -- are you asking if 22 You're asking me why a young 22 23 23 I think it should have been published? woman's suicide is important? I think it

	Page 153		Page 154
1	is per se I guess.	1	resources, you'd probably cover more
2	I don't really understand why I	2	stories for sure.
3	would need to explain that. That just	3	But I don't think we yeah. And
4	seems like a really sad meaningful thing	4	I would say actually add I'm speaking kind
5	that you would want to understand what	5	of in the abstract. I don't specifically
6	happened.	6	recall any of the decision making around
7	Q. I understand that, and it is, but	7	why we covered this story.
8	do you report every young woman's suicide?	8	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Was the article
9	A. No.	9	written from a certain subject's
10	Q. Was there any reason why this	10	perspective?
11	particular story was more important that	11	MS. BOLGER: Object to the
12	the others?	12	form. Lack of foundation. He's already
13	MS. BOLGER: Object to the	13	testified he didn't write the article.
14	form. He never said that.	14	Ben, you can answer.
15	A. Yeah, I don't think I did. What	15	A. I mean we could go through it and,
16	do you mean?	16	you know, there are places where Megan's
17	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Is there any	17	perspective as reflected in public
18	reason why this young woman's suicide was	18	documents is given.
19	reported as opposed to another suicide?	19	There are places where you're
20	A. I mean, there are a lot of	20	quoting police officers and police
21	reasons, you know, why you would pick one	21	reports. There are places where her
22	tragedy rather than another to cover.	22	family I believe is quoted.
23	Most you know, a lot if you had	23	I think there's places where her
	Wiest you know, a fet if you had		T think there's places where her
	Page 155		Page 156
1	friends are quoted. It's like most I	1	officers doing their jobs who were a big
2	would say news articles, it reflects a	2	part of the story or yeah.
3	variety of perspectives.	3	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) I think you're
4	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Could Adam Jones	4	telling me the story was about her rape
5	and Josh Hastings' names have been left	5	allegations and the things that happened
6	out of the article?	6	afterwards.
7	MS. BOLGER: Object to the	7	What do Officers Jones and
8	form.	8	Hastings have to do with that?
9	You can answer.	9	A. Well, again, I don't have, you
10	A. I mean, I think all sorts I	10	know, any sort of an independent knowledge
11	think I don't quite understand what you	11	of the facts, but what the story reports
12	mean.	12	was that she reported this rape and had a
13	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Is there any	13	series of interaction.
14	particular reason why Adam Jones and Josh	14	One of those sets of things, one
15	Hastings' names was included in the story?	15	of the institutions she interacted with
16	A. You know, it's standard when	16	was the police. I don't know the name of
17	you're writing about public servants doing	17	the police department but and she had a
18	their public activities to name them	18	series of interactions with them so that's
19	usually, yeah.	19	certainly important to the story.
20	There's also video I think, I	20	Q. What's your understanding of those
21	didn't watch it, but I believe it shows	21	interactions?
22	them. Yeah, I think they were important	22	MS. BOLGER: Object to the
23	figures in their you know, as police	23	form.
	- , , ,		

Page 157 Page 158 references to public documents and there's 1 Yeah, I don't have an independent 1 2 understanding because I didn't -- you 2 a videotape in the story, so I assume 3 know, I haven't done the reporting myself. 3 those are -- that's where some of the 4 So all I really know is what I read in the 4 information -- I mean most of the 5 5 story. information the story says where it's 6 And if you'd like we can kind of 6 from, but I don't have any indication 7 7 go through line by line, but I don't beyond that of where it came from. really have an understanding beyond what 8 8 (By Mr. Ritchey) You never saw the is written in the story. actual source of the information written 9 9 (By Mr. Ritchey) You don't know 10 10 or shown in the article? where Katie Baker got any of her 11 Well, there is a video in the 11 12 information, do you? 12 article. As I said, I didn't watch it. 13 A. No. 13 Q. But you haven't seen the full 14 MS. BOLGER: I'm sorry, I 14 video; right? 15 didn't hear that. Can you say it again, 15 As I said, I didn't watch the 16 Scotch? 16 video. 17 MR. RITCHEY: Do you mind 17 O. Do you know now there is a full repeating that? 18 18 video related to those clips included in 19 (Whereupon, requested portion was 19 the article? 20 read back by court reporter.) 20 A. You know, I didn't -- I don't --21 MS. BOLGER: Great. Sorry. 21 unfortunately, I wasn't involved in the 22 Garbled. 22 reporting or editing of the article. I 23 No. Well, I would say there are don't really have any independent 23 Page 159 Page 160 1 knowledge. If you tell me that, I believe 1 more specifically because I don't think 2 2 vou. you're asking me if I ever read any 3 3 article by any of these people in any Q. After the publication of the 4 article did you review anything that Katie 4 context; right? 5 5 Baker or anyone that helped her gather (By Mr. Ritchey) No. I'm more 6 evidence concerning this article? 6 asking after the article was published did 7 Did I --7 you review any material that Katie Baker A. gathered related to the article? 8 8 MS. BOLGER: I'm sorry, 9 Scotch, say that again. I didn't --9 The only conversations I've had 10 I have heard of it, but I don't 10 about this article since it was published understand it. 11 11 have been with counsel. 12 Do you mean did I ever read 12 Well, I don't want to be 13 another article by them about anything? 13 categorical. There may be others, but I (By Mr. Ritchey) Let me re-read certainly haven't talked about details or 14 14 15 the question. I may have not ended it 15 evidence. 16 16 I guess I had that one well. 17 17 conversation with Katie about the process If you don't mind, Nancy. 18 COURT REPORTER: And y'all 18 being long. 19 are kind of talking over each other, just 19 But at this time you still have 20 20 never seen any material Katie Baker a reminder. (Whereupon, requested portion was 21 gathered for this article? 21 22 read back by court reporter.) 22 Well, I read your complaint so Could you just ask that a little 23 23 I've seen what's in there.

	Page 161		Page 162
1	Q. And that's the extent of your	1	A. I talk to lots of people all day
2	knowledge?	2	in lots of different ways.
3	A. I've I mean	3	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Have we discussed
4	MS. BOLGER: Yes,	4	all of your involvement with the article?
5	unprivileged knowledge.	5	A. As I said, I mean all I mean I
6	A. Yeah, unprivileged knowledge, yes.	6	wasn't let's say have we discussed all
7	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Did you ever read	7	of my involvement with the article? All
8	the Tuscaloosa News article written by	8	that I can recall.
9	Stephanie Taylor concerning the same	9	Q. Okay. Do you know if the article
10	Rondini allegations?	10	received any awards?
11	A. I don't recall reading it.	11	A. I don't know.
12	Q. Did you ever have any	12	Q. Did Katie Baker ever receive any
13	correspondence with Stephanie Taylor or	13	awards related to the article?
14	the Tuscaloosa News concerning the	14	A. I don't know.
15	BuzzFeed article or the Tuscaloosa News	15	Q. Did BuzzFeed ever receive any
16	article?	16	awards related to the article?
17	A. I don't recall any. That doesn't	17	A. I don't know.
18	mean that I didn't.	18	Q. Did Katie Baker ever receive a
19	Q. If you did have correspondence, do	19	raise as a result of the article?
20	you know what would you have done it in	20	A. You know, we didn't typically tie
21	a phone call or an email?	21	compensation to sort of a specific
22	MS. BOLGER: Object to the	22	article, but beyond that I don't really
23	form. He just said	23	know the details of Katie's compensation.
			•
	Page 163		Page 164
1	Q. Do you know if she got a raise	1	A. No.
2	after the article was published?	2	MS. BOLGER: Objection. I
3	A. I don't know.	3	don't really know what a stat is.
4	Q. I'm going to share with you what's	4	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you know how
5	been Bates-stamped BuzzFeed 3731.	5	many views the article had prior to this
6	MS. BOLGER: Are we marking	6	email or right before when you sent this
7	it?	7	email?
8	MR. RITCHEY: Yes, we're	8	A. No.
9	going to mark it. It's going to be	9	Q. Do you know if the article was
10	Exhibit 114.	10	trending while this email was sent or
11	(Whereupon, a document was marked	11	right before this email was sent?
12	as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 114 and	12	A. Yeah, the word trending got used
13	is attached to the original	13	in different ways at different times, but
14	transcript.)	14	I don't remember anything at all about the
15	Q. Ben, if you'll just let me know	15	readership of this article.
16	when you have a chance to review.	16	Q. Have you viewed any statistics
17	A. Yeah, I see that.	17	concerning this article?
18	Q. Is this one of the emails you were	18	A. Not that I recall.
19	referencing before?	19	Q. You say here at the Tuscaloosa
20	A. Yeah, yeah.	20	story. Is that the article we're talking
21	Q. Do you remember viewing any stats	21	about here?
22	concerning the article prior to this	22	A. I believe so.
23	email?	23	Q. And you say it's stunning; is that

	Page 165		Page 166
1	right?	1	taken off Katie Baker's computer which
2	A. That's what it says.	2	would have had a different time stamp than
3	Q. What is stunning about it?	3	you.
4	A. I don't remember what I meant in	4	MS. BOLGER: That theory is
5	this email. I mean it was meant as	5	not correct.
6	praise, but beyond that I don't remember.	6	MR. RITCHEY: Okay. Sorry.
7	Q. Do you remember why you were	7	I thought that was a good one.
8	praising Katie?	8	Do you know actually why
9	A. No.	9	they are different, Kate, off the record?
10	Q. And it looks like you were the	10	(Off-the-record discussion.)
11	last one to respond to this email.	11	MR. RITCHEY: We can go back
12	Do you know if Katie ever	12	on now.
13	responded back?	13	(Whereupon, a document was marked
14	A. No. And I'm just looking at the	14	as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 115 and
15	time stamps on it and I don't know who was	15	is attached to the original
16	in what time zone, but it looks like	16	transcript.)
17	what's going on I don't really know	17	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) I'm going to show
18	what's going on with the time zones there.	18	you what's been marked or what has been
19	I don't know. I don't have any memory of	19	Bates-stamped as BuzzFeed 3399 and we'll
20	this exchange.	20	mark this as 115, Exhibit 115.
21	_	21	If you'll just let me know when
22	Q. I think what happened is this was, and I don't know if it's true or not, but	22	you've had a chance to review.
23	,	23	•
23	it's my theory that this was possibly	23	A. Yeah, I see it.
	Page 167		Page 168
1	Q. Was this the other email you	1	A. No, no, I believe they're accurate
2	referenced before in our discussions?	2	and I believe that they're authentic.
3	A. This isn't an email, but it may	3	Q. And is this the one email we were
4	be. This is something I reviewed in	4	talking about where you had the headline
5	preparation for today.	5	suggestion?
6	Q. Was there any other email that you	6	MS. BOLGER: Object to the
7	reviewed in preparation for today?	7	form. Again, not an email, but, yes, go
8	A. I can't other email that I	8	ahead.
9	reviewed in preparation for today.	9	A. Yes, this is the yes, this is
10	Shoot, I can't remember. I	10	the
11	definitely reviewed this and the previous	11	Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Well, what is
12	one you showed me.	12	this because it appears to be an email in
13	Q. Okay. Do you think there's	13	my view?
14	another one or you just can't remember?	14	A. Google documents can send sort of
15	A. I'm not sure.	15	alert and change and submit the document
16	Q. Do you remember sending or	16	as an email if you have your settings set
17	receiving any other email than these two	17	up in a certain way, I think. So it looks
18	that relate to the article?	18	like Marisa got like a ping.
19	A. No. And I should say I also don't	19	Q. Okay. But this was
20	remember sending or sending that other one	20	A. It wasn't an email from me.
21	or making this comment.	21	Q. Okay. This wasn't an email from
22	Q. Do you have any reason to believe	22	you, but this was where you were making
23	these emails are inaccurate or not	23	suggestions to the headline?
 	mest chang are maccarate or not		2.55 control to the neutrino.

Page 169 Page 170 1 A. Yeah, that's right. 1 input to it, edited it, contributed. 2 All right. I'm showing you the 2 Was this policy in effect when the Q. 3 BuzzFeed News Standards and Ethics Guide 3 article was published? 4 and we'll mark this as Exhibit 116. 4 It was updated at various times. 5 5 This looks like this was updated on (Whereupon, a document was marked 6 6 September 12, 2019. as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 116 and 7 is attached to the original 7 So I think this is a version that 8 8 was updated after article was published, transcript.) 9 Are you familiar with this 9 but a version of it was in effect. Q. 10 document? 10 Do you remember what was updated? 11 I remember we made a lot of 11 A. changes and additions, but I don't Did you assist in creating this 12 12 Q. guide? 13 13 remember specifically. 14 Yes, excuse me for one moment 14 Let me scroll down to a portion of A. Q. while I let me dog out of the room. 15 15 it. 16 (Off the record.) 16 MS. BOLGER: I think going Yeah, I did. 17 17 A. to law school makes you say really Okay. What was your involvement annoying things, so I'm about to say it 18 Q. 18 19 in creating this document? 19 now. 20 A. I don't recall in detail. 20 This is obviously a In general do you have any --21 printout, and just for the record, there's 21 Q. 22 You know, I was ultimately 22 some -- on the bottom of the pages there's 23 23 responsible for it and sort of solicited some blurred texts, so I'm just saying Page 171 Page 172 1 that for the record. 1 Klan as sort of a respectable spokesman 2 2 MR. RITCHEY: Okay. I'm not for a cause the way you would other causes 3 3 trying to get into that blurred text, so I for instance. 4 think the part I'm getting into should be 4 Okay. What about in the view of 5 5 fine. If not, let me know. women's rights, what would that entail? 6 Do you see the heading legal and 6 I don't recall what we were Q. 7 7 ethics at the top of the page? specifically -- what we were specifically 8 8 thinking, but again, you know if somebody A. Yes. 9 9 And then underneath you see -- that we wouldn't -- if somebody views Q. 10 10 that women shouldn't have the right to activism? 11 vote, for instance, that's not something 11 A. Yes. 12 And then in that first paragraph 12 we would probably treat as part of the underneath that it says we firmly believe 13 normal political conversation. I think 13 that a number of issues including civil this is -- yeah. 14 14 15 rights, women's rights, anti-racism, and 15 Would this part of the policy LGB equality they're not two sides. 16 cover sexual assaults or rape allegations 16 17 Do you see where I reading that? 17 or stories regarding those? 18 A. Yeah. 18 A. You know, I think actually it's a 19 not in the policy, but I can't ever think 19 What does that mean, they're not O. 20 20 of a news organization that thought murder two sides? 21 or sexual assault was something that was 21 It means that we don't think that, 22 22 you know, you should treat in the case of good. racism you should quote a member of the 23 23 Q. Okay. But --

Page 173 Page 174 I think -- I mean, I don't think 1 1 profession generally there's sort of a 2 it would be considered activism in a 2 pro-sexual assault position that's 3 newsroom to consider sexual assault. 3 widely -- you know, I've never really 4 murder, theft, any kind of real crime 4 heard that. 5 something that you would cover like two 5 Q. Okay. But I'm just asking --6 6 sides. A. -- assault --7 7 Q. What if the story involved an O. -- I'm just asking would this 8 attempt to change the law or change 8 policy cover sexual assault stories written by BuzzFeed? 9 community response to a sexual assault or 9 Yeah, it would cover all stories 10 rape? 10 11 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 11 written by BuzzFeed. 12 form of the question. Did BuzzFeed have any internal 12 13 Yeah, I mean, I don't think that's 13 controls to determine accuracy and 14 really -- that's not what this is -- I fairness in the articles? 14 15 mean, yeah, I don't really see -- I guess 15 MS. BOLGER: Object to the I don't totally understand the question. 16 16 form. I don't know what accuracy --17 (By Mr. Ritchey) You say you 17 I mean, I think -didn't believe sexual assault allegations MS. BOLGER: -- and internal 18 18 or rapes were part of an activism? 19 19 controls are, but if you do -- sorry, Ben, 20 I didn't say allegations. I just 20 we talked over each other. A. 21 said I didn't think that there was -- I 21 That's the Zoom. My 22 22 objection, Nancy, was that I don't know just don't think that in the news 23 journalism business or the legal 23 what internal controls mean, but if Ben Page 175 Page 176 answers -- can answer he can and I think 1 discussed, are there any other ways to 2 2 make sure you've got it right? Ben said he didn't know what he meant. 3 (By Mr. Ritchey) Was there any 3 There are hundreds. Should I just 4 program or any type of policy or 4 keep going? I'm not sure what you mean. 5 5 guidelines that was used by BuzzFeed to Just the big picture will be fine. I don't want you to list everything you 6 determine accuracy and fairness? 6 7 can think of but --7 No, I think -- I mean, the entire 8 news operation, like most news operations, 8 It's really the -- it's a huge 9 is directed toward accuracy and fairness. 9 share of the entire job. So it's what most people in the newsroom are doing most 10 It wasn't some specific part of 10 the operation. I mean there were lots and 11 of the day, gathering information. 11 12 lots of things we did for that reason. 12 Do you know if BuzzFeed made any 13 What do you mean lots and lots of 13 money off the article? Q. 14 I believe at the time -- I don't 14 things? 15 I mean we would call people. We 15 believe that we did at the time it was could look at documents. We would check published. I think we were not running 16 16 display advertising at that time. 17 -- we would recheck documents. 17 18 We would have a reporter and an 18 O. What about after? 19 19 But I'm not an expert on this. editor work together on the story. Just A. 20 really the entire huge part of what a 20 What about after publishing? newsroom does is to make sure that you get 21 There was a point, and I don't 21 22 know the specific dates, when we switched 22 23 -- when we started putting display 23 And other than what you just

Page 177 Page 178 1 And what led to that decision? advertising on the side of articles. 1 Q. 2 I think it was after publication. 2 A. I mean all sorts of internal 3 I believe you talked to my old colleague 3 conversations, which I mostly wasn't a 4 Jessica Probus who is very in the weeds of 4 part of on our advertising side. 5 5 this. I was not and I am not now. Did BuzzFeed News make any money 6 6 prior to those -- to implementing those Did you ever talk to Jessica about 7 7 this deposition? advertisement banners? 8 8 MS. BOLGER: Objection. You No. A. 9 Did you ever talk to Jessica about 9 mean ever -- I don't understand. You mean Q. 10 the article? 10 ever? 11 What do you mean ever? Yeah. 11 A. A. (By Mr. Ritchey) Like BuzzFeed 12 12 Q. What was the reason to start Q. 13 including advertisement on BuzzFeed news 13 News just in particular prior to those 14 articles? 14 banner advertisements being put on the 15 15 articles. A. The -- the BuzzFeed advertising 16 business changed from -- changed in kind 16 A. Yes. of the technical ways of the advertising 17 17 Q. How so? 18 industry and we decided that it was no 18 I mean, again, I'm not sure how 19 longer in contradiction to do the 19 much detail you want. We would 20 basically traditional form of advertising, 20 occasionally put on events. 21 which is to have it on the side of the 21 There were business development 22 articles in tandem with the other 22 deals with other companies and then there 23 23 was sort of questions of how you attribute businesses. Page 179 Page 180 1 the revenue generated by other forms of sort of do attribution, but I don't think 2 2 advertising, but we weren't a free there was ever a clear way to do it. 3 standing company. 3 Okay. How did they try to do it? 4 We were a business and operating 4 A. I wasn't involved and don't 5 5 unit and so there's sort of there were a remember. 6 -- there were questions of how you account 6 Was there a reason that BuzzFeed O. 7 7 for all sorts of things as well as certain News articles were not monetized prior to 8 relatively minor business lines. 8 those implementations of the banner ads? 9 9 Okay. But just BuzzFeed News The implementation of banner ads 10 10 articles specifically, I'm not talking wasn't specific to news. BuzzFeed about the other BuzzFeed articles that 11 articles in general weren't monetized 11 12 were published. 12 through display advertising because 13 13 BuzzFeed had a different advertising model Did BuzzFeed News articles that was I think no-sponsored content. 14 specifically make any money prior to 14 15 implementation of those banner ads? 15 Although I don't recall if news --16 16 Was there money if you looked at which articles went into display first and 17 the page was there any -- no. 17 which didn't. 18 Okay. And there was no way to 18 O. Do you know if BuzzFeed sells 19 19 attribute whether BuzzFeed News articles viewers' information to third parties? 20 generated income as opposed to just 20 I don't know. 21 BuzzFeed.com articles? 21 Do you know if BuzzFeed could Q. 22 track how people reached their sites or 22 I mean this company certainly 23 worked to attribute to figure out how to 23 stories?

Page 181 Page 182 1 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 1 A. Yeah, not all of the viewers but 2 form. 2 there were some. 3 Sometimes. 3 Do you know if that information is A. Q. 4 (By Mr. Ritchey) What do you mean 4 kept anywhere? Q. 5 5 sometimes? Yeah, reporters, editors had 6 access to a dashboard that would show 6 You could -- if somebody came from A. 7 7 Facebook or from Twitter, you could see that. 8 that but sometimes you didn't know where 8 What's that dashboard called? O. 9 I think it was called Dashboard. 9 they came from, but you could -- you could A. 10 tell what other website they were coming 10 Might have been called Dashbird at some 11 11 point. from. 12 How was that able to be Q. Do you know if BuzzFeed was able 12 Q. 13 determined? 13 to track where people geographically 14 viewed the article? And I mean the 14 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 15 15 article we're talking about here today -form. You mean a timeframe? Do you mean 16 I don't recall. I certainly don't this article? 16 A. (By Mr. Ritchey) I guess we can --17 know. 17 Q. 18 Do you know in general if that's Q. 18 I was not -- I'm not a technical able to be done? 19 19 specialist. I can't tell you the 20 I know in general it's able to be 20 technical details. 21 done on the internet. I can't remember 21 Okay. But there is a way to track 22 how we did it at BuzzFeed if we did. 22 where viewers came from outside of the 23 Q. Would that be on the Dashbird 23 BuzzFeed website; is that right? Page 183 Page 184 application? 1 1 A. Yeah. 2 2 MS. BOLGER: Object to the Do you know what re-buzzed by 3 form. He just said he doesn't know. 3 in-depth means? 4 A. Yeah, I can't remember. 4 No. A. 5 5 Q. (By Mr. Ritchey) Do you know what Oh, yes, I'm sorry, I do. It 6 6 means that there was a page on the site a trending badge is? 7 7 Trending badge. I think I know whose username was in-depth and that it 8 what you're referring to. 8 was open -- that was sort of jargon 9 What is it? 9 meaning, that this story had been reposted Q. 10 Well, I don't think we called it 10 to that page as well as to the home page that or I can't remember what I called it, 11 or to where it was showing up. 11 12 but there was an indication to readers 12 Okay. So in-depth was a different 13 13 that a story was being very widely red page on BuzzFeed.com? 14 with a red circle, or being very widely 14 Or BuzzFeedNews.com, I don't Α. 15 shared. 15 remember which --16 I don't remember the formula for 16 Okay, and what would in-depth, O. 17 what it was, but it was to indicate that a 17 what would the in-depth page post? 18 story was getting a lot of people. 18 You know, I have no memory of it. Okay. Do you know what the viral 19 The name is in-depth so I figure things 19 20 Dashboard is? 20 that are in-depth. Yeah, that's what I just described 21 21 A. Do you know what Pound is? 22 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 22 to you. 23 23 form. You mean like in currency? Q. Okay. The Dashbird or Dashboard?

Page 185 Page 186 1 (By Mr. Ritchey) It's an acronym 1 is really dependent on some of the longer 2 for Process for Optimizing and 2 term trends, not any one article published 3 Understanding Network Diffusion. 3 one day. 4 I remember the word but I don't 4 Do you know if it's difficult to 5 5 remember how it worked or what the details make a news story go viral? 6 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 6 were. 7 Do you have any general idea of 7 form. Q. 8 8 what it is? What do you mean by go viral? A. 9 No. 9 O. (By Mr. Ritchey) What does viral A. 10 Q. Do you know who would know? 10 mean to you? 11 11 Typically it means that people are A. 12 sharing it, sharing a story with each and 12 Q. Do you know if any particular 13 audience was targeted for the article? 13 talking about it. 14 14 Let's use that definition. A. Q. 15 15 Would the amount of views I think, you know, good stories O. 16 generated by the article increase 16 typically people do want to share them and BuzzFeed's value? 17 talk about them. Not all; some they 17 18 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 18 don't. 19 19 Are news stories more difficult to form. Q. 20 A. Not in any meaningful way. 20 make go viral than say the quizzes or (By Mr. Ritchey) Why not? 21 lists published on BuzzFeed.com? 21 Q. 22 I mean the company published 22 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 23 hundreds of articles a week and its value 2.3 form. Page 187 Page 188 1 1 notes and see if there's anything, but we A. It's not so much about the -- no, 2 2 may be just about done. If we can just not per se. 3 I mean it's not -- this -- sorry, 3 take a five or ten minute break. 4 it's a complicated question. I've spent 4 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the 5 5 all my career thinking about it, so it's record at 12:48. 6 hard for me to give you a quick answer. 6 (Recess was taken.) (By Mr. Ritchey) What do you mean 7 7 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the 8 you spent a lot of your career thinking 8 record at 12:53 p m. 9 about that? 9 Are there different ways of I mean, if you're a journalist 10 10 measuring how people are reading a 11 you're trying to think about how do you do 11 particular article or story on BuzzFeed? 12 stories that people want to talk about and 12 MS. BOLGER: Object to the 13 13 people are interested in and people care form. about and that are important to them, and 14 14 You can answer. 15 so you can do that well or badly. It's 15 Not that I can think of, although 16 maybe I'm not understanding you correctly. 16 not a sort of blanket answer. 17 And that affects what type of 17 (By Mr. Ritchey) How does BuzzFeed Q. 18 stories journalists write about? 18 measure how an article is being shared or 19 19 Yeah, journalists have always viewed? 20 tried do articles that people are 20 I don't know sort of exactly the A. interested in and people care about. 21 technical way in which the data sort of 21 MR. RITCHEY: If you'll just 22 comes from the server or sort of how 22 23 23 give me one second, I'm going to review my software reads statistics off server logs

	Page 189		Page 190
1	works, but you can tell if visited people	1	important.
2	and you can tell where they visited from	2	MR. RITCHEY: I think that's
3	and you can tell if they've clicked, for	3	all I've got.
4	instance, the Facebook share or the	4	MS. BOLGER: No questions.
5	Pinterest share or the Twitter share		VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the
		5	
6	button on the page and how long they spent	6	record at 12:55 and this concludes the
7	on the page as well.	7	deposition.
8	Q. Are there any other statistics	8	Free 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
9	that you	9	[The deposition was concluded at
10	A. Sure, there are lots, but I don't	10	12:55 p.m., and further deponent saith
11		11	not.]
12	Q. Are those the most important	12	
13	statistics to you?	13	
14	A. It really depends on kind of what	14	
15	you're trying to understand.	15	
16	Q. What kind of statistics do you or	16	
17	did you look at most for a particular	17	
18	article?	18	
19	A. I look at how many people read an	19	
20	article, what percentage of the traffic	20	
21	came from people clicking on the story in	21	
22	BuzzFeed versus people sharing it and	22	
23		23	
23	talking about it elsewhere. Those are	25	
	Page 191		
1	CERTIFICATE		
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)		
3	TUSCALOOSA COUNTY)		
4	I hereby certify that the above and		
5	foregoing proceedings were taken down by		
6	me in stenotype, and the questions and		
7	answers thereto were reduced in transcript		
8	form by computer-aided transcript under my		
_	* * *		
9	supervision, and that the foregoing		
	represents a true and correct transcript		
11	of the proceedings occurring on said date		
12	at said time.		
13	I further certify that I am neither		
14	of counsel nor of kin to the parties to		
15	the action, nor am I anywise interested in		
16	the results of said cause.		
17	Signed February 26, 2021.		
18			
19	/s/ Nancy Pannell, CCR		
20	NANCY PANNELL, CCR		
21	Alabama CCR No. 30, Expires 9/30/2021		
22	Commissioner for the State of Alabama at		
23	Large, Commission expires 07/17/2021		

A am 1:19 6:13					rage 172
a.m 1:19 6:13 11:12 12:23 accounts 17:15 50:6 70:8 36:18 37:7,16 anywoy 12:23 anywore 29:21 anywoy 12:24 anywore 29:21 anywoy 12:25 anywoy	A	43:22 141:3	agreed 6:2.15.23	Anne 114:10.15	anti-racism
11:12 12:2 acted 44:3 acting 11:4 ac					
acted 44:3 action 13:9 105:14 116:23 170:18 anonying 170		\sim			
able 102:20 181:12 182:12 182:19,20 absolutely 32:23 131:21 abstract 86:21 activism 171:10 173:2,19 got: 151:18 37:10 88:7,21 90:5 131:2 154:5 40dam 1:8 155:18 25:17 93:10 95:4 136:21 137:4,19,22 138:2 144:10 144:17 182:6 accommodate 18:6 accommodate 18:6 accommodate 18:6 accommodate 18:6 accommodate 18:6 accommodate 18:19 29:12 199: 158:9 40ditions 5:7 115:21 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:23 179:41 20:17 21:18:1116:23 21:19:10 21:19:20 22:18:6:11 9:10 23:11:24,11 21:23 24:19,22 25:21 26:6,9 25:21 26:6,9 25:21 26:6,9 25:21 26:6,9 25:21 26:6,9 25:13 26:10 25:12 26:19 25:12 26:0,9 25:17 29:3 26:12 26:19 2					
R31:12 R32:12 R32:19.20 191:15 activitien 171:10 131:21 173:2,19 activitien 171:10 173:2,19 activitien 155:18 activitien 155:19 activitien 155				· ·	· ·
191:15					
absolutely 32:23 131:21 abstract 86:21 87:10 88:7,21 90:5 131:2 154:5 access 84:9 92:17 93:10 95:4 136:21 137:4,19,22 138:2 144:10 144:17 182:6 accommodate 13:19 accommodate 13:19 accommodate 13:19 26:19 Alexertisements 13:19 27:13,14,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,					· ·
131:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1 0
abstract 86:21 87:10 88:7,21 90:5 131:2 158:9 Adam 1:8 155:4 access 84:9 92:17 93:10 95:4 136:21 137:4,19,22 138:2144:10 144:17 182:6 accommodate 13:19 accompanied 18:6 accompanied 18:6 accomt 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 accounts 26:22 28:9 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 80:2 81:2,11 82:1 83:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 182:14 108:1 28:18 31:8 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 182:14 108:1 28:18 31:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 182:14 108:1 28:19 28:18 31:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 182:14 108:1 28:19 28:18 31:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 182:14 108:1 28:19 28:18 31:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 182:14 108:1 28:19 28:18 31:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 182:14 108:1 28:19 28:19 28:18 31:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 182:14 108:1 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:19 28:20	•				,
87:10 88:7,21 90:5 131:2 154:5 access 84:9 92:17 93:10 95:4 136:21 137:4,19,22 138:2 144:10 144:17 182:6 accommodate 13:19 accommanied 18:6 accomt 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 accounts 26:22 28:9 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 177:13 178:7 accurace 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 28:9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 28:9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 176:3 113:15,21 180:2 33:15 39:6 178:4 19:2 179:2 179:6 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 28:9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 178:14 afriaid 85:13 affirmative allegation 178:10 12:12 178:14 afriaid 85:13 affirmative allegation 178:10 178:14 178:12 102:17 178:12 178:29 178:14 178:12 102:17 178:12 178:29 178:14 178:12 102:17 178:18 18:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 14:17,23,23 149:14 168:1 accurately 139:13 accurated 43:14 agree 115:10,11 112:3 24:19,22 25:21 26:6,9 30:12,15,18 30:12,15,18 30:12,15,18 30:12,15,18 30:12,15,18 30:12,15,18 30:12,192:2 46:16.18 49:5 50:3,5,8,14 50:1,52:10 46:2.16,23 30:10,12,192:2 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 48:20:12 49:12 90:4,16 9:12 49:12 90:4 49:12	_	,			1 00
90:5 131:2 158:9			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Table Tabl	· ·		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
access 84:9 92:17 93:10 add 154:4 36:15 65:20 51:1 52:10 apple-r 30:10 92:17 93:10 95:4 136:21 adding 148:7 78:10,12 191:2 66:2,6,11 68:2,4 75:21 application 137:4,19,22 138:2 144:10 144:17 182:6 address 26:13 Aleksej 16:20 80:16,23 81:18 applied 36:15 accommodate 13:19 ads 179:15 Aleksej 16:20 80:16,23 81:18 applied 36:15 18:6 accomt 27:2,3,4 26:19 Alex 20:12 86:17,18 88:8 applied 36:15 27:13,14,14,15 180:8,9 allegation 100:15 103:8 appropriate 30:23 30:23 allegations 100:15 103:8 appropriate 30:22 179:6 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 55:16 66:10,12 108:8 109:22 15:10 15:10 30:17 174:13 178:14 60:18,19 62:18 10:13 113:1 appropriate 76:19,23 88:11 50:17 174:13 177:1,15,17,20 156:5 16:10 129:19 139:2 15:10 approximate 50:17 52:15 37:19 41:12 10:17			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	
92:17 93:10 add 154:4 66:2,6,11 66:2,4,75:21 application 95:4 136:21 137:4,19,22 138:2 144:10 170:12 Aleksej 16:20 80:16,23 81:18 applied 36:15			, , ,		
95:4 136:21 137:4,19,22 138:2 144:10 144:17 182:6 accommodate 18:6 accompanied 18:6 accomt 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 accounts 26:22 28:9 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 80:2 81:2,11 82:1 83:1 8 adding 148:7 8 diditions 5:7 170:12 Alcksej 16:20 Alcksej 16:20 Alcksej 16:20 Alcksej 16:20 Best 168:15 Alcx 20:12 Alceville 25:10 Best 20:13 Alcx 20:12 Best 168:15 Alcx 20:12 Best 17,18 88:8 Best 17,18 88:1 Best 2 9 Best address 26:13 Best 2 9 Best address 26:13 Best 2 9 B					
137:4,19,22 138:2 144:10 144:17 182:6 accommodate 13:19 accompanied 18:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 29:22 179:6 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 80:2 81:2,11 82: 83:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 149:14 168:1 accurately 49:14 fost: 1 accurately 49:14 fost: 1 accurately 49:14 fost: 1 accurately 49:14 fost: 1 accurately 139:13 accurately 49:18 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 149:14 168:1 accurately 139:13 accurately 49:18 16:14 accurately 139:13 accurately 49:14 fost: 1 accurately 139:13 accurately 49:14 fost: 1 accurately 139:13 accurately 43:14 accurately 49:14 fost: 1 accurately 49:16 accurately 49:16 accurately 49:18 accurately 49:18 50:17 174:13 175:1 175:11 175:17 accurately 49:18 50:17 174:13 175:1 175:1 175:17 accurately 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 52:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 accurately 49:14 168:1 accurately 99:23 103:10 139:13 accurately 49:14 fost: 1 accurately 99:23 103:10 139:13 accurately 43:14 ac			, , ,		
170:12			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· /	
address 26:13			,		
accommodate 26:19 Alex 20:12 Alex 20:12 86:17,18 88:8 90:4,16 91:12 applying 36:11 accompanied 18:6 account 27:2,3,4 27:13,14,14,15 30:23 allegation 115:21 94:12 95:7 applying 36:11 appropriate 76:19,23 88:11 appropriate			g g	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ads 179:15 180:8,9 adult 30:17,18 30:23 allegation				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
accompanied 180:8,9 adult 30:17,18 allegation 94:12 95:7 appropriate account 27:2,3,4 30:23 advertisement 33:15 39:6 100:15 103:8 appropriately 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 advertisements 43:6 44:2,4 105:12 106:12 appropriately accounts 26:22 28:9 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 77:13,15,17,20 178:14 40:18,19 62:18 110:13 113:1 appropriately 50:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 177:1,15,17,20 150:12 152:14 127:15 129:15 armed 30:20 30:22 179:6 178:4 179:2 175:16 173:18 127:15 129:15 127:15 129:15 armed 30:20 30:17 174:13 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 176:17,15,17,20 156:5 161:10 129:19 139:2 arrested 31:13 45:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 37:19 41:12 102:17 154:14 155:9 155:1 187:6,16 80:2 81:2,11 37:19 41:12 102:17 175:1 187:6,16 24:5 50:2 80:2 81:2,11 36:10 14 36:2 110:12 38:14 38:15 17:1,4 80:3 107:14 37:14 4:12 3				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
account 27:2,3,4 adult 30:17,18 115:21 96:23 97:2 76:19,23 88:11 27:13,14,14,15 advertisement 177:13 178:7 43:6 44:2,4 100:15 103:8 appropriately 29:22 179:6 accounts 26:22 28:9 advertisements 55:16 60:10,12 108:8 109:22 15:10 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 accurate 49:16 55:23 176:17 150:12 152:14 127:15 129:15 31:9 30:1 52:1,5 30:11 52:1,5 37:19 41:12 173:20 175:1 187:6,16 175:1 187:6,16 37:19 41:12 175:1 187:6,16 185:14 24:5 50:2 80:2 81:2,11 82:1 83:18 84:6,8 105:7 afraid 85:13 Amendment 80:22 110:12 58:4 67:17,21 24:5 50:2 55:4 67:17,21 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 45:4 AMERICAS 129:18 answering 14:23 73:17 75:18 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:8 77:5,21 78:9 77:5,21 78:8 7				· ·	
account 27:2,3,4 30:23 allegations 100:15 103:8 appropriately 27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 33:15 39:6 43:6 44:2,4 105:12 106:12 44:4 29:22 179:6 accounts 26:22 28:9 advertisements 178:14 60:18,19 62:18 100:15 103:8 104:8,12 44:4 approximate 50:17 174:13 178:14 60:18,19 62:18 110:13 113:1 approximately 6:12 11:12 approximately 50:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 177:11,15,17,20 150:12 152:14 127:15 129:15 31:9 31:9 50:11 52:1,5 179:12,17 178:4 179:2 172:16 173:18 143:16,23 arcticle 8:11,13 arcticle 8:11,13 article 8:11,13 </th <th>_</th> <th>· ·</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	_	· ·			
27:13,14,14,15 27:17,18,19,19 29:22 179:6 accounts 26:22 28:9 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 80:2 81:2,11 82:1 83:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 149:14 168:1 accurately 139:13 accused 43:14 advertisements 177:13 178:7 43:6 44:2,4 105:12 106:12 108:8 109:22 110:13 113:1 approximate 15:10 15:10 approximate 15:10 15:10 16:21 16:14:11:12 16:15:15 16:5:15:10:12 172:16 173:18 143:16,23 149:1,4 150:19 15:10 16:5:15:10:12 175:1 187:6,16 18:14:4 15:9 18:5:17:14 18:5:15 18:10:12:11:12 19:15:10 19:1					-
177:13 178:7			C		
29:22 179:6 accounts 26:22 28:9 advertisements 178:14 55:16 60:10,12 60:18,19 62:18 108:8 109:22 110:13 113:1 15:10 approximately 6:12 11:12 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 80:2 81:2,11 82:1 83:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 149:14 168:1 178:14 advertisers 56:3 advertising 177:1,15,17,20 178:4 179:2 179:10 132:5 150:12 152:14 156:5 161:10 179:16 173:18 173:20 179:16 173:18 173:20 179:16 173:18 173:20 179:17 179:18 179:12,17 179:18 179:12,17 179:18 179:12,17 179:19 139:2 179:19 139:1 179:19 139:1	27:13,14,14,15			,	
accounts 26:22 28:9 178:14 advertisers 56:3 60:18,19 62:18 72:10 132:5 110:13 113:1 19:18,20 approximately 6:12 11:12 armed 30:20 30:17 174:13 174:16 175:6,9 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 55:1 79:12,17 80:2 81:2,11 82:1 83:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 149:14 168:1 178:14 advertisers 56:3 advertising 72:10 132:5 110:13 113:1 19:18,20 6:12 11:12 armed 30:20 31:9 armed 30:20 31:9 40:17:1,15,17,20 156:5 161:10 173:18 173:20 accurate 49:16 50:11 52:1,5 52:14 54:22 55:17 9:12,17 80:2 81:2,11 82:18 83:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 149:14 168:1 accurately 139:13 accurately 139:13 accurately 139:13 accused 43:14 178:14 14 145:22 131:4 16:15 accurately 139:13 accused 43:14 178:14 175:10 132:5 110:12 12:10 147:9 agree 115:10,11 100:13 113:1 13:1 1	27:17,18,19,19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
28:9 advertisers 56:3 72:10 132:5 119:18,20 6:12 11:12 accuracy 49:18 50:17 174:13 55:23 176:17 150:12 152:14 125:10 126:15 armed 30:20 accurate 49:16 177:1,15,17,20 156:5 161:10 129:19 139:2 arrested 31:13 50:11 52:1,5 180:12,13 173:20 149:1,4 150:19 8:15 17:1,4 52:14 54:22 affirmative 37:19 41:12 102:17 154:14 155:9 18:5,12,14 80:2 81:2,11 76:3 113:15,21 allow 56:3 allow 56:3 188:14 24:5 50:2 84:6,8 105:7 afraid 85:13 Amendment 80:22 110:12 58:4 67:17,21 106:3 107:14 45:4 AMERICAS 9:15 answering 14:23 73:17 75:18 accurately 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 139:13 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	29:22 179:6				
accuracy 49:18 advertising 141:14 145:22 125:10 126:15 armed 30:20 50:17 174:13 55:23 176:17 150:12 152:14 127:15 129:15 31:9 174:16 175:6,9 177:1,15,17,20 156:5 161:10 129:19 139:2 arrested 31:13 50:11 52:1,5 180:12,13 173:20 149:1,4 150:19 8:15 17:1,4 55:1 79:12,17 37:19 41:12 102:17 155:1 187:6,16 21:13 22:6 80:2 81:2,11 16:14 allow 56:3 188:14 24:5 50:2 84:6,8 105:7 afraid 85:13 Amendment 80:22 110:12 58:4 67:17,21 106:3 107:14 45:4 AMERICAS 129:18 70:3,12,15 149:14 168:1 ago 25:9 38:7 99:23 103:10 99:23 103:10 122:10 147:9 175:1 191:7 78:22 131:4 77:15,21 78:8 77:15,21 78:8 39:13 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	accounts 26:22		,		
50:17 174:13 55:23 176:17 150:12 152:14 127:15 129:15 31:9 accurate 49:16 178:4 179:2 178:4 179:2 172:16 173:18 143:16,23 article 8:11,13 50:11 52:1,5 180:12,13 173:20 149:1,4 150:19 8:15 17:1,4 55:1 79:12,17 37:19 41:12 102:17 175:1 187:6,16 188:14 80:2 81:2,11 116:14 allow 56:3 allow 56:3 allow 56:3 84:6,8 105:7 afraid 85:13 aggressively 45:4 Amendment 80:22 110:12 58:4 67:17,21 144:7,23,23 45:4 Ago 25:9 38:7 99:23 103:10 99:23 103:10 122:10 147:9 answering 14:23 77:15,21 78:8 39:13 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 30:21,18 139:13 139:13 130:10 135:15 130:15 130:15	28:9			,	
174:16 175:6,9 177:1,15,17,20 156:5 161:10 129:19 139:2 arrested 31:13 50:11 52:1,5 180:12,13 173:20 143:16,23 article 8:11,13 52:14 54:22 37:19 41:12 102:17 156:5 161:10 129:19 139:2 article 8:11,13 80:2 81:2,11 37:19 41:12 102:17 156:5 161:10 129:19 139:2 article 8:11,13 80:2 81:2,11 37:19 41:12 102:17 156:5 161:10 129:19 139:2 article 8:11,13 80:2 81:2,11 37:19 41:12 102:17 156:3 113:15,21 185:14 21:13 22:6 84:6,8 105:7 313:15,21 310ws 93:6,13 310ws 93:6,13 310:10 310:12 38:14 38:4 67:17,21 38:4 67:17,21 38:4 67:17,21 38:4 67:17,21 38:4 67:17,21 38:4 67:17,21 38:4 67:17,21 38:4 67:17,21 38:5 17 38:4 67:17,21 38:5 17 38:4 67:17,21 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:4 67:17,21 38:5 17 38:4 67:17,21 38:5 17 38:4 67:17,21 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:4 67:17,21 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:5 17 38:4	accuracy 49:18				
accurate 49:16 178:4 179:2 172:16 173:18 143:16,23 article 8:11,13 50:11 52:1,5 180:12,13 173:20 149:1,4 150:19 8:15 17:1,4 52:14 54:22 37:19 41:12 102:17 154:14 155:9 18:5,12,14 55:1 79:12,17 37:19 41:12 102:17 175:1 187:6,16 21:13 22:6 80:2 81:2,11 16:14 allow 56:3 188:14 24:5 50:2 84:6,8 105:7 afraid 85:13 Amendment 80:22 110:12 58:4 67:17,21 106:3 107:14 45:4 AMERICAS 129:18 70:3,12,15 49:14 168:1 99:23 103:10 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 39:13 accurately 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 39:13 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	50:17 174:13				
50:11 52:1,5 180:12,13 173:20 149:1,4 150:19 8:15 17:1,4 52:14 54:22 37:19 41:12 102:17 154:14 155:9 18:5,12,14 80:2 81:2,11 76:3 113:15,21 102:17 175:1 187:6,16 21:13 22:6 84:6,8 105:7 16:14 16:14 16:14 16:14 16:14 16:14 16:14 112:23 129:14 54:22 55:6 16:5,22 69:5 149:14 168:1 149:14 168:1 154:14 155:9 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:5,12,14 18:13 22:6 18:13 22:6 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:6 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13 22:13 18:13	174:16 175:6,9				
52:14 54:22 affirmative 37:19 41:12 alleged 102:16 154:14 155:9 18:5,12,14 55:1 79:12,17 37:19 41:12 76:3 113:15,21 102:17 175:1 187:6,16 21:13 22:6 80:2 81:2,11 24:5 50:2 24:5 50:2 24:5 50:2 allow 56:3 allows 93:6,13 answered 80:14 54:22 55:6 Amendment 80:22 110:12 58:4 67:17,21 106:3 107:14 45:4 AMERICAS 129:18 70:3,12,15 149:14 168:1 ago 25:9 38:7 99:23 103:10 99:23 103:10 answering 14:23 73:17 75:18 accurately 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	accurate 49:16			_	
55:1 79:12,17 37:19 41:12 102:17 175:1 187:6,16 21:13 22:6 80:2 81:2,11 76:3 113:15,21 allow 56:3 188:14 24:5 50:2 82:1 83:18 116:14 afraid 85:13 allows 93:6,13 answered 80:14 54:22 55:6 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 45:4 Amendment 75:17 112:23 129:14 68:5,22 69:5 149:14 168:1 ago 25:9 38:7 9:15 answering 14:23 70:3,12,15 accurately 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	50:11 52:1,5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			*
33.1 73.12,17 76:3 113:15,21 allow 56:3 188:14 24:5 50:2 82:1 83:18 316:14 afraid 85:13 allows 93:6,13 318:14 24:5 50:2 84:6,8 105:7 3106:3 107:14 31	52:14 54:22				
80:2 81:2,11 76:3 113:15,21 allow 56:3 188:14 24:5 50:2 82:1 83:18 116:14 allows 93:6,13 answered 80:14 54:22 55:6 84:6,8 105:7 afraid 85:13 Amendment 80:22 110:12 58:4 67:17,21 106:3 107:14 45:4 AMERICAS 129:18 70:3,12,15 149:14 168:1 ago 25:9 38:7 9:15 answering 14:23 73:17 75:18 accurately 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	55:1 79:12,17			· /	
82:1 83:18 84:6,8 105:7 106:3 107:14 144:7,23,23 149:14 168:1 accurately 139:13 accused 43:14 116:14 afraid 85:13 Amendment 75:17 AMERICAS 9:15 amount 77:20 78:22 131:4 185:15 allows 93:6,13 Amendment 75:17 112:23 129:14 68:5,22 69:5 70:3,12,15 70:3,12,15 77:15,21 78:8 77:15,21 78:8 78:9,10,12 81:10 82:12,18		· ·			
84:6,8 105:7 afraid 85:13 Amendment 80:22 110:12 58:4 67:17,21 106:3 107:14 45:4 AMERICAS 129:18 70:3,12,15 149:14 168:1 ago 25:9 38:7 99:23 103:10 amount 77:20 answering 14:23 73:17 75:18 39:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	-				
106:3 107:14 aggressively 75:17 112:23 129:14 68:5,22 69:5 144:7,23,23 45:4 AMERICAS 129:18 70:3,12,15 149:14 168:1 ago 25:9 38:7 9:15 answering 14:23 73:17 75:18 accurately 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18					*
144:7,23,23 45:4 AMERICAS 129:18 70:3,12,15 149:14 168:1 ago 25:9 38:7 9:15 answering 14:23 73:17 75:18 accurately 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	· ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
149:14 168:1 ago 25:9 38:7 9:15 answering 14:23 73:17 75:18 accurately 99:23 103:10 amount 77:20 answers 14:8 77:15,21 78:8 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18		_			
accurately 99:23 103:10 amount 77:20 answers 14:8 77:15,21 78:8 139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18				0	
139:13 122:10 147:9 78:22 131:4 175:1 191:7 78:9,10,12 accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18					· ·
accused 43:14 agree 115:10,11 185:15 anti-poaching 81:10 82:12,18	•				· · ·
115:11,14 and/or 5:7 150:16 82:20 83:5,12			185:15		
		115:11,14	and/or 5:7	150:16	82:20 83:5,12
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Tage 173
83:16,18 84:2	67:12 68:6,11	169:7	159:22 165:13	beginning 34:14
91:14,23 92:11	69:12,12 77:13	attempt 173:8	166:11 188:7	34:17
94:1 98:10	82:14 83:2,3	attend 61:3,5,8	background	begins 96:7
99:18 100:4,9	105:22 106:3	61:12,14 65:1	34:8	behalf 120:1
100:23 103:6	128:3 155:2	attorney 66:9,14	bad 112:14,18	beliefs 119:23
104:2,4,9	174:14 177:1	66:15,18 149:3	badge 183:6,7	believe 15:12
117:19 118:13	177:14,22	attorneys 19:10	badly 187:15	23:21 24:6
118:17 122:13	178:15 179:10	20:7 147:12	Baker 1:12 8:16	25:22 32:7,21
123:11,12,13	179:11,13,19	148:2,5 151:9	20:12,20 55:8	44:5 55:16
125:3 132:10	179:21 180:7	attraction	55:14 123:14	58:22 75:11,13
134:12,15,17	180:11,16	124:18	126:2,6,23	77:5,10 95:20
134:19 135:10	185:23 187:20	attractions	129:23 132:4	119:9 127:20
136:3,18,22	asked 105:10,14	124:14	133:6,17	132:11 134:22
137:5,6,8	137:23	attractive 59:11	144:11,19	144:6 146:21
138:9,22 139:1	asking 23:6	attribute 178:23	145:19 146:4	150:16 151:5
139:9,14,16	47:17,18 82:4	179:19,23	146:12 149:17	154:22 155:21
141:8,15,20,20	135:12 136:1	attribution	150:20 157:11	159:1 164:22
141:21 142:2,6	142:1,4 151:22	180:1	159:5 160:7,20	167:22 168:1,2
142:7,9,14	152:1,22 160:2	audible 14:7	162:12,18	171:13 173:18
144:5,7,15	160:6 174:5,7	audience 108:2	Baker's 128:7	176:14,15
145:2,14 146:6	assault 35:22	185:13	150:23 166:1	177:3
146:9,13,22	41:2 42:2,22	audiences	ballpark 40:10	believed 47:10
147:2,11,13,14	60:13 62:17	107:11	132:7	84:6 119:14,21
148:8,15,18	66:10 101:15	audio 49:11	Baltic 34:23	119:22
151:4,6,10,12	102:14,17,21	authentic 168:2	36:8 37:17,21	Ben 1:11,16 2:1
154:8,13 155:6	112:2 150:13	authority 53:23	38:3 39:11	5:3,11 6:5 8:13
158:10,12,19	172:21 173:3,9	automatically	banner 178:14	11:13,20 12:13
158:22 159:4,6	173:18 174:2,6	3:2	179:15 180:8,9	12:20 13:4,6,7
159:13 160:3,6	174:8	Avenatti 97:11	banners 178:7	15:23 18:3
160:8,10,21	assaults 38:5,21	99:3,13	based 70:18	22:22 28:14,20
161:8,15,16	66:3 172:16	Avenatti's 97:5	88:19 117:16	29:5 46:12,16
162:4,7,9,13	assign 7:4	Avenue 2:17	119:23 132:14	46:18 47:19,20
162:16,19,22	assignment	9:15	134:21 135:11	49:23 55:11
163:2,22 164:5	129:21	awards 162:10	135:13 136:9	64:21 67:23
164:9,15,17,20	assist 169:12	162:13,16	136:14 143:3	70:7 71:7
167:18 170:3,8	assume 14:15		144:18 145:9	75:21 76:9
176:13 177:10	127:2 133:22	$\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B}}$	146:12 149:2	79:10 80:17
181:16 182:14	134:5,7,8	Bachelor 29:4	basically 78:21	81:17 85:12
182:15 185:13	135:17,18	back 38:10,13	81:2 109:14	86:2 90:16
185:16 186:2	158:2	41:5 42:4	112:10 124:7	100:15 104:8
188:11,18	assuming 36:23	58:17 59:9	124:21 177:20	104:12 105:12
189:18,20	55:14	67:8 71:19	basis 17:7 54:12	105:14 106:12
articles 49:19	attached 2:7,9	72:5 85:3,5,8	Bates-stamped	116:10,22
50:11 51:9	2:14,15 73:10	104:18 108:8	163:5 166:19	123:7 127:15
53:7,11,13	91:20 140:16	125:22 127:10	bear 113:14	139:2 140:18
54:18 66:23	163:13 166:15	143:20 157:20	beat 129:2,11	141:20,22
	<u> </u>	l	I	l

				Page 194
143:2,12,15,23	Bob 12:8	142:12,18,23	142:17	180:6,10,13,18
154:14 163:15	BOBBY 9:5	143:9,12,21	bunch 90:1	180:21 181:23
174:19,23	Bolger 9:13	148:10,22	burn 59:15	180:21 181:23
175:2	12:10,10,19	150:5,8 151:16	business 27:10	188:11,17
Ben's 18:10	15:13,23 18:1	153:13 154:11	173:23 177:16	189:22
91:11	18:10 22:20	155:7 156:22	178:21 179:4,8	BuzzFeed's
Ben@BuzzFe	28:22 39:3	157:14,21	businesses	185:17
26:17	46:7,11 47:1,5	159:8 161:4,22	177:23	BuzzFeed.com
Benjamin 13:3,5	47:16 49:20	163:6 164:2	businessman	56:7,15 57:1
Benny 68:12,22	50:12 52:7,17	166:4 168:6	15:19 16:14	179:21 184:13
69:20	55:9 58:9	170:16 173:11	busy 71:17	186:21
BenPolitico 28:8	59:23 63:9,15	174:15,18	button 189:6	BuzzFeedBen
benyt 28:6	64:19 66:17	178:8 181:1,14	buy 121:18,21	28:7 29:13,14
best 5:6 105:16	67:2,22 70:4	183:2 184:22	121:23 122:2	BuzzFeedNew
bet 28:21	71:7,20 72:14	185:18 186:6	124:2	58:1 184:14
better 39:15,15	72:23 73:13,18	186:22 188:12	BuzzFeed 1:11	J0.1 104.14
39:16 59:12	74:12 75:14	190:4	1:11 8:15,17	C
72:21 73:12	76:8 77:7,14	book 113:14	8:18,19 15:19	C 9:1
beyond 33:7	78:4,11 79:8	118:5	16:6 17:2,23	Calderone 8:11
79:21 149:8	80:13,21 81:12	boss 45:14 54:13	18:5 21:3	72:12
157:8 158:7	82:13,22 83:15	bottom 111:13	22:11 26:14,19	call 13:4,6 16:9
162:22 165:6	84:23 85:9	170:22	31:23 32:16	23:1,9 34:19
big 156:1 176:5	86:1,15,18	bought 29:23	34:12 35:17	35:2 84:21
big 130.1 170.3 bigger 74:7	87:4,15,19	BOULEVARD	44:13 45:5,7,7	106:6 127:23
Birmingham	88:12 90:7,14	9:9	46:3,5,22	161:21 175:15
2:17,18 6:9 9:3	91:4,10 92:21	boxes 128:3	48:21 51:4	called 33:12
9:23 10:3 11:2	94:10 95:5,23	boy-a-r 16:16	53:6,18,21	34:23 35:8,12
11:9 25:6	96:20 97:1	brand 57:19	55:20 56:5,8	36:4 61:6 62:7
bit 25:18 27:11	98:2 100:5,13	break 13:17	57:21 58:3,23	62:9 93:21
34:10 74:11	101:4 103:7,23	71:5,8,21	59:17 68:13	94:3 106:21
92:5 108:5	105:9,23 106:9	125:17 188:3	69:13,16 72:9	111:22 117:6
109:18 117:2	106:23 107:3	breaking 46:23	83:3,6,11,13	121:1 182:8,9
125:10 134:3	100.23 107.3	47:4,6 51:10	90:18 120:6	182:10 183:10
bits 56:19	112:21 116:10	briefed 76:15,20	122:3,6 123:10	183:11
blackmailed	116:19 117:20	briefly 93:6,13	123:16 127:9	calls 50:1
115:19	119:1,15,19	broad 46:10,13	127:10 127:9	campaign 70:18
blackmailing	120:10,14,20	49:22 50:5	130:9 134:4	Campbell 20:13
115:23	120:10,14,20	84:6	136:13 151:1	campus 25:17
blanket 187:16	126:13 127:13	broadened	161:15 162:15	capable 149:12
blog 33:8,11,23	128:13,21	47:12	163:5 166:19	152:19
34:1	129:12 131:6	broke 57:23	169:3 174:9,11	capitalize 47:14
blogger 41:17	132:20 134:7	broken 116:23	174:12 175:5	48:3,6
blowing 99:10	135:6,17 136:4	brother 150:10	176:12 177:13	care 108:19
blue 74:6	138:23 139:12	Brown 28:23	177:15 178:5	187:13,21
blurred 170:23	139:19 140:4,9	buckets 127:19	178:12 179:9	career 32:23
171:3	141:9,16,19	building 9:22	179:11,13,19	34:9 187:5,8
171.5	111.7,10,17	Zunumg 7.22	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

				Page 195
careers 144:21	Certified 6:6	circumstances	comes 68:16	98:22
carefully 2:5	11:1	85:22 107:15	70:12 188:22	component
Carolyn 61:2	certify 5:18 11:5	city 35:7 38:17	comfortable	62:23
Carroll 20:14	191:4,13	40:22 41:17	17:14 95:10	composed 89:5
case 1:5 11:20	chains 24:10	61:7 78:17	coming 135:21	89:5,6,8
15:15,17 17:7	chance 163:16	civil 2:20 11:6	181:10	comprehensive
17:8 19:1,4,23	166:22	171:14	commencing	65:14 128:16
20:3,8 23:16	change 28:10	claim 17:4,10	6:12 11:11	computer 166:1
33:22 42:3	36:9 42:14	84:7	comment	computer-aided
43:7,12 66:14	47:15 48:3	claims 18:20	167:21	191:8
66:16 76:12,18	57:3,10 99:14	clarify 13:23	commented	concern 17:1
78:19 81:21	137:11 168:15	CLARK 9:22	132:12	103:13
82:12 88:5	173:8,8	classes 64:8 65:1	commenters	concerned
101:15,16	changed 28:12	65:6,9,15	33:23	130:14 147:13
111:3 112:14	47:11 49:1	clean 14:11,14	Commission	concerning 65:2
113:20 117:9	111:7 177:16	clear 52:19	191:23	66:2,6,10
118:4 119:3	177:16	68:19 80:6	Commissioner	69:20 91:2
142:17 148:4	changes 2:6,8,12	97:20 98:4	11:4 191:22	136:3 151:10
149:9 171:22	137:7,15	109:8 180:2	committed	159:6 161:9,14
cases 35:22 41:3	170:12	clearly 138:15	100:19 142:9	163:22 164:17
42:23 89:21,23	changing 125:18	click 58:8	common 28:15	concluded
101:8	characters	clicked 189:3	commonly 81:7	142:15 190:9
categorical	110:3	clicking 189:21	community	concludes 190:6
160:13	charge 57:13,15	clicks 130:2,11	173:9	conduct 43:15
category 138:15	57:16	131:5,10	companies	43:23 66:1
cause 11:14	charged 31:12	Clinton 117:5	55:19 121:16	Conference 6:10
172:2 191:16	charges 43:2	117:15 119:22	121:20 178:22	11:10
causes 172:2	check 29:12	119:23	company 33:6	confidence
CCR 1:21	32:10 38:19	clips 147:1,3	54:14 75:20	144:11 145:10
191:19,20,21	40:9 42:21	158:18	121:7,18 124:2	145:10
center 74:10	122:8 175:16	close 28:23	124:4 179:3,22	confident
97:11	checking 54:18	Clubhouse	185:22	149:13
central 95:14,16	54:20	27:14	compensated	confirm 101:19
certain 53:21	children 30:17	Cockrell 9:5,7,7	121:16	confused 142:1
84:1 108:1	choice 37:9,12	12:8,8	compensation	confusing
121:16,19	choices 77:21	Cohen 124:13	162:21,23	101:23
154:9 168:17	78:23	colleague 177:3	complaint 22:5	Congress 43:9
179:7	choose 53:20	college 61:12	65:21 140:5	congressman
certainly 56:2,2	chosen 37:10	141:2	149:9 160:22	43:14
82:17 127:22	cinematic 96:14	column 41:20	complete 14:5,6	consider 78:2
130:13 146:1	97:16 124:20	106:13 127:21	compliance 6:19	138:9 173:3
156:19 160:14	circle 183:14	Column's 71:15	complicated	consideration
179:22 182:16	circulating	columnist 41:21	31:2 99:6	151:6
Certificate 2:11	76:14	59:18 60:7,11	111:8 187:4	considered
2:16 3:1 5:1	circulation	come 37:10 86:8	complicating	117:8 173:2
8:5 191:1	76:22	86:22	96:15 98:12,22	conspiracies
	•	•	•	•

				Page 196
98:17	109:11 141:1	172:16 173:5	5:14 11:5 12:1	donautment
	166:5 191:10	174:8,10	15:9 23:23	department 156:17
conspiracy 117:4	corrected 68:15	covered 34:21	191:11	depend 88:19
consult 134:23	69:2 148:16	38:23 41:3	dates 22:10 25:3	98:6 130:17
135:9	correction 16:2	42:3 44:3 45:3	57:8 176:22	depended 137:5
consulted 66:8	68:20 132:16	51:10,11,12,14	DAVIS 9:14	dependent
content 47:11	corrections 3:3	51:15,15,16,18	day 5:17 36:19	186:1
49:11 56:1,4	5:7	51:19 60:9,12	51:11 59:3,3,4	depends 90:21
57:12,16,17,20	correctly 188:16	60:15,16 154:7	71:16 146:14	117:22 189:14
180:14	correspondence	covering 39:8	162:1 176:11	deponent
contention	161:13,19	49:12,12	186:3	190:10
116:2	corroborate	crazy 46:13	day-to-day	Deponent's 2:11
contents 66:13	101:18 102:10	creating 110:22	54:12	2:16,23 5:1
contents 66.13	corroborated	169:12,19	days 2:21	deposed 15:15
77:2 83:19	101:22 102:1	crime 31:13	deadline 71:12	19:20,21
87:9 92:6	corroboration	34:21 100:19	deal 53:3	deposition 1:15
107:9 109:6,18	98:15 103:14	173:4	deals 178:22	1:22 2:4,14,22
110:1 114:16	counsel 6:4 7:1	criminal 66:5	decide 54:7 88:5	3:4 6:5,16,17
160:4	7:3 11:7 12:3	critic 114:14	146:11	7:6 11:19
contradiction	132:17 160:11	critical 106:18	decided 177:18	13:13 15:3
85:16 177:19	191:14	107:17 118:9	decided 177.18	20:4,8 22:2
contradictions	countries 51:17	118:14	decision 151:3,7	177:7 190:7,9
84:18 85:23	counts 27:21	criticism 115:3	154:6 178:1	depositions 6:21
86:13	COUNTY 191:3	115:10	decisions 87:9	32:18
contributed	couple 125:4	criticizing	88:19,23 89:2	describe 144:3
170:1	course 32:9 49:2	114:10,15	89:22 90:1	described
controls 174:13	66:6 71:17	currency 184:23	defamation	183:21
174:19,23	102:18	current 19:12	15:18 16:23	describes 70:13
conversation	courses 63:5,11	28:7	defamed 17:11	describing
147:11 149:2	63:12,14,18,20	currently 24:15	defendant 16:6	114:23
160:17 172:13	63:23 64:3,5	26:1,6 30:2	Defendant(s)	descriptions
conversations	court 1:1,20	cursor 93:2,3	1:13 9:12	114:2
20:10,16,18,22	6:20 11:22		defendants	desk 129:5
66:13 130:5	12:4,16,21	D	12:11,12 32:16	detail 85:19
147:20 148:4	14:8 58:17,19	D 8:1	Defends 72:9	111:10 151:2
160:9 178:3	67:8 73:4	Daily 35:13 41:9	defer 16:3	169:20 178:19
convey 119:12	84:13 85:8	42:8,12 43:17	define 109:5	detailed 52:21
core 116:2	97:14 99:15	43:20	defined 138:15	111:9
corner 73:20	104:18 135:4	Dashbird	definite 125:11	details 16:4
74:5	140:1,10	182:10,23	definitely	20:21 26:12
correct 5:6 37:1	143:10,20	183:23	167:11	44:1 82:5,7
66:14 67:12	157:20 159:18	dashboard	definition 79:17	84:5 88:20
69:4,7,11 70:1	159:22	182:6,8,9	79:20 80:11	89:1 90:21
75:13 92:11	cover 38:2,21	183:20,23	186:14	96:16 98:13
93:10 102:8	42:22 62:17	data 188:21	degree 62:2	100:1,3 103:10
106:7 108:20	153:22 154:1	date 2:11,21	delivers 96:13	104:20 114:1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 197
149:10 160:14	discuss 148:6	57:11 59:11	37:3 69:6	22:19 23:7,13
162:23 181:20	discussed 20:19	88:15 97:23	87:12 175:19	23:14 24:4,9
185:5	21:8 65:11	117:15 118:22	editor-in-chief	24:10 147:15
detective 114:14	97:6,12 99:1	131:21 143:4	35:16 44:13	163:18 167:23
determine	122:16 148:1	146:15 148:14	45:9 48:20	
174:13 175:6	162:3,6 176:1	155:17 156:1	53:6 56:15,23	emerge 111:6
determined	discussion 21:6	176:10	58:2 62:14	emerged 97:14 102:2
123:19 181:13	86:23 151:9	domain 48:13	146:1	-
	166:10	56:13	editors 49:10	employee 83:21
developed 36:6	discussions 21:2	Donald 72:10	50:22 53:12	employees 121:15
development 178:21	21:7 149:8	107:7	54:6,11 136:16	
	167:2	door 31:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	employment
dictionary 79:20 Diebel 114:10	dislikes 108:3		144:12,20 146:13 182:5	34:8,11 encounter 88:7
114:15		dossier 18:5,12	edits 138:6	
different 13:14	dismissed 19:7	18:14 doubt 144:22		ended 40:19 152:16 159:15
	display 176:17 176:23 180:12		educational 62:23	enforcement
56:17,18,19	180:16	doubting 133:18 drafting 147:13	Edward 30:11	
57:12 65:9 76:11 77:18	distinction	drafts 136:17	Edward-n 30:7	65:17 141:7,13 142:5 144:4
	18:13 57:2	drama 112:15	Edward-V	engage 54:17
78:14,18,20 86:4,7,8,19	District 1:1,2	drama 112.13 dramatic 96:17	16:17	English 80:6
87:7,7 88:16	11:22,22	98:13 109:3	Edwards 70:17	ensure 54:21,23
89:19,20	divest 122:11	110:2,8,18	effect 6:18 170:2	entail 52:14
101:15 104:4,5	divided 56:11	drop 70:17	170:9	172:5
109:23,23	Division 1:3	drop 70.17 drove 25:7	eight 33:12 49:2	entertaining
110:4,15 118:4	11:23	due 71:15	59:9 130:6	131:18
131:8,12 132:1	document 17:5	due /1.13 dug 124:12	either 23:15	entire 175:7,20
136:15,15	73:8 76:14,20	duly 12:14	37:5 43:2	176:9
137:11 144:4	91:18 136:21	duties 48:21,22	62:18 67:19	entirely 68:7
146:16,17,18	137:14,19,22	uuties 40.21,22	69:5 109:16	entitled 72:9
146:19 162:2	138:7 140:14	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	133:13 137:15	91:15
164:13,13	163:11 166:13	E 8:1 9:1,1	140:3	equality 171:16
166:2,9 180:13	168:15 169:5	e-i-g-h-t 33:13	elements 65:19	equivalent 81:2
184:12 188:9	169:10,19	eager 59:9	email 8:17,18	errata 2:7,10,13
difficult 186:4	documents	earlier 91:1	22:7 23:2	2:15,23 4:1
186:19	52:23 83:23	121:9	26:13,18	error 69:8
Diffusion 185:3	95:18,19,22	eastern 37:8	132:11,17,18	essence 116:6,15
direct 96:6	97:14 99:10,14	eat 108:11,14	133:8,11,16,18	essentially
105:2 111:13	100:20 105:19	125:15	134:21 135:11	115:19
directed 175:9	105:20 134:1	edit 134:17	135:13 137:9	ethics 8:20 63:7
disclose 103:22	135:14 137:13	137:15	161:21 163:23	63:8 169:3
disclosed 103:5	154:18 158:1	edited 170:1	164:6,7,10,11	171:7
103:12	168:14 175:16	editing 34:11	165:5,11 167:1	Europe 35:5
disclosure 98:16	175:17	58:4 135:1,9	167:3,6,8,17	37:8 39:15,18
101:2,12	dog 31:15	146:9 158:22	168:3,7,12,16	European 35:6
103:20 120:17	108:13 169:15	edition 35:6	168:20,21	events 141:4
disconnect 14:3	doing 45:10 46:6	*** ***	emails 22:10,11	152:15 178:20
	23			102.13 1, 0.20

everybody's exist 29:21 55:1 96:16 118:8,10 following 11:15 evidence 7:7 146:12 149:16 138:18 156:11 fellows 36:5 121:13 116:1 119:4 151:1 fail 3:2 fellowship 34:18 follows 12:15 120:2 159:6 53:12 144:20 failing 130:6 62:22 63:2 force 6:18 exact 15:9 38:18 149:12 152:19 52:1,4,13 figure 53:1 94:1 forces 30:21 105:11 experiences 117:12 118:15 80:4 81:6 figure 53:1 94:1 force 6:18 105:11 experiences 117:12 17:19 107:14 144:7 figure 53:1 94:1 force 6:18 105:11 experiences 117:12 17:19 107:14 144:7 179:23 184:19 191:5,9 18:20 41:19 expires 12:19 174:14 175:69 filed 19:2 23:20 form 7:2 22:21 18:20 191:21,23 fall 37:22 127:19 filed 19:2 23:20 50:13 52:8,18 11:14 12:22 explained 70:20 familiar 169:9 110:18 77:8 78:5 79:9 examined 12:14 explaining 31:2,7 36:6 54:10,14
46:17
evidence 7:7 146:12 149:16 138:18 156:11 fellowship 34:18 follows 12:15 116:1 119:4 151:1 experienced fail 3:2 fail 3:2 force 6:18 120:2 159:6 149:12 152:19 failing 130:6 62:22 63:2 force 6:18 exact 15:9 38:18 43:2,2 103:10 149:12 152:19 52:1,4,13 figure 53:1 94:1 31:10 exactly 17:3 experiences 17:12 118:15 80:4 81:6 179:22,17 80:4 179:23 184:19 191:5,9 48:10,18 100:2 188:20 191:21,23 107:14 144:7 figures 107:8 forem 7:2 22:21 11:14 12:22 explain 121:14 false 144:15 files 84:3,7 95:4 70:13 52:8,18 83:17 87:18 114:17 family 19:15,17 31:2,7 36:6 110:14 53:2 exchange expressions 114:3,23 99:11,17 find 16:13 28:19 86:2,16 87:5 165:20 114:3,23 99:11,17 finding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 100:6,14 exculpatory
116:1 119:4 120:2 159:6 160:15 experienced 53:12 144:20 149:12 152:19 149:12 152:19 experiences 17:12 118:15 exactly 17:3 expert 120:23 17:14 144:7 162:2 examination 8:3 11:14 12:22 examined 12:14 example 82:6 83:17 87:18 17:7 105:16 EXPLANATI 128:4 exchange expressions 165:20 excited 37:7 exclude 79:2 excluding 86:12 excluding 86:12 excluding 86:12 excluding 86:12 excluding 86:12 excluding 86:12 excluding 86:14 excludatory 169:14 experienced 53:12 144:20 failing 130:6 fair 14:17 49:16 fair 14:17
120:2 159:6 160:15 53:12 144:20 53:12 144:20 149:12 152:19 43:2,2 103:10 17:12 118:15 exactly 17:3 18:20 41:19 188:20 191:21,23 examination 8:3 11:14 12:22 examined 12:14 example 82:6 83:17 87:18 17:12 18:15 11:14 17:25:4 explained 70:20 explaining 11:28:4 4:2 explained 70:20 excited 37:7 exclude 79:2 excited 37:7 exclude 79:2 excited 37:7 exclude 79:2 excluding 86:12 exclusive 39:4 exculpatory 79:6 excuse 20:13 169:14 fishing 130:6 fair 14:17 49:16 fair 14:17 49:16 figure 53:1 94:1 179:23 184:19 foregoing 11:7 179:23 184:19 figure 53:1 94:1 179:23 184:19 figure 50:18 53:10 foregoing 11:7 191:5,9 foregoing 11:7
160:15
exact 15:9 38:18 149:12 152:19 52:1,4,13 figure 53:1 94:1 foregoing 11:7 43:2,2 103:10 105:11 177:12 118:15 80:4 81:6 179:23 184:19 191:5,9 18:20 41:19 48:10,18 100:2 123:21 176:19 107:14 144:7 figures 107:8 6rm 7:2 22:21 188:20 19:21,23 fairness 50:17 108:2 155:23 47:2,17 49:21 19:21,23 fall 37:22 127:19 23:23 55:10 63:10 examination 8:3 11:4 12:22 explain 121:14 false 144:15 files 84:3,7 95:4 64:20 70:5 examined 12:14 explaining 31:2,7 36:6 54:10,14 82:23 85:1,10 83:17 87:18 114:17 112:5 154:22 find 16:13 28:19 86:2,16 87:5 97:7 105:16 EXPLANATI 4:2 84:11,15 90:23 112:13,16 90:8,15,15 exchange 14:3,23 99:11,17 finding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 excluding 86:12 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 129:22 171:5
43:2,2 103:10 105:11
105:11
exactly 17:3 expert 120:23 107:14 144:7 figures 107:8 form 7:2 22:21 18:20 41:19 123:21 176:19 174:14 175:6,9 108:2 155:23 47:2,17 49:21 188:20 191:21,23 fall 37:22 127:19 23:23 55:10 63:10 examination 8:3 explain 121:14 false 144:15 files 84:3,7 95:4 64:20 70:5 examined 12:14 explained 70:20 familiar 169:9 final 53:16 80:14 81:13 example 82:6 explaining 31:2,7 36:6 54:10,14 82:23 85:1,10 83:17 87:18 114:17 112:5 154:22 find 16:13 28:19 86:2,16 87:5 97:7 105:16 EXPLANATI Farrow 8:13 102:20 112:9 87:16,20 88:13 165:20 114:3,23 99:11,17 finding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 excluding 86:12 161:1 113:9 115:16 26:4 48:16 106:1,10,10 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 119:8 71:13 98:21 107:21 110:12 exculpatory
18:20 41:19
48:10,18 100:2 expires 121:19 174:14 175:6,9 filed 19:2 23:20 50:13 52:8,18 188:20 191:21,23 fall 37:22 127:19 23:23 55:10 63:10 examination 8:3 explain 121:14 false 144:15 files 84:3,7 95:4 64:20 70:5 11:14 12:22 123:22 153:3 familiar 169:9 110:18 77:8 78:5 79:9 examined 12:14 explained 70:20 family 19:15,17 final 53:16 80:14 81:13 example 82:6 explaining 31:2,7 36:6 54:10,14 82:23 85:1,10 97:7 105:16 EXPLANATI Farrow 8:13 102:20 112:9 87:16,20 88:13 128:4 4:2 84:11,15 90:23 112:13,16 90:8,15,15 exchange expressions 91:16 93:6 113:4,6 92:22 94:11 165:20 114:3,23 99:11,17 finding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 excluding 86:12 161:1 113:9 115:16 26:4 48:16 106:1,10,10 exculpatory 79:6 Farrow's 95:2 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 <tr< td=""></tr<>
188:20
examination 8:3 explain 121:14 false 144:15 files 84:3,7 95:4 64:20 70:5 examined 12:14 explained 70:20 familiar 169:9 final 53:16 77:8 78:5 79:9 example 82:6 explaining 114:17 31:2,7 36:6 54:10,14 82:23 85:1,10 97:7 105:16 EXPLANATI Farrow 8:13 102:20 112:9 87:16,20 88:13 128:4 4:2 expressions 91:16 93:6 113:4,6 92:22 94:11 excited 37:7 extent 49:23 extent 49:23 101:14,16 fine 16:12 17:17 98:3 100:6,14 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 119:8 71:13 98:21 107:21 110:12 exculpatory 79:6 Farrow's 95:2 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 excuse 20:13 F-a-r-r-o-w Fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
11:14 12:22 123:22 153:3 explained 70:20 explained 70:20 explaining 31:2,7 36:6 54:10,14 82:23 85:1,10 12:5 154:22 find 16:13 28:19 86:2,16 87:5 87:16,20 88:13 128:4 4:2 exchange 114:3,23 extent 49:23 excited 37:7 excited 37:7 exclude 79:2 excluding 86:12 find 16:12 17:17 excluding 86:12 excluding 86:12 excluding 86:12 find 16:12 17:17 excluding 86:12 find 16:13 28:19 to 2:22 94:11 to 2:23 10:24 14:14 to 2:24 14:14 to 2:24 16:29 to 2:25 17:25 to
examined 12:14 example 82:6 explaining 31:2,7 36:6 final 53:16 80:14 81:13 83:17 87:18 114:17 112:5 154:22 54:10,14 82:23 85:1,10 97:7 105:16 EXPLANATI Farrow 8:13 102:20 112:9 87:16,20 88:13 128:4 4:2 84:11,15 90:23 112:13,16 90:8,15,15 exchange 14:3,23 99:11,17 99:11,17 99:8,15,15 excited 37:7 extent 49:23 101:14,16 106:12 17:17 98:3 100:6,14 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 119:8 71:13 98:21 107:21 110:12 excuse 20:13 Farrow's 95:2 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 excuse 20:13 Farror-ro-w fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
example 82:6 83:17 87:18 97:7 105:16 128:4 exchange 165:20 excited 37:7 exclude 79:2 excluding 86:12 exclusive 39:4 excuse 20:13 169:14 explaining 114:17 EXPLANATI 4:2 84:11,15 90:23 91:16 93:6 91:16 93:6 99:11,17 99:11,17 101:14,16 105:3 111:15 113:9 115:16 113:9 115:16 119:8 Farrow's 95:2 96:8 98:10 124:14 fashion 51:15 54:10,14 find 16:13 28:19 102:20 112:9 87:16,20 88:13 90:8,15,15 90:8,15,15 113:4,6 92:22 94:11 113:4,6 90:8,15,15 113:4,6 113:4
83:17 87:18 114:17 112:5 154:22 find 16:13 28:19 86:2,16 87:5 97:7 105:16 EXPLANATI Farrow 8:13 102:20 112:9 87:16,20 88:13 128:4 4:2 84:11,15 90:23 112:13,16 90:8,15,15 exchange 114:3,23 99:11,17 finding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 excited 37:7 extent 49:23 101:14,16 fine 16:12 17:17 98:3 100:6,14 excluding 86:12 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 119:8 71:13 98:21 107:21 110:12 exculpatory 79:6 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 excuse 20:13 Farrow's 95:2 176:5 117:21 119:2 169:14 F-a-r-r-o-w fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
128:4 4:2 84:11,15 90:23 112:13,16 90:8,15,15 exchange 165:20 114:3,23 99:11,17 finding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 excluding 86:12 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 119:8 71:13 98:21 107:21 110:12 exculpatory 114:4 Farrow's 95:2 129:22 171:5 112:22 116:20 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 firmly 171:13 119:16 120:21 fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
exchange expressions 91:16 93:6 113:4,6 92:22 94:11 excited 37:7 extent 49:23 99:11,17 finding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 119:8 71:13 98:21 107:21 110:12 exculpatory Farrow's 95:2 129:22 171:5 112:22 116:20 Po:8 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 firmly 171:13 119:16 120:21 fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
exchange expressions 91:16 93:6 113:4,6 92:22 94:11 inding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 excited 37:7 extent 49:23 101:14,16 fine 16:12 17:17 98:3 100:6,14 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 119:8 71:13 98:21 107:21 110:12 exculpatory Farrow's 95:2 129:22 171:5 112:22 116:20 Po:8 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 firmly 171:13 119:16 120:21 fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
165:20 114:3,23 99:11,17 finding 138:18 95:6 96:1,21 excited 37:7 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 excluding 86:12 161:1 113:9 115:16 26:4 48:16 106:1,10,10 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 114:4 Farrow's 95:2 129:22 171:5 112:22 116:20 79:6 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 excuse 20:13 Farrow's 51:15 firmly 171:13 119:16 120:21 fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
excited 37:7 extent 49:23 101:14,16 fine 16:12 17:17 98:3 100:6,14 exclude 79:2 68:1 134:11 105:3 111:15 18:23 23:5 103:8 104:1 exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 113:9 115:16 26:4 48:16 106:1,10,10 exculpatory 79:6 Farrow's 95:2 129:22 171:5 112:22 116:20 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 firmly 171:13 119:16 120:21 fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
excluding 86:12 exclusive 39:4 exclusive 39:4 exculpatory 161:1 extrapolating 119:8 farrow's 95:2 points 12:22 17:5 points 12:22 116:20 points 169:14 113:9 115:16 points 15:16 points 17:13 points 16:14 26:4 48:16 points 16:1,10,10 points 10:21 points 10:21 110:12 points 19:22 17:15 points 12:22 116:20 points 19:22 points 19:22 17:15 points 12:21 119:2 points 19:22 poin
exclusive 39:4 extrapolating 119:8 71:13 98:21 107:21 110:12 exculpatory 114:4 Farrow's 95:2 129:22 171:5 112:22 116:20 79:6 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 excuse 20:13 F-a-r-r-o-w fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
exculpatory 114:4 Farrow's 95:2 129:22 171:5 112:22 116:20 79:6 96:8 98:10 176:5 117:21 119:2 excuse 20:13 169:14 firmly 171:13 119:16 120:21 fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
79:6 excuse 20:13 169:14 This is a part of the series o
excuse 20:13 F 124:14 firmly 171:13 119:16 120:21 169:14 F-a-r-r-o-w fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
169:14 F-a-r-r-o-w fashion 51:15 first 12:14 16:19 123:17 126:14
10)111 Institution 1110 Institution 12011 12011
executive 57:13 84:16 fast 49:16 49:22 59:4 127:14 128:14
executives fabulist 105:3 feature 63:23 75:9,17 92:13 128:22 131:7
113:11 Facebook 27:3 102:14 116:16 132:3 136:5 141:10
exercise 121:8
exercised 122:5 189:4 6:11 11:12 Fit 74:15 148:11,23
exhaustive facial 114:3,23 12:1 21:4 59:1 five 71:5,21 151:17 153:14
60:17 fact 43:18 54:17 59:3,21 191:17 188:3 154:12 155:8
exhibit 73:9 54:20 69:2 Federal 11:6 fix 68:18 156:23 161:23
91:17,19 92:10 105:19 Federation FLOOR 9:16 168:7 173:12
139:22 140:6
140:13,15 112:16 113:5 feel 50:4 107:12 43:13 181:2,15 183:3
163:10,12 117:16,17 107:13 118:17 focus 50:17 184:23 185:19
166:14,20 144:23 143:8 149:6 Foley 43:7,12 186:7,23
169:4,6
EXHIBITS 8:8 factors 88:22 117:19 118:21 98:14 103:19 forms 179:1
8:10 facts 52:1 54:21 feelings 117:14 followed 94:5 formula 90:6

				rage 177
183:16	176:11	71:21 81:18	61:23	handlers 28:10
found 102:9	gatherings 31:7	92:4,13 94:13	graduated 61:9	Hang 22:15
124:9	general 18:9	105:5,14 108:7	graduating	29:13 84:20,22
foundation	20:17 21:17	116:23 124:12	62:21	happened 100:8
154:12	23:12 47:8	125:11 128:3	grandfather	100:11 111:2
founder 45:18	78:2,21 79:3	138:3 140:8,19	31:5	141:5 153:6
four 131:8	84:18 85:21	142:10 145:7	Gray 126:20	156:5 165:21
fourth 105:10	86:11 87:2	154:15 157:7	127:6,8	happens 33:19
FRANKLIN	90:3 125:4	166:11 168:7	great 53:2	happy 13:18,21
9:20	169:21 180:11	186:5,8,20	144:21 157:21	13:23 92:7
free 118:17	182:18,20	goes 31:8 90:1	grew 56:18	133:23 140:19
149:6 179:2	185:7	124:5,5	ground 13:12	hard 28:19 51:1
freelance 18:9	generally 51:5	going 13:10,11	grounds 7:5	76:11 79:2
39:21	53:7 77:17	37:6 67:22	GroupMe 27:20	85:19,20 86:10
freelanced	78:6 174:1	70:4 71:3,9,11	Gubarev 16:16	88:8 187:6
39:17	generated 84:2	72:7 74:7 75:2	17:22	harder 103:17
freelancer 35:3	179:1,20	75:21,22 92:4	guess 24:13,21	Harvey 60:18
freelancing	185:16	92:15 96:6	32:14 35:14	107:8 115:19
39:14	geographically	104:6 105:1	36:13 38:9	115:21 120:1
freeze 92:14	182:13	108:5 111:12	45:16 56:22	Hastings 1:8
friend 101:16	gestures 115:1	113:8,12 116:3	76:1 80:1,3	156:8
friends 112:1,5	getting 46:23	125:1,13,17	81:1,5 86:6	Hastings' 155:5
155:1	104:11 122:17	131:1 133:1	88:5 94:22	155:15
front 16:8 17:18	130:1,10 171:4	163:4,9,9	95:10 97:17	hated 107:12
75:12 78:10	183:18	165:17,18	100:17 109:20	head 32:12
froze 135:5	girl-a 30:10	166:17 170:16	142:8 153:1	47:21,23 102:7
full 6:18 158:13	gist 74:23 82:8	176:4 187:23	160:16 173:15	128:17 140:2
158:17	111:11	good 31:17 49:7	181:17	heading 171:6
fully 14:20	give 14:4,5,6,7	61:11 72:1	guessing 17:10	headline 93:18
144:7	58:7 72:19	91:16 97:6	guide 8:20 169:3	93:21 94:3,5,6
function 72:21	87:17 88:3	115:12 116:13	169:13	94:21 96:3
fundamental	101:13 108:10	125:9 126:18	guidelines 90:12	132:12 135:13
115:15	116:9 125:9,11	126:23 128:4	90:19 175:5	137:11 168:4
Funny 74:12	128:10 139:6	138:16 149:23	guy 28:23 68:12	168:23
further 6:14,22	149:6 187:6,23	166:7 172:22	84:8 141:3	headlines 94:22
190:10 191:13	given 15:3 50:7	186:15	guy's 104:10	heads 14:9
	121:17 146:14	Google 136:21		hear 13:20
$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{G}}$	154:18	137:13 138:7	H H	58:10 67:3
G 9:6,19	glitch 123:2	168:14	H 9:5	91:5 132:3
G-u-b 16:16	go 13:11 21:18	Googling 17:22	hall 35:7 38:17	157:15
Garbled 157:22	24:13,22 27:10	gosh 33:9 43:16	40:22 41:17	heard 14:16
gather 159:5	34:14 41:5	83:20	hand 5:18	159:10 174:4
gathered 145:13	42:4 47:21	gotten 70:21	handful 104:21	heighten 112:15
145:20 146:5	48:13 50:6	government	handle 28:12	held 123:16
160:8,21	51:19 56:21	42:1 76:15,21	29:11	Hell 59:23
gathering 82:16	64:12 70:8	graduate 61:21	handler 28:5,11	help 74:7 134:15
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	'

				Page 200
134:17	170.15 190.0	in a a wwo of ly	ingtitutions	52.2 120.2
	179:15 180:9	incorrectly 70:16	institutions 156:15	52:3 129:3 141:14 149:20
helped 159:5	implementati 180:8		instruct 67:23	
Herald 62:9		increase 185:16		investigative
Herman 83:9	implemented	independent	83:4	51:5,21 77:13
heroes 96:14	50:10	132:15 144:10	instruction	127:12,23
97:20 98:5	implementing	144:17 145:4	79:10 86:2	128:19 138:10
109:8	178:6	149:5,10	87:5	138:13,17
high 61:3 76:21	important 94:6	156:10 157:1	INSTRUCTI	145:13
highest 76:14	102:15 115:9	158:23	2:2	involve 38:5
highlighted 75:4	131:3,17	INDEX 8:8	interacted	128:8,11
Hillary 117:5,15	152:17,21,23	Indianapolis	156:15	150:12
119:22,23	153:11 155:22	34:20 35:19	interaction	involved 32:7
hire 50:21 126:1	156:19 187:14	36:3 62:23	156:13	33:9 82:15
126:17	189:12 190:1	indicate 183:17	interactions	123:14 141:13
hired 45:8,10,12	impossible	indicated	156:18,21	144:8 145:6,8
126:7 127:2	108:7	115:17,18	interested 49:15	146:2,8,11,18
hiring 126:5,11	impression 58:8	indication 158:6	94:23 130:20	151:8 158:21
127:5	impressions	183:12	130:22 132:2	173:7 180:4
hit 67:2	130:2,11 131:5	individual 53:2	187:13,21	involvement
hold 29:13 44:9	in-depth 184:3,7	individual's	191:15	134:11 162:4,7
46:11,11 75:14	184:12,16,17	84:1	interfere 14:23	169:18
117:1	184:19,20	industry 177:18	internal 22:11	involving 148:4
home 184:10	inaccurate	infinite 77:20	50:19 76:1	151:9
honestly 123:22	70:20 86:14	78:22	83:21 174:12	irresistibly
hope 79:18	167:23	influence 56:4	174:18,23	96:13 97:16
107:16	inappropriate	information	178:2	issue 29:3 66:22
hoping 101:18	43:14,22	26:5 54:21	internet 51:12	67:1,9,11 68:5
horrible 112:11	incident 101:21	68:4 77:20	57:8 123:1	70:2 75:16,19
Host 10:2	include 47:13	78:3 99:21	152:7 182:21	83:7 107:19
hour 71:3	65:10 77:22,23	103:6 112:13	interview 45:16	118:19
Huff 73:15	79:1,13,14,14	112:17 125:7	interviewing	issues 171:14
huge 29:5	118:8	144:18 148:7	64:5	issuing 67:14
175:20 176:8	included 54:22	157:12 158:4,5	interviews 89:7	
hundred 89:17	56:19 115:5	158:9 176:11	introduce 12:3	J
hundreds 86:3	117:19 118:9	180:19 182:3	13:1	J.M 1:12
176:3 185:23	150:17 155:15	initial 44:4	introduced	January 35:17
hurt 100:21	158:18	initially 56:9	57:19 126:20	44:17
hypothetical	including 90:18	input 170:1	investigated	jargon 121:11
81:18,23 88:4	118:14 171:14	Instagram 27:3	77:11	184:8
	177:13	29:11	investigating	Jessica 177:4,6
I	income 179:20	instance 43:6	52:16 58:3	177:9
idea 149:3 185:7	inconsistencies	96:19 110:9	128:12 132:4	job 28:7 31:23
imperatives	87:2,13,23	112:11 172:3	investigation	36:16 37:4,8
98:15 103:20	88:10	172:11 189:4	128:9 145:21	39:13,15 42:10
implement 53:3	inconvenient	instances 110:5	150:12	44:13 45:20
implementation	96:16 98:12	110:16	investigations	59:11,16,18
•	70.10 70.12		in conference	

				Page 201
60.1.92.20	15.12 16.2	127.10 129.2	00.17.20.22	172.19 174.2
60:1 83:20	15:13 16:3	127:19 128:3	99:17,20,22 100:3 101:7	172:18 174:3
88:15 176:9	122:20 135:5	131:23 144:9		174:16,22
jobs 34:12 36:11	139:17 166:9	144:12,21	102:13 103:9	175:2 176:12
156:1	KATHERINE	150:15 154:4	104:19 107:10	176:22 180:18
John 9:19 28:23	9:13	157:6 159:19	109:1,10,22	180:20,21
70:16	Katie 1:12 8:15	173:4 177:16	110:1,17,20	181:8 182:3,12
Johnson 68:12	20:12,19 55:7	189:14,16	112:15 114:6	182:17,18,20
68:23 69:21	55:14 123:14	kinds 38:4 43:9	115:2 117:13	183:3,5,7,19
joining 151:1	126:2,6,23	86:4 88:16	118:3,5,16	184:2,18,21
Jonah 45:18	127:11 128:6	110:2 121:16	119:3,11	185:10,10,12
47:17 54:13,15	129:23 132:3	Klan 172:1	120:22 121:11	186:4,15
Jonah's 47:21	133:6,17	knew 69:18	123:20 125:2	188:20
47:23	144:11,19	99:17 100:2,10	125:10 126:4,9	knowledge 5:6
Jones 1:8 155:4	145:19 146:4	103:22 108:19	127:11,17,20	145:5 149:5,8
155:14 156:7	146:12 149:16	108:23 115:22	128:1,7,15	149:16 156:10
Josh 155:5,14	150:20,23	knock 83:10	129:10 130:3,3	159:1 161:2,5
JOSHUA 1:8	157:11 159:4	know 13:18 15:9	130:13,19,23	161:6
Journal 35:4,5	160:7,17,20	15:10,13 16:5	131:4,9,10,13	known 100:8,18
39:14,18 62:10	162:12,18	16:7,8,18	131:16,19,20	107:10 128:18
62:12	165:8,12 166:1	17:13,21 19:1	132:9 133:4,13	138:20
journalism	Katie's 162:23	19:5 21:5 22:7	134:3,5,13	
33:19 34:11	keep 52:4,13	22:13 23:1,3	138:16,19	L
50:16 51:20,22	71:8,11 74:20	23:14,22,22,22	140:2,18 143:7	L 6:1
52:6 63:4,7	74:21,21 91:5	24:1,3 25:5,7	144:3,8,14,19	L-like 30:6
65:8 75:7 76:6	176:4	27:20 28:16,18	145:1,4,8	Lack 154:12
98:1 106:22	kept 80:8 100:21	30:16,22,23	146:4,7,10,14	lag 14:3
107:6,19 118:4	136:2 182:4	31:1,3,4,7 32:2	147:9,17,23	landscape 47:10
138:16 144:22	kill 117:5	32:6,14 33:9	149:1,2,11,12	47:15 48:3
173:23	killed 123:2	34:8 37:13	149:18,19	language 19:9
journalist	kin 191:14	41:4 42:4 43:1	150:14,20,23	large 6:8 11:4
126:19 150:21	kind 22:9 29:10	43:4,16 45:3	151:3,18	131:4 138:19
187:10	32:9 34:7,11	47:20,22 48:8	153:21,23	191:23
journalistic	35:3 50:15	48:15 49:12,14	154:16 155:16	larger 52:3
98:15 103:19	51:18 53:3	50:18,20,23	155:23 156:10	Larry-i-e 30:7
journalists	59:15 64:8	51:11,22 52:22	156:16 157:3,4	late 40:3,7
50:20 107:12	65:15 67:1	54:7,13 55:23	157:10 158:17	Lativa 35:1
145:8 149:13	70:13 85:19	56:1 64:15	158:20 161:20	Lauer's 115:22
152:18,19	86:9,10 88:4,6	65:15,19,21,22	162:9,11,14,17	launched 35:9
187:18,19	90:3 94:4	68:13,17 70:5	162:20,23	38:14
JR 9:5,6	95:11 98:19	70:11 73:14	163:1,3,15	law 64:3 65:16
JT 9:19 12:11	99:9 106:14	74:3 77:20	164:3,4,9	65:20 66:2,5,6
June 55:17	107:6,7 109:7	78:13,17 79:11	165:12,15,17	66:11 75:18
jury 26:5	110:21 114:4	80:10 81:20	165:19,22	141:7,13 142:5
	117:10,14	83:9 86:6 87:6	166:8,21	144:4 170:17
K	118:3,20	88:14 89:4,14	169:22 171:5	173:8
Kate 12:10	120:18 125:2	89:22 92:6,19	171:22 172:8	laws 6:19

				Page 202
lawsuit 15:18,21	9:20	177:19 186:1	majored 61:20	80:15 81:1,21
16:1,4,7 19:12	line 4:2 12:11	look 23:2 24:14	making 2:8 74:6	82:19 86:3,21
21:14 23:19	157:7,7	33:1 41:6	76:18 77:21	89:3 90:17
31:20,22 32:5	lines 179:8	73:19 75:18	78:23 110:21	93:12 95:9,15
33:4,9 104:3	linguistics 61:20	93:4 113:12	110:22 111:15	97:15 101:3,6
123:14 139:9	62:3	139:3 175:16	115:16 154:6	103:21 104:21
lawsuits 32:7,15	linked 29:21	189:17,19	167:21 168:22	106:2,8,13,14
32:22 33:17	LinkedIn 27:13	looked 99:13	man 152:15	106:2,8,13,14
lawyer 18:22	29:16	179:16	man 132.13 managed 49:9	109:6,7,23
97:10 99:2	list 27:10 60:17	looking 27:22	managing 37:3	110:8 111:9
lawyerly 18:13	64:13 65:14	72:15 74:9	59:8,13,14	113:22 116:18
lead 94:5	128:17 176:6	108:6 111:22	March 59:4,21	118:2,19
leader 60:20	listed 5:8 28:4	111:23 112:6	59:22	122:14 123:15
leadership 44:2	lists 186:21	113:4,8 116:5	39:22 margin 74:4	124:17 126:17
leading 7:3	literally 129:13	123:12 140:20	Marisa 20:13	130:18,21
141:5	142:2	165:14	168:18	130:16,21
leaked 83:23	litigation 18:21	looks 75:3	mark 73:2 91:17	137:23 141:11
leave 123:7	46:15 75:17	130:19 135:4	139:19 163:9	141:18 142:8
leaving 42:7	little 13:14	165:10,16	166:20 169:4	142:11 144:3
59:7,17	25:18,20 26:5	168:17 170:5	marked 73:8	147:3 148:12
led 95:20 127:5	27:11 34:10	loop 136:2	91:18 139:10	149:18 151:19
178:1	74:5,11 76:11	lose 123:5 135:2	140:5,14	152:3 153:16
left 21:3 39:11	92:5 108:5	lost 122:20	163:11 166:13	153:20 154:15
39:13 146:4	109:18 116:3	lot 17:8 48:12	166:18 169:5	155:10,12
155:5	117:2 125:4,10	49:1 51:20	marking 72:23	158:4 159:12
legal 18:21 33:8	125:13 134:2	53:10,13 78:18	163:6	161:3,18 162:5
171:6 173:23	159:23	90:21 117:22	married 28:22	162:5 165:5
legalistic 116:16	live 24:15 25:21	136:9,10 137:5	30:2,4 34:4	171:19 173:1
117:8	25:23 26:6	145:9 146:15	material 78:22	173:13,15
lent 124:21	29:20 30:15,18	153:20,23	79:6 88:1	174:17,23
let's 19:18 21:3	lived 24:17,18	170:11 183:18	145:12,17,18	175:7,11,13,15
22:5 34:16	30:12	187:8	145:19 146:5	176:4 178:2,9
39:23 56:21	lives 21:12	lots 21:11 45:21	160:7,20	178:9,11,18
80:1 101:10	LLP 9:8,14	78:23 130:4,5	math 61:11	179:22 181:4
122:9 130:21	local 42:16	162:1,2 175:11	Matt 115:22	181:15,15
162:6 186:14	logo 150:4	175:12,13,13	mean 18:1 27:9	182:14 184:23
letter 45:15	logs 188:23	189:10	32:3 36:10	185:22 186:8
level 76:14	long 21:19 24:17	love 59:14	45:14 46:2	186:10 187:3,7
138:16	34:9 35:18		49:9 50:9,15	187:10
levels 54:5,5	38:7,12 39:21	M	51:18 52:12	meaning 79:23
LGB 171:16	40:15 41:13	M 9:13	54:4,20 63:11	80:11 184:9
liability 111:16	46:1 50:15	magazine 83:17	63:13,16 64:14	meaningful 70:8
111:20	89:16,18 118:5	main 93:19 99:5	65:3 70:9,11	153:4 185:20
Liena 30:5	150:20 160:18	maintain 49:18	75:9 76:4,10	means 32:3 81:4
life 29:6 118:7	189:6	major 61:18	77:8,18 79:18	82:2 90:10
LIGHTFOOT	longer 125:8	149:19 150:11	80:1,2,4,5,15	127:16 171:21
			,-, .,.,	12,110 1,1121

184:3,6 186:11 minimizes 93:7 movies 124:23 necessarily 186:5,19 97:17 122:15 minor 61:18 moving 39:18 67:15 newspaper 124:19,19 179:8 137:14 need 13:17 41:5 62:5,7 165:4,5 175:2 minute 188:3 multitask 74:3 91:22,23 newspapers measuring 132:1 188:10 misconduct 43:9 murder 172:20 needed 103:21 newsroom 33:21 132:1 188:10 misdeeds 115:22 mutually 39:4 191:13 68:3 126:5 27:16,21 45:1 misleading mystery 110:18 Network 185:3 173:3 175:2 47:10 59:18 81:11 82:8,21 110:20 never 81:8 176:10	12
meant 95:17,21 93:14 moving 39:18 67:15 newspaper 97:17 122:15 124:19,19 179:8 137:14 need 13:17 41:5 62:5,7 165:4,5 175:2 minute 188:3 multitask 74:3 91:22,23 newspapers measure 188:18 minutes 71:6,22 108:12 92:6 153:3 62:11 measuring 132:1 188:10 misconduct 43:9 173:4 neither 135:18 63:16 64:12 media 26:21 misdeeds 115:22 mutually 39:4 191:13 68:3 126:5 27:16,21 45:1 misleading mystery 110:18 Network 185:3 173:3 175:2	12
97:17 122:15 minor 61:18 multiple 21:6 necessary 6:23 34:23 35:8, need 13:17 41:5 165:4,5 175:2 minute 188:3 multitask 74:3 91:22,23 newspapers measure 188:18 minutes 71:6,22 108:12 92:6 153:3 62:11 measuring 125:15 murder 172:20 needed 103:21 newsroom 32 132:1 188:10 misconduct 43:9 173:4 neither 135:18 63:16 64:13 media 26:21 misdeeds 115:22 mutually 39:4 191:13 68:3 126:5 27:16,21 45:1 misleading mystery 110:18 Network 185:3 173:3 175:2	12
124:19,19 179:8 137:14 need 13:17 41:5 62:5,7 165:4,5 175:2 minute 188:3 multitask 74:3 91:22,23 newspapers measuring 125:15 murder 172:20 needed 103:21 newsroom 32 132:1 188:10 misconduct 43:9 173:4 neither 135:18 63:16 64:13 media 26:21 misdeeds 115:22 mutually 39:4 191:13 68:3 126:5 27:16,21 45:1 misleading mystery 110:18 Network 185:3 173:3 175:2	- -
165:4,5 175:2 measure 188:18 measuring minute 188:3 minutes 71:6,22 measuring multitask 10 murder 172:20 murder 172:20 misdeeds 115:22 mutually 39:4 misleading 74:3 91:22,23 mewspapers 62:11 mewsroom 32 meded 103:21 mewsroom 32 meded 103:21 mewsroom 32 mether 135:18 mutually 39:4 mutually 39:4 mutually 39:4 mystery 110:18	
measure 188:18 measuring minutes 71:6,22 125:15 murder 172:20 108:12 murder 172:20 needed 103:21 neither 135:18 13:16 64:13 needed 103:21 neither 135:18 13:16 64:13 needed 103:21 neither 135:18 13:16 68:3 126:5 needed 103:21 neither 135:18 13:16 68:3 126:5 needed 103:21 neither 135:18 13:16 68:3 126:5 needed 103:21 neither 135:18 13:16 68:3 126:5 needed 103:21 ne	
measuring 125:15 murder 172:20 needed 103:21 newsroom 32 132:1 188:10 misconduct 43:9 173:4 neither 135:18 63:16 64:13 media 26:21 misdeeds 115:22 mutually 39:4 191:13 68:3 126:5 27:16,21 45:1 misleading mystery 110:18 Network 185:3 173:3 175:2	
132:1 188:10 media 26:21 27:16,21 45:1 misconduct 43:9 misdeeds 115:22 misleading 173:4 mutually 39:4 mystery 110:18 neither 135:18 neither 135:18 mutually 39:4 neither 135:18 neither 1	·10
media 26:21 misdeeds 115:22 mutually 39:4 191:13 68:3 126:5 27:16,21 45:1 misleading mystery 110:18 Network 185:3 173:3 175:3	
27:16,21 45:1 misleading mystery 110:18 Network 185:3 173:3 175:2	,
	1
	- 1
60:7,10 63:7 83:10,18 105:6 110:25 107:17 117:7 newsrooms 6	5.3
64:3 105:8,22 106:6 N	
meet 126:6 116:17 145:2 N 6:1 8:1 9:1 158:8 160:20 night 19:21	
Megan 142:17 missed 124:15 name 2:10 13:3 174:3 no's 14:9	
Megan's 154:16 missing 84:4,7 16:15,15,19 new 9:17,17 no-sponsore	d
Melville 83:10 93:19,21 94:4 28:11,14,15,17 24:15 28:9 180:14	u a
member 171:23 95:18,19,21 29:17 30:1,5,9 35:8,12,13 non-news 57	17
memo 75:11 99:10 100:20 61:2 82:9 38:10 39:12,19 normal 118:	
76:2 105:18,20 84:14 155:18 40:1,4,15 41:8 172:13	
memory 16:11 110:18 156:16 184:19 42:8 44:22 normally 130	5.8
20:23 23:9 misstates 22:21 named 15:20 59:18 60:1,4 137:3	
24:13 42:5 87:20 16:1 28:23 60:11,21 61:6 NORTH 9:2	1
48:14 132:15 mistreatment 29:5 32:3,4,5,8 62:9,12 78:17 Northbank 6	
133:23 139:4 150:15,17 32:16 33:5,6 83:17 127:21 11:10	•••
142:9 147:17 model 180:13 33:17 68:12 news 1:11 8:19 Northern 1:2	
165:19 184:18 moment 124:16 97:10 99:2 35:13,15 41:9 11:22	
men 63:14 169:14 114:9,15 42:8,12 43:17 Notary 5:22	6:7
mentioned 48:4 moments 32:22 126:19 43:20 45:7,11 11:3	
82:12 90:23 monetized 180:7 names 155:5,15 46:3,5,23 47:4 noted 2:9	
93:22 180:11 Nancy 1:21 6:6 47:6,8,13 notes 188:1	
mentioning 52:4 money 176:13 11:1 58:15 48:21 49:17 notorious 10	7:7
Michael 8:11 178:5 179:14 67:6 159:17 51:4,10,11,16 novelistic	
72:11 97:5,11 179:16 174:22 191:19 53:6,18,21 113:19 114	:19
99:3,12 month 146:15 191:20 55:21 56:5,8 114:21	
mind 13:4 58:14 months 35:20 Nancy-a 30:7 56:16 57:15,20 number 1:5	
67:5 68:16 morning 19:19 narrative 110:3 57:21,21 58:3 11:20 32:6	
70:12 83:12 motivations 111:15,19 63:20 82:15 43:7 51:16	
104:15 139:5 99:5 narratives 96:13 115:23 136:13 54:9 97:4	
143:6,17 motives 114:4 124:15,18 155:2 161:8,14 110:15 144	:4
149:21 157:17 mouth 17:13 narrow 127:19 161:15 169:3 171:14	
159:17 move 18:2 40:8 national 16:22 172:20 173:22	
minimize 93:17 40:12 44:20 42:16 129:5 175:8,8 177:13 O	
minimized moved 36:7 40:4 nature 25:11 178:5,13 179:9 O 6:1	
93:20 94:2 41:8 44:23 NBC 115:18,23 179:13,19 object 22:20	
95:3 movie 97:18 neat 128:3 180:7,10,15 46:7 47:1,1	6
<u> </u>	

				Tage 204
49:20 50:12	178:20	148:6 162:9	123:17 124:5	108:6 113:9,16
52:7,17 55:9	occurred 142:16	166:6 167:13	oral 11:14	113:19 116:5
63:9 64:19	occurring	168:19,21	ordinary 32:9	120:12 124:9
70:5 75:15,22	191:11	169:18 171:2	organization	171:12
77:7 78:4 79:8	Off-the-record	172:4,23 174:5	49:17 172:20	Parkway 6:10
80:13 81:12	86:23 166:10	179:9,18 180:3	organizationa	11:10
82:22 84:23	offer 36:20	181:21 183:19	146:17	part 33:18 93:17
85:9 86:1,15	45:15	183:23 184:12	organizations	112:13 140:5
87:4,15,19	offered 7:6 37:4	184:16	90:17	144:14 145:1
88:12 90:7,14	37:16 59:10	old 150:9 177:3	oriented 85:19	156:2 171:4
90:15 92:21	office 3:1 5:19	omit 98:23	original 73:10	172:12,15
94:10 95:5,23	6:10 9:4 11:10	omits 96:15	91:20 140:16	173:19 175:10
96:20 98:2	officers 154:20	98:11,21	163:13 166:15	175:20 178:4
100:5,13 103:7	156:1,7	omitted 97:13	169:7	particular 27:6
103:23 105:23	offices 6:8 11:8	99:2	ought 133:14	43:10 55:6
106:9,10	officials 76:21	on-the-job	outlet 45:2	77:15 153:11
107:20 110:11	oh 74:16 134:9	64:17	outside 56:2	155:14 178:13
112:21 116:19	152:6 184:5	once 15:7 25:2	65:3 181:22	185:12 188:11
117:20 119:1	okay 13:7 15:8	127:22		189:17
119:15 120:20	15:14 16:23	ones 27:1,5,6,7	P	particularly
126:13 127:13	17:6 18:7,18	31:1 32:11	P 6:1 9:1,1	107:23 149:20
128:13,21	19:7,10 20:11	60:14 68:22	P-u-l-l-i-a-m	parties 6:3 7:4
131:6 136:4	26:1 27:9,12	69:20,20	36:5	180:19 191:14
141:9,16	28:1 30:2 34:2	online 152:4	p.m 188:8	partly 118:6,6
143:21 148:10	34:6 35:18	open 31:16	190:10	party 15:20 16:1
148:22 151:16	37:20 39:10	184:8	page 4:2 8:3,10	31:19 32:1,2
153:13 154:11	40:4,11 41:7	opened 142:22	75:4 111:14	32:11,20,21
155:7 156:22	47:7 48:1,20	operating 179:4	116:4 120:4	passage 76:1
161:22 168:6	49:3 56:14	operation 175:8	171:7 179:17	114:12,13
173:11 174:15	57:3 59:6	175:11	184:6,10,10,13	Path 27:19
181:1,14 183:2	64:12 67:13	operations	184:17 189:6,7	pdf 72:21 74:8
184:22 185:18	68:21 69:19	175:8	pages 8:12,14,16	74:10
186:6,22	74:22 75:2	opinion 115:4	8:20 43:15,23	pending 19:4
188:12	81:1 83:12	127:21 128:5	170:22	143:15
objection 76:8	85:4 87:12	opportunity	paid 39:15 42:10	people 47:12
77:14 114:20	90:11 91:13	42:15 44:21	55:19,23 63:2	49:11,15 76:23
129:12 138:23	92:4 93:5,22	opposed 117:17	panda 150:4	78:23 79:19
164:2 174:22	97:15 101:5	153:19 179:20	Pannell 1:21 6:6	81:8 89:7,9,9
178:8	102:6 106:20	Optimizing	11:1 191:19,20	89:10,13 94:22
objections 7:1,4	107:3,18	185:2	paper 36:6 37:3	94:23 103:16
Observer 35:12	108:17 112:19	option 121:6,8	38:13	111:23,23
40:5,16 42:8	114:18 116:15	121:18	papers 62:15	112:6 126:17
obviously 46:16	121:2 122:19	options 74:15	paragraph	128:1 130:5,19
75:15 106:18	131:3 132:19	120:5,18 121:1	92:16,18,20 93:5 96:6,12	130:22 131:15
170:20	133:21 134:9	121:3,10 122:5	105:2 107:2	131:19,22
occasionally	136:1 140:9	122:12 123:15	103.2 107.2	132:1 137:6,14
	•	•		•

				Page 203
138:3 145:5	118:11	politicians 39:1	presidential	Procedure 2:20
146:18 150:15	places 154:16,19	39:7	70:18	11:6
160:3 162:1	154:21,23	Politico 35:14	presidents 76:16	proceedings
175:15 176:10	plagiarism 68:8	35:15 42:12	presumption	11:15 191:5,11
180:22 182:13	plagiarized 68:7	43:21 44:12	75:6 76:5	process 134:6
183:18 186:11	68:8	71:1	pretending	145:14 147:14
186:16 187:12	plaintiff 33:6	politics 38:23	119:11	160:17 185:2
187:13,13,20	Plaintiff's 8:10	40:23 42:1,16	pretty 49:12,17	processes 21:18
187:21 188:10	73:9 91:19	45:4 51:13	80:6 81:7	58:4 77:13
189:1,19,21,22	140:15 163:12	portion 58:16	108:18	produce 106:3
people's 114:2	166:14 169:6	67:7 85:7	previous 28:6	producing 49:11
percentage	Plaintiff(s) 1:9	104:17 143:19	36:14 138:19	profession 174:1
128:10 189:20	9:3	157:19 159:21	167:11	professional
perception	plaintiffs 12:7,9	170:14	previously 34:4	50:20,21
141:13	13:8	portrayed 99:7	138:20	professionalism
Peretti 45:18	planning 70:17	141:8	primarily 38:23	50:16
47:17 54:13,15	89:15	position 36:2,21	printout 139:13	professionals
period 49:4,8	played 147:6	37:17,18 38:16	139:15 170:21	50:22
121:19	playing 147:7	40:20 41:15	prior 7:7 28:8	program 34:19
Periscope 27:18	please 2:4 12:20	42:17 59:16	34:12 54:18	175:4
29:19,20	74:20,21,22	174:2	123:10 147:10	progress 136:3
person 13:16	104:10	possibility 93:16	151:1 163:22	proof 113:10
135:22	point 18:13 20:3	possible 31:3	164:5 178:6,13	118:21
perspective	22:12 25:23	33:16	179:14 180:7	prosecutors
154:10,17	54:6 56:12	possibly 120:21	private 53:2	103:2
perspectives	57:14,18,23	165:23	70:10 114:14	prove 98:18
155:3	112:17 115:15	post 73:16	114:14 121:20	proves 113:6
phone 27:23	118:18 121:9	184:17	privileged 50:3	provide 113:10
161:21	122:5 123:17	postpone 84:21	privileges 138:3	public 5:22 6:7
photo 74:6	148:13 176:21	posture 114:3	pro-sexual	11:3 48:12
photograph	182:11	Pound 184:21	174:2	52:23 53:1
29:9	points 115:13	power 119:9	probably 16:10	68:1,1 70:3,9
physical 114:2	police 34:21	powerful 76:22	22:17 26:11	89:6,9 107:8
115:1	102:23 103:2	141:3 152:14	28:2 34:9	108:1 117:4
pick 153:21	112:4 150:16	praise 165:6	36:14 41:21	154:17 155:17
picture 176:5	154:20,20	praising 165:8	54:4 66:12	155:18 158:1
piece 106:4	155:23 156:16	precise 24:23	154:1 172:12	publication 18:4
118:3 128:5	156:17	25:2	problem 29:6	18:16 39:16
ping 168:18	policy 170:2	preparation	97:23 98:9	40:14 42:10
Pinterest 189:5	172:15,19	22:2 135:14	102:3 105:22	54:19 70:22
pitch 133:6	174:8 175:4	167:5,7,9	108:3 118:22	72:16 73:14
pitched 134:3	politic 41:22	PRESENT 10:1	119:5	133:19 134:20
pitching 134:6	political 34:1	president 76:16	problematic	147:10,22
Pittsburgh	42:20 172:13	78:15 107:23	95:3 112:20	151:15 159:3
60:20	political-based	president-elect	114:8	177:2
place 56:17	45:1	76:17	Probus 177:4	publication's
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l ⁻

108:2 14:5,16 22:6 R 9:1 84:19 85:17,23 176:8 186:1 publications 46:9,20 47:19 racism 171:23 87:3 88:2 189:14 55:22 107:11 49:21 50:1,5,8 raise 162:19 108:23 183:12 reason 36:8 3 publicity 119:10 51:2 58:11 163:1 readership 39:11 40:11 publish 53:20 78:5 80:7,14 range 49:13 reading 1:22 44:23 46:22 152:2 85:6,11,14,20 rape 35:22 41:3 6:16 130:15 59:6 115:5	19
publications 46:9,20 47:19 racism 171:23 87:3 88:2 189:14 55:22 107:11 49:21 50:1,5,8 raise 162:19 108:23 183:12 reason 36:8 3 publicity 119:10 51:2 58:11 163:1 readership 39:11 40:11 publicly 122:1 68:4 76:13 ran 54:13 164:15 42:7,14 44:1 publish 53:20 78:5 80:7,14 range 49:13 reading 1:22 44:23 46:22	19
55:22 107:11 49:21 50:1,5,8 raise 162:19 108:23 183:12 reason 36:8 3 publicity 119:10 51:2 58:11 163:1 readership 39:11 40:11 publicly 122:1 68:4 76:13 ran 54:13 164:15 42:7,14 44:1 publish 53:20 78:5 80:7,14 range 49:13 reading 1:22 44:23 46:22	19
publicity 119:10 51:2 58:11 163:1 readership 39:11 40:11 publicly 122:1 68:4 76:13 ran 54:13 164:15 42:7,14 44:1 publish 53:20 78:5 80:7,14 range 49:13 reading 1:22 44:23 46:22	19
publicly 122:1 68:4 76:13 ran 54:13 164:15 42:7,14 44:1 publish 53:20 78:5 80:7,14 range 49:13 reading 1:22 44:23 46:22	
publish 53:20 78:5 80:7,14 range 49:13 reading 1:22 44:23 46:22	
152:2 85:6,11,14,20 rape 35:22 41:3 6:16 130:15 59:6 115:5 published 17:1,5 94:13 100:6,16 42:3,23 55:15 132:2 161:11 126:11 153:	1Λ
24:5 49:19	
53:8,15,18	
	U
	,
83:3,6 91:15 143:15,16,22 156:12 172:16 realize 21:19 reasons 14:19 123:11 132:10 150:19 159:15 173:10 realized 84:21 54:9 146:17	
	15
152:4 160:6,10 questions 7:2,3 re-buzzed 184:2 47:3,4 52:21 20:17 21:15 163:2 170:3,8 13:11 14:23 re-mark 139:17 53:12 59:10 21:22,23 36	
	:9
185:22 186:2 81:15,16 83:5 re-read 159:14 67:15 68:17 48:5 58:21	2
186:21 86:21 87:10 reached 180:22 75:2 78:20 127:1 134:1	3
publishing 72:9 88:7,21 92:2 reacted 99:10 85:13,19 87:10 134:16,18 75:20 17(20) 104.0 125.5 125.5 <t< th=""><th>O</th></t<>	O
75:20 176:20 104:9 125:5 reaction 141:23 88:4,8 90:4,20 145:15 147:	
pull 17:15,15 178:23 179:6 142:5 96:2 98:6 151:7 154:6	
Pulliam 34:19 190:4 191:6 read 2:4,22 5:4 99:14 100:11 161:11,17 12 20:16 10 12 20:16 10 102:15 104:11 162:8 164:11	0
36:5 quick 69:8 12:20 16:10 102:15 104:11 162:8 164:1	
pulling 17:20 125:17 187:6 22:5,6,7,10 104:20 105:16 169:20 172:	
120:1 quite 109:15 23:4 40:13 105:17 109:12 180:15 182:	16
purchase 121:6 130:12 155:11 42:9 58:17 109:20 110:21 receive 2:21	0
purposes 26:19 quizzes 186:20 65:21 67:8 111:1 115:12 162:12,15,1	
135:1,9 quota 58:8,18 73:17 74:2 115:17 116:1 received 23:1	
pursuant 11:5 58:19 85:5,8 98:18 117:7 119:4 23:19 24:2,4	ł
pursuing 54:8 quote 22:9 23:8 104:18 106:16 123:16 130:17 162:10	1.7
push 125:13 23:11 93:15 131:15,22 131:10,13,17 receiving 167	:1/
pushed 31:15 115:17 171:23 133:13 142:2 131:18 132:14 Recess 72:4	_
103:16 quote/unquote 142:13 143:20 134:13 141:4 125:21 188:	
put 17:12 88:18 84:3 146:22 149:9 144:2,21 145:9 recheck 175:1	. /
92:17 93:3 quoted 48:9 157:4,20 146:7 149:7,12 recollection	
100:4 108:14	
178:14,20 154:22 155:1 160:2,22 161:7 153:2,4 157:4 recommended	
putting 176:23 quoting 75:11 189:19 157:8 158:23 126:21 127:	/
114:9,13 reader 94:16 162:22 164:3 record 11:19	
134.20 Teaucis / 3.8 103.1/ 1/0.1/ 12.4 13.2	_
quality 110:21	:3
question 13:20 R 78:3 79:7 174:3 175:20 72:6 75:22	

				Page 207
89:10,11	relevant 79:14	159:20	reports 51:6	returns 84:1
125:20,23	rely 16:11	reminds 36:13	127:12 154:21	revenue 83:22
166:9 169:16	relying 52:23	repeat 13:21	156:11	179:1
170:21 171:1	remain 33:23	46:19 91:9	reposted 184:9	reverse 34:13
188:5,8 190:6	remember	repeating 58:15	representing	review 53:7,14
records 89:6	16:13 17:3,6,8	67:6 104:16	13:8	73:21 74:2
92:16 93:9,23	20:9,11,21	143:18 157:18	represents	91:22 92:3,8
recount 111:11	21:1 22:18	report 54:15	191:10	134:19 145:12
recounts 117:11	23:6,12,17,18	127:23 138:10	Republican 44:2	159:4 160:7
red 183:13,14	24:23 25:2,4,9	138:13 153:8	requested 58:16	163:16 166:22
reduced 191:7	26:3,12,16	reported 70:16	67:7 85:7	187:23
referenced	32:13,14,15	112:3 138:20	104:17 143:19	reviewed 22:1,4
167:2	33:2,5,14 34:2	152:13,13	157:19 159:21	22:15,19 53:10
references 158:1	36:12 38:18	153:19 156:12	research 66:1	145:16 167:4,7
referencing	41:2,6,18 42:2	reporter 1:20	resistance	167:9,11
163:19	42:5 43:1,5,11	6:7 11:2 12:5	106:21 107:19	revise 36:14
referring 57:20	43:13 44:1,6,8	12:16,21 14:8	resources 154:1	rid 122:17
93:8 96:18	44:11 45:12,22	35:7,11 37:10	resources 134:1 respectable	Riga 34:23
97:4 104:22	46:21 48:10,18	38:17 40:22	172:1	right 3:3 17:17
110:7,15 125:2	57:6,8 59:2	41:17 42:20	respective 6:4	18:15,16 23:6
183:8	60:14 62:19	58:17,19 67:8	respond 165:11	27:23 29:5
reflected 154:17	69:10,17,19	73:4 84:13	responded responded	33:2 34:6 36:7
reflects 155:2	70:22 82:9		165:13	
		85:8,15 87:12		37:12,15,18
refresh 42:5	99:23 103:10 111:10 114:1	87:22 104:18 126:23 127:9	response 173:9	38:9,10 39:20 40:2 41:7
48:14 133:3,23 139:4	122:4 126:10	130:9 135:4	responses 116:7 116:16	42:11 44:12,14
				48:15 59:1
regard 21:14	127:4,6 129:5	140:1,10	responsibilities	
regarding 33:22 64:9 172:17	129:7,9,20	143:10,20	48:22,23	61:10 63:17
	130:7 132:6	149:23 157:20	responsible 126:4 169:23	65:4,23 66:15
regards 21:13	133:4,9,10,11	159:18,22		68:18 69:9,22
52:15 55:5	133:14,15	175:18	restate 95:12	71:4 73:12
77:9	135:16,19,21	reporters 21:17	restricted 92:17	74:4,9 91:13
regretted 37:11	136:6,19 137:2	49:10 54:6,11	93:9,23 95:4	92:1,15 96:5
regular 43:8 relate 78:8	137:20 138:5,8	55:3 58:7	result 162:19	101:20 102:12
	139:22 147:7	126:18 182:5	results 191:16	102:16,20
81:15 83:5	147:19 148:3,9	reporting 2:17	retained 66:9,20	105:1,4 108:4
167:18	148:14 150:18	6:9 9:4 10:3	retract 68:14,21	109:1,22 113:7
related 41:23	163:21 164:14	11:9 70:10	69:2 151:4	115:18 116:4
135:9 136:21	165:4,6,7	96:8 100:1	retracted 67:16	124:8 125:1
158:18 160:8	167:10,14,16	102:14,19	68:6,17 148:19	139:8 143:12
162:13,16	167:20 170:10	104:5,10,20	retracting 151:6	144:13 145:11
relates 68:3	170:11,13	105:5 111:16	151:10	146:22 147:4
relating 6:20	180:5 182:21	111:20 113:13	retraction 66:23	150:19 158:14
relatively 179:8	183:4,11,16	128:19 144:9	67:10,11,15	160:4 164:6,11
relatives 30:14	184:15 185:4,5	146:8 157:3	68:19	165:1 169:1,2
30:18,23 31:6	reminder	158:22	return 2:15,23	172:10 175:22
	•	•	•	<u>-</u>

				Tage 200
176:2 181:23	126:22 128:6	11:6 13:12	Scotch 9:6 12:6	108:9,15
rights 171:15,15	128:18 129:1	rulings 19:8	13:7 18:1	111:17 112:7
172:5	129:10,17	run 36:10 55:22	58:12 67:3	112:20 113:10
rigorous 98:16	133:1 134:10	133:2	72:14 75:15	113:16 116:8
101:2,11	135:8,20 136:7	running 32:9	91:6 104:2	120:8 133:3
103:20	139:6,15,21	176:16	135:2,18	137:18,21
Ritchey 8:4 9:6	140:7,12	rush 125:6	142:12,23	142:18,19
9:8 12:6,6,18	141:12 142:4	Russia 72:11	143:4,14	152:6 163:17
12:23 13:8	143:17 144:6	Russian 15:19	157:16 159:9	166:23 171:6,9
16:5 18:7,15	148:13 149:15	16:14,22	scratch 96:7	171:17 173:15
23:5 29:2	150:1,7,11	Ryan 61:2	screen 72:8	181:7 188:1
39:10 46:21	151:20 153:17		73:23 74:7	seen 99:9,9,12
47:7 48:1 50:9	154:8 155:4,13	S	142:20	158:13 160:20
51:4 52:12	156:3 157:10	S 6:1 9:1	screen-play	160:23
53:5 55:13	157:17 158:8	s/ 191:19	124:14,18	sell 121:21 122:7
58:14,18,22	159:14 160:5	sad 153:4	scroll 74:1,17,19	selling 122:16
60:2 63:17	161:7 162:3	saith 190:10	74:19 92:7,7	sells 180:18
64:23 66:19	163:8 164:4	sandwich	93:1 108:5	seminars 65:1
67:5 68:10	166:6,11,17	125:15	109:18 113:8	send 168:14
70:14 71:2,13	168:11 171:2	saw 99:15	116:3 117:2	sending 167:16
71:23 72:7,19	173:17 175:3	135:11,14	143:1 170:14	167:20,20
73:2,6,15	178:12 181:4	158:8	scrolling 74:20	senior 42:20
74:17 75:23	181:17 183:5	saying 52:13	74:21,22 143:7	sense 51:21
77:5,9 78:1	185:1,21 186:9	87:21 102:9,11	143:16	77:19 103:14
79:5,16 80:20	187:7,22	102:16 103:21	se 153:1 187:2	sent 23:15,18,21
81:10 82:1,19	188:17 190:2	108:18 111:21	seal 5:19	24:2,4,6 45:15
84:10,15 85:4	road 25:14	111:21 117:3	search 28:18	164:6,10,11
85:15 86:12	Robert-E 16:17	117:10,11	second 14:4	sentence 109:4
87:1,11,21	30:10	118:12 119:21	22:16 29:14	110:10,14
88:22 90:6,11	role 97:5,10	131:1 170:23	71:19 72:20	116:21 119:7
90:22 91:8,13	99:2,3	says 75:6 92:16	84:20 101:13	119:13
92:23 94:19	Ronan 8:13	93:16 106:14	116:9 117:9	separate 56:7,8
95:15 96:5	84:10,15 90:23	106:14,17,17	139:7 143:13	56:9,12
97:8 98:8	91:16 99:11	108:21 120:12	187:23	separately 5:8
100:10 101:1	100:2	141:20,21,21	secret 100:21	September
103:18 104:15	Rondini 55:15	142:3,11,15	section 56:16	170:6
105:21 106:5	132:5 145:21	158:5 165:2	see 16:4 19:18	sequence 141:4
106:20 107:1	161:10	171:13	21:3 22:5	152:15
107:18 108:4	room 6:11 11:11	scandals 43:8	39:23 45:5	series 156:13,18
111:12 112:19	33:12 169:15	school 61:3,6	72:13,15,20	seriously 51:1
113:7 116:13	rooted 105:17	62:4,10 63:11	73:20,22 74:4	servants 155:17
117:18 118:1	Rosie 126:20	63:14,18,19,21	74:5,8,13,16	served 30:20
119:6 120:4,11	127:6,8	64:1,3,6,10	77:1 80:1	31:9
120:16 121:2	routine 69:23	170:17	92:18,20 93:2	server 188:22,23
122:20 123:4,9	routinely 69:23	schooling 62:20	93:4 96:10	Service 83:22
125:16 126:1	rules 2:19 6:20	64:9 65:2	101:10 105:4	set 90:12 168:16
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	·	1

				Page 209
sets 156:14	169:2 184:11	somebody 138:1	187:16 188:20	107:6 114:22
sets 130.14 settings 168:16	shown 133:8	172:8,9 181:6	188:21,22	125:3 126:19
seven 89:18	134:21 137:9	sons 19:20	sorts 64:15	129:21 135:21
sexual 35:21	147:15 158:10	soon 112:2	128:2 155:10	154:5 160:1
38:5,21 41:2	shows 155:21	sorry 16:2 27:16	178:2 179:7	170:13 172:7,7
42:2,22 43:8	side 74:10 177:1	31:15 32:2	sounds 71:23	179:10,14
60:10,12 62:17	177:21 178:4	35:4 55:13	135:12	specifics 22:8
66:2,10 101:15	sides 171:16,20	58:9,20 66:17	source 70:19	44:6 78:7
102:14 112:2	173:6	68:15 78:11	85:16 87:22,23	110:6,16 129:6
150:13,17	sign 2:5,10,22	80:18 84:22	101:22 102:10	130:18
172:16,21	12:20	85:3 91:4,6	158:9	speculate 23:3
173:3,9,18	Signal 27:15	97:8 98:18	source's 87:13	spell 30:6
174:8	signature 6:15	101:4,5,12	99:5	spelled 16:21
shaking 14:9	Signed 191:17	104:13 107:4	sources 102:11	spent 187:4,8
share 53:23 54:3	signing 1:22	108:12,13	109:21	189:6
66:13 72:8	2:12	109:11,16,20	South 2:18	spoke 112:9
75:7 76:6	similar 37:9	113:11 114:11	speak 65:7 79:3	142:11
138:4 163:4	101:20	121:10,13	86:10	spoken 19:11,14
176:9 186:16	simple 52:20	123:13 132:16	speaker 65:5	20:2 65:8
189:4,5,5	simpler 109:14	140:1,21	speaking 154:4	112:7
shared 79:6	simply 92:17	142:21 143:2,5	speaks 139:1	spokesman
84:19 85:17,23	single 86:9	143:10 150:3,7	special 52:2	172:1
87:2 137:6	sister 25:8,19,20	157:14,21	specialist 181:19	sports 51:14
138:1 183:15	sit 142:14	159:8 166:6	specific 17:9	spot 118:16
188:18	site 46:3,5 184:6	174:19 184:5	19:23 20:9,15	spun 117:3,4
shares 58:8	sites 180:22	187:3	21:10 25:15	stamp 166:2
130:2,10 131:5	situation 102:1	sort 18:21 24:12	42:6 43:6	stamps 165:15
sharing 47:12	103:3 145:5	25:14 37:14	45:23 51:3	stand 151:12
77:6,10 186:12	situations 86:8	50:19 51:11	52:11,21 77:19	standard 50:10
186:12 189:22	six 89:18	57:19 65:5	78:14 79:4,11	155:16
Sharmila 20:14	skim 74:2	71:3 77:1	80:7 81:21	standards 8:19
sheet 2:7,10,13	Slack 28:2	81:22 90:3	85:18 87:17	50:19 90:12,18
2:16,23 4:1	slow 21:18	95:13 99:4,8	88:4 90:20	169:3
Shoot 167:10	small 138:19	101:17,23	92:2 94:14	standing 135:7
Shorthand 6:7	Smith 1:11,16	106:17 109:7	101:8 102:13	179:3
11:2	2:1 5:3,11 6:5	110:17 112:14	105:16 114:1	star 29:4 34:20
shot 72:21	8:14 11:13,20	112:17 114:12	119:3 127:4,18	35:19 36:3,16
show 31:6	12:13 13:3	117:10,12,17	129:11 130:23	36:18 37:11,16
108:19 132:16	28:14,20 29:5	128:8 129:6	147:19 162:21	start 34:14,16
134:1 166:17	47:19 Spanahat 27:17	144:17 149:10	175:10 176:22 180:10	37:20 45:10
182:6 showed 132:11	Snapchat 27:17 social 26:21	151:7 152:15 156:10 162:21	specifically	60:1 61:16 69:14 92:15
132:17 137:10	27:16,21 47:10	168:14 169:23	19:16 32:13	125:2,18
147:16 167:12	software 188:23	172:1 174:1	41:6 44:8,11	142:10 177:12
showing 91:14	sold 122:6	178:23 179:5	49:5 69:22	started 44:16
139:5,8,13	solicited 169:23	180:1 184:8	97:3 101:10,11	56:22 57:11,20
137.3,0,13	Sometica 103.23	100.1 104.0	77.3 101.10,11	30.22 37.11,20

				Page 210
59:20 142:16	88:14,16,17	153:11 154:7	153:8,18,19	108:18 125:21
176:23	89:4 94:14	155:15 156:2,4	suit 16:23	166:1 188:6
starting 46:3,4	104:21 118:6	156:11,19	Sun 35:8 38:10	191:5
starting 40.3,4 starts 105:2	124:21 127:11	157:5,9 158:2	39:8,9,12,19	talk 46:1 47:4
108:7 111:14	127:18 128:8	158:5 164:20	40:1	50:1 77:15,16
113:9,13 116:6	130:1,9,14	173:7 175:19		78:22 81:21
119:7 120:11	130.1,9,14	183:13,18	supervision 191:9	82:16 83:1,2
124:9	136:12,15	184:9 186:5,12		89:12 94:13
stat 164:3	146:2,19 154:2	188:11 189:21	supervisor 60:21	112:12 162:1
State 6:8 11:3	172:17 174:8	straightforward	support 151:14	177:6,9 186:17
191:2,22	174:10 180:23	99:8 111:5		187:12
stated 23:7	186:15,19	113:3	supposed 66:12 sure 15:13 16:20	talked 19:20
	· ·		16:21 17:19	20:6 21:11
statements 88:1	187:12,18	strange 37:14		
States 1:1 11:21	story 52:15,16 52:21 53:17	81:18 Street 0:21 35:4	24:3,7,12 26:3	32:17 101:14
51:17 78:16		Street 9:21 35:4	28:3 32:1,23 60:16 67:14	101:16 111:22 112:2 132:21
statistics 164:16	54:7,8,23 55:7	35:5 39:14,18		
188:23 189:8	55:12,14 72:8	strict 90:12	90:9,10 98:19	132:22 160:14
189:13,16 stats 163:21	74:5 75:1,15 75:16 76:10	stringer 35:3	103:1 106:3 117:23 120:21	174:20 177:3
		strings 120:1		talking 18:22 20:12 47:6
statute 135:7	77:10,18 79:3	strong 115:4	123:5,7 126:3	
stay 152:6	82:3,5,6,8,10	struggling 18:11	128:1 130:15	51:2 55:12
Steele 18:4	84:5 86:13,14	student 65:6	151:18 154:2	76:12 77:3
stenotype 191:6	87:9 88:17,18	141:3	167:15 175:21	82:11,14 92:19
Stephanie 161:9	88:20 89:1,19	study 53:17	176:2,4 178:18	101:11 104:4
161:13	90:2,19,21	stuff 48:10	189:10	107:5 109:12
sticking 98:20	91:1 93:7,13	117:16 124:20	surely 142:12	109:15,21
sticks 149:21	93:15,20 94:4	stunning 164:23	Susman 20:13	110:5 116:11
STIPULATED	94:4,7,16 95:2	165:3	suspense 110:22	120:8 124:20
6:2,14,22	95:13,14,17,21	subject 2:12	swapped 57:14	159:19 164:20
stipulation 11:7	96:2,4 97:5,6	139:9	swear 12:5	168:4 179:10
stipulations	97:12,13 98:7	subject's 154:9	switch 71:4	182:15 186:13
12:17	98:7 99:1,4,4	submit 168:15	switched 176:22	189:23
stock 120:5,18	101:9,12,18,20	subscribed 5:16	sworn 5:16	talks 120:5
121:1,3,6,18	102:3,22	subway 78:17	12:14	tandem 177:22
122:2 123:10	103:14 104:19	sued 20:20 21:9	system 78:17	tantalizing
123:16 124:5	105:7,17 109:8	suggest 55:2,5,7		98:17
stop 108:13	109:14 110:1,9	137:7,15	T 6:1,1	targeted 185:13
143:13	111:4,7 112:8	suggested 84:3	take 13:15 36:23	tax 84:1
stories 38:2,4	115:6,7 117:5	137:10,10	52:5 59:17	Taylor 161:9,13
41:4 49:13,15	118:10 124:13	suggestion	64:23 71:5,5	team 49:10 52:2
51:3,8 53:21	131:17,18,21	105:18 168:5	71:21 73:19	53:11 119:10
54:18 55:2,20	133:7 134:4	suggestions	125:17 139:3	129:3 130:20
56:4 78:13,19	136:10,10	138:6 168:23	140:19 188:3	technical 19:6,9
79:11 85:18	140:22 141:2	suggests 98:16	taken 6:5 63:4	177:17 181:18
86:4,7,9,20	149:10 152:12	suicide 141:6	64:8 66:5 72:4	181:20 188:21
87:7,8,14	152:17,21	152:16,23	04.0 00.3 /2.4	technically
		•	•	•

				Tage 211
28:17 120:22	Thanks 120:15	86:17 90:17,22	third 180:19	98:14 120:13
technique 64:5	theft 173:4	91:10 93:8	thirty 2:20	122:16 126:9
113:20 114:19	theory 165:23	97:3,21 98:4,8	Thompson 9:19	127:22 164:13
114:22	166:4	98:9 99:7	12:12	170:4
technology	thereto 7:7	100:7 101:9	thought 39:3	timing 43:3
51:12 91:7	191:7	102:19 107:19	45:3 47:18	Tina 20:13
tedious 104:11	thing 17:15	107:22 109:6	48:11 66:18	tired 59:15
Telegram 27:15	21:15 37:14	110:4 112:23	95:12,13	title 36:2 38:16
television	74:13 94:18	114:7,18 115:3	103:11,12	38:18 40:20
124:22	104:6 113:4,6	116:22 118:17	108:22 113:2,6	41:21 42:17
tell 15:8 19:22	123:18 144:12	118:19 120:23	115:12 127:1	titled 41:15
21:16,21 34:10	153:4	123:16 124:7	166:7 172:20	today 14:20 15:1
45:19 46:2	things 21:11	124:19 125:8	thousand 89:13	55:7 71:16
47:21 48:2	22:17 37:6	126:18,22	89:19	167:5,7,9
49:14 55:11	43:3 56:7	128:15 129:17	threat 33:8	182:15
57:9 64:13	64:18,22 65:9	130:4 131:15	thrust 95:14,16	today's 12:1
91:23 102:7	78:19 89:8,9	131:16,17,18	tie 162:20	71:17
112:4 132:20	89:10 94:18,19	131:19,19	tied 124:1	told 19:18 37:5
134:10 159:1	97:4 98:6	139:10,21	Ties 72:11	37:5,8 46:22
181:10,19	101:7 105:7	140:22 144:2,3	TikTok 27:20	101:19 102:22
189:1,2,3	109:23 110:23	146:16,19	time 7:5,6 12:2,4	102:22 108:23
telling 18:11	128:2 131:2,8	148:15,18	14:6 21:19	111:6 112:7
21:16 94:23	131:12 133:2	151:21,22,23	26:8 27:6 38:7	119:8 129:23
124:22 143:3	138:3 144:4	152:1,2,12,17	46:1,17 51:14	130:8 132:23
156:4	146:16 156:5	152:23 153:15	51:15 59:23	tomorrow 152:3
tells 94:16	156:14 170:18	154:3,23	65:23 91:22	top 32:12 140:2
ten 25:7,9 71:6	175:12,14,22	155:10,11,20	92:8 101:20	142:10 171:7
188:3	179:7 184:19	155:22 156:3	102:17,21	topics 64:9 65:2
tenure 57:4	think 15:7 16:10	160:1 165:21	103:12,15	65:10 71:4
term 101:2	18:19 19:5	167:13 168:17	105:8,10,13	125:18
106:21 109:3	20:19 22:14,16	170:7,16 171:4	108:11 121:20	total 144:11
186:2	24:8,8 26:10	171:21 172:13	125:11 127:2	145:10
terms 18:9,21	28:15 29:12,20	172:18,19	136:11,12	totally 24:11
19:6 80:9,11	29:22 32:20	173:1,1,13,21	160:19 165:15	104:4,5 111:10
138:12	33:18 36:4	173:22 174:17	165:16,18	136:14 173:16
testified 12:15	37:12 39:1,6	175:1,7 176:7	166:2 176:14	Tourism 25:12
99:15 154:13	42:19 44:9	176:16 177:2	176:15,17	TOWNSEND
testify 141:22	47:9 50:7	180:1,14 182:9	191:12	9:7
testimony 1:15	52:19 53:9,10	183:7,10	timeframe	track 26:2
5:5 32:18	56:1,6 61:11	186:15 187:11	181:15	180:22 181:21
87:20	64:14,20 65:5	188:15 190:2	times 15:6 34:23	182:13
text 22:9 92:3	66:12 69:1,2	thinking 48:19	36:8 37:17,21	traded 122:1
171:3	69:18 74:6,23	114:5 172:8	38:3 39:11	tradition 50:16
texts 170:23	79:18 81:5,6	187:5,8	49:10 56:17,18	traditional
Thank 12:16	81:17,20 82:6	thinks 114:17	59:19 60:5,11	177:20
92:9	82:17,21 83:8	141:20	60:22 88:9	traffic 189:20
			I	l

				rage 212
training 64:16	94:1 95:11	U 6:1	University 9:9	57:11,13,15,17
64:17 65:17	97:21 102:6	Uh-huh 37:19	61:15	123:2 147:3
transcript 5:4	106:2 109:17	41:12 76:3	unmistakable	155:20 158:11
73:11 91:21	117:5 118:20	113:15,21	96:14	158:14,16,18
140:17 163:14	119:12 125:6	116:14	unprivileged	VIDEOGRAP
166:16 169:8	127:20 129:7	ultimately 54:13	161:5,6	11:18 72:2,5
191:7,8,10	129:18 131:16	126:4 169:22	unverified 72:10	125:19,22
transparent	171:3 187:11	uncovered	76:19	188:4,7 190:5
75:7 76:5	189:15	77:12	updated 170:4,5	videotape 158:2
treat 171:22	tryout 36:19	uncovers 85:16	170:8,10	view 58:8 95:2
172:12	turned 29:23	87:22	updates 136:11	136:17 147:1
TREMAINE	99:11 110:19	underneath	URL 58:1	168:13 172:4
9:14	111:1	171:9,13	use 19:9 26:18	viewed 164:16
trending 164:10	Tuscaloosa 6:9	understand	48:7 90:9	182:14 188:19
164:12 183:6,7	6:11 9:4,10	13:22 18:23	94:22 101:1	viewers 181:22
trends 186:2	11:9,11 25:10	46:8 52:9	109:3 186:14	182:1
Trevor 10:2	25:16 144:18	58:10 71:14	useful 133:20	viewers' 180:19
trial 7:5	161:8,14,15	74:23 77:2	username 184:7	viewing 138:5
trick 29:10	164:19 191:3	79:19 80:2,3,9	uses 93:18	163:21
tried 112:12	tweet 99:12	81:14,16 85:10	usual 12:17	views 130:2,10
187:20	tweeted 29:7	85:14 95:8	usually 13:15	131:5 164:5
Trinity 61:6	twice 26:11	97:2 102:15	94:17 155:19	172:9 185:15
trip 25:11,14	Twitter 27:2	107:21 109:17		villains 96:15
trouble 81:8,22	28:5,9 29:22	116:11,12	V	97:21 98:5
true 5:5 79:15	29:23 30:1	126:16 127:16	valuable 123:18	109:9
80:2 81:3 82:2	181:7 189:5	137:12 153:2,5	value 123:19	viral 183:19
82:7 91:16	two 19:20 22:10	153:7 155:11	124:1,4,5	186:5,8,9,20
119:11 165:22	22:18 37:6	159:11 173:16	185:17,23	virtually 13:17
191:10	38:15 39:22	178:9 189:15	values 53:4	virtue 111:15,19
Trump 107:7	40:17 56:6,8	understanding	varied 136:9,10	visit 25:15
108:1	59:5 62:8	79:22 80:10	136:14	visited 189:1,2
Trump's 72:11	76:15 125:14	81:9 121:4	variety 155:3	visiting 25:9
trusted 53:12	129:8 167:17	137:16 156:20	various 39:7	voice 91:6 115:9
truthfully 14:20	171:16,20	157:2,8 185:3	43:9 54:5,5	vote 172:11
try 16:12 23:8	173:5	188:16	56:11 170:4	vs 1:10
52:13 68:18	type 38:2 51:8	understood	vary 53:2	
79:12 80:19	129:2 175:4	14:16 79:21	Venkatasubban	W
88:5 92:23	187:17	unfortunately	20:14	W 1:21 6:6 11:1
107:13 130:21	types 86:7,20	27:9 29:9	version 170:7,9	waive 3:2
133:2 180:3	87:8	158:21	versus 17:22	waived 1:23
trying 14:13,14	typical 98:14	union 60:20	189:22	6:17
22:14 23:11	103:19	unique 86:10	victim 101:17	walked 25:17
26:4 29:8	typically 162:20	unit 179:5	102:16 142:11	Wall 35:4,4
32:20 39:1,5,6	186:11,16	United 1:1 11:21	Victor 16:17	39:14,17
49:14 51:22,23		51:17 78:16	video 1:15 6:4	want 17:12,16
66:19 93:9	U	universe 77:8	11:19 49:11	18:9,20 19:9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
DIDMINGUAM DEDODETING CERTIFICA				

				Page 213
22:8 23:2,3,8	137:12 168:17	Western 1:3	81:6 90:10	writing 52:15
24:11,12 27:5	172:2 179:18	11:23	93:18 164:12	58:4 59:10,12
34:7 38:19	180:2 181:21	whistle 99:11	185:4	63:20,23 77:12
43:5 44:10	185:20 188:21	whistleblower	words 17:12	89:15,17 100:7
47:14 48:17,18	ways 51:23	99:8	52:20 73:22	102:5 103:4
64:12 69:9	56:11 89:19	WHITE 9:20	79:19 80:15,15	107:23 120:12
71:4,8,8 73:21	95:10 131:23	wide 49:13	89:16,17 96:3	132:4 146:8
74:2 80:9	132:1 162:2	widely 40:13	121:5 138:21	155:17
81:20 82:16	164:13 176:1	42:9 48:9	work 72:21	written 29:14
85:5 88:3 91:8	177:17 188:9	107:10,11	75:20 106:18	50:2 51:9 69:5
92:2 93:3	we'll 13:18	174:3 183:13	175:19	72:11 83:9
108:10,13	14:15 27:7	183:14	worked 34:22	91:14 97:13
111:11 129:15				
	49:6 69:14	wife 19:19	36:17 41:4	100:9,12,22 115:8 123:13
131:14,22	71:21 91:17	Wildlife 149:22	52:2 53:13	
133:23 135:18	125:16 166:19 169:4	150:3	54:23 58:23	136:13 141:15
139:4,17 140:6		window 74:16	144:20 149:19	154:9 157:9
140:18 142:13	we're 13:15,16	142:22	152:18 179:23	158:9 161:8
147:23 153:5	50:20 55:6	wish 125:9	185:5	174:9,11
160:12 176:6	58:5 72:2	withheld 88:2	working 37:21	wrong 70:1,21
178:19 186:16	82:13 101:5	withhold 87:13	129:2	95:14 102:8
187:12	104:4,5 163:8	withholding	works 28:19	wrote 48:8
wanted 48:2	164:20 182:15	78:2 88:10	119:9 137:13	60:17,19 62:8
98:19 106:5	we've 32:17	witness 2:2 5:3	189:1	67:18 68:10,23
119:8 123:4	125:8	6:16 11:13	WorkSouth 6:9	70:3 75:12,23
137:21	web 57:12,16,17	12:5 18:8	9:4 11:9	95:9,11 99:18
wants 124:2	website 56:11	63:13 71:10,15	world 49:13	101:3 104:9
wasn't 16:1	181:10,23	72:17 78:9	149:21 150:3	106:4,19
102:19 111:1	Webster 10:2	96:22 119:17	worldwide	107:22 121:2
112:3,4 114:20	WeChat 27:21	122:21 142:21	150:2	127:12,21
116:1 131:1	weeds 177:4	143:5	wouldn't 19:9	129:11
144:8 146:7	week 71:17	woman 114:9	65:23 100:9	WWF 150:9
158:21 162:6	133:14 134:22	152:13	123:6 127:23	X
168:20,21	146:15 147:8	woman's 111:4	130:12 138:14	
175:10 178:3	147:15 185:23	152:23 153:8	143:6 172:9	X 8:1
180:4,10	Weinstein 60:19	153:18	wrap 102:6	Y
waste 46:17	107:8 115:20	women 112:1	wrestlers 150:6	y'all 21:1 71:4
watch 155:21	115:22 120:2	172:10	150:9	159:18
158:12,15	weird 37:7 60:3	women's 171:15	WRIGHT 9:14	Yale 61:15,19
water 71:19	went 33:7 42:11	172:5	write 35:21	
way 16:13 47:11	110:23 113:3	wonder 74:13	42:15 51:5	61:21 62:5,9 62:21
49:22 57:19	180:16	110:22	62:4 91:1	yeah 13:3 16:12
79:21 81:6	weren't 43:4	wondered 37:14	92:10 98:20	16:15 18:19
88:8 93:1	46:4 65:14	wondering	128:2 130:21	
99:14 116:17	68:7 95:18	112:1	134:15 154:13	25:20 31:18
119:9 121:15	138:20 179:2	word 48:6,7	187:18	32:4,16 34:16
122:17 130:13	180:11	80:2,3,6 81:2,3	writer 114:13	36:4 37:1 39:5
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

1 44 10 47 5 22 1 15 10 27 22 1 20 12	4 0 9:21 31:6 n 2:17
42:13 43:18 187:19 44:10 47:5,22 year 15:10 37:23 48:17 49:6,9,9 38:1 41:14 38:1 41:14 10,000 89:16 2001 24:18 38:13 2002 35:9,10	0 9:21 31:6
44:10 47:5,22 year 15:10 37:23 10 140:6 38:13 2002 35:9,10 44:10 47:5,22 48:17 49:6,9,9 38:1 41:14 10,000 89:16 2002 35:9,10 2002 35:9,1	
48:17 49:6,9,9 38:1 41:14 10,000 89:16 2002 35:9,10	h 2:17
10,000 07.10	
32.11,12 30.20 99.23 103.9 1 10.32 73.2 1 36.10.14 40.1	5
TO 11 50 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	
57:11 59:2,8 122:4,12,18 10:44 72:6 2003 40:7 — 61:4,10 62:13 vears 22:12 25:4 10020-1104 9:17 2004 40:1 —	6
(2.2.17.64.10) (2.5.7.0.20.15) [10020-1104.7.17] 2005.40.10	8:14
(4.22.65.12)). 1 4
64:22 65:12 39:22 40:17 11:41 125:20 2006 33:10,22 — 49:2 59:9 11:46 125:23 35:15 41:11	7
75,500,20 120,6147,0 11.40,123.23 200,70,10 178	3:12
7.1	19-cv-00403
33.10 V.S TCP 25.10 /3:9 2012 33.17 1	:6 11:21
05.13.00.0.11	8:11
100 00 100 1 24.10 35.0,12 91:19 2013 45.7	
102 2 104 10 20 12 10 40 1 113 0.13 170.11 2017 55 17	8
104 22 105 15 40 5 16 41 0 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 0	
106.12.17 42.8.50.10 114.01.10 2018.15.12.40.7	9
100.12	30/2021
100 10 110 14 (1 (70 17 113 0.10 100.17 2010 17 12	91:21
111.18 113.2 127.22 110.20 170.6	35 1:19 6:12
112.17 114.6 Vorkor 92.17	1:12 12:2
114 20 115 7 27 10 12 0.7 170.0 50 1 21 22 91	8:13
116.0.117.1.22 111.2.172.12	
117.00.00	
110 10 100 00 152 10	
1231 7.13	
7. 100 4 6 17. 20TH 0 21	
124.7.11	
124:7,11 125:16 129:8 Zagare 30:5 1409 9:9 218 1 9:16 22nd 55:17	
131:9,12,13,21 zebra-a 30:9 163 8:17 252 6:11 11:11	
132:14 134:6 zone 165:16 166 8:18 26 1:18 6:12	
136:9 138:11 zones 165:18 169 8:19 11:12 12:1	
139:3,6 143:6 Zoom 1:15 6:4 17th 91:15 191:17	
144:2 145:23 9:12,19 10:2 17(ii) 91:13 18 8:16	
146:20 153:15	
154:3 155:19 174:21 1863 83.9 3 75:4 19 8:20 83:9	
155:22 156:2 zoomed 72:18 198.20 83.9 30 2:20 191:21	
157:1 161:6 32 105:3	
163:17.20.20 0 1995 61:0 10 17 3399 8:18	
164:12 166:23 03 40:3 1999 34:21 166:19	
169:1,17 05 40:19 36:16 37:22 35203 9:23	
171:18 172:14 06 43:19 62:1 35222 2:18	
173:13,15 07.35:16.43:19 35401.9:10	
174:10 178:11 07/17/2021 2 3710 2:17	
182:1,5 183:4 191:23 2000 33:21 35:9 3731 8:17 163:5	